al service

Private Calendar No. 265.

61st Congress, 2d Session. | HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES. | Report No. 640.

C 5576

OVERTIME CLAIMS OF LETTER CARRIERS.

February 28, 1910—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House and ordered to be printed.

Mr. Lindbergh, from the Committee on Claims, submitted the following

REPORT.

[To accompany S. 3638.]

The Committee on Claims, to whom was referred the bill (S. 3638) to provide for the payment of overtime claims of letter carriers excluded from judgment or barred by limitation, having considered the same, report thereon with a recommendation that it do pass.

HOW THE CLAIMS AROSE.

These claims originated under the act of May 24, 1888 (25 Stat. L., 157), an act to limit the hours that letter carriers in cities shall be employed per day. This act reads as follows:

> That hereafter eight hours shall constitute a day's work for letter carriers in cities or postal districts connected therewith, for which they shall receive the same pay as is now paid for a day's work of a greater number of hours. And if any letter carrier is employed a greater number of hours per day than eight he shall be paid extra for the same in proportion to the salary now fixed by law.

The Post-Office Department decided that it would only count the time that the carriers were employed on their routes in the actual delivery of mail; and also decided to charge the carriers with any deficiency in the time that they worked on Sundays.

Suits were brought in the Court of Claims to test the correctness of the department's rulings. On March 7, 1892, the Court of Claims decided in the case of Aaron S. Post that the carriers were entitled to be paid for all the time that they worked, and in the case of Gates it was decided that no deductions could be made because the carriers did not work eight hours on Sunday.

The United States appealed both cases to the Supreme Court of the United States, and in both instances the Supreme Court sustained the Court of Claims and entered judgment in the claims, the opinion being written by Mr. Justice Blatchford (148 U. S., 124–134). Both opinions are printed in full in Senate Report No. 82, pages 10–15.

These opinions were rendered March 13, 1893, and on April 4, 1893, the Post-Office Department issued instructions with reference to carrying out the law as construed by the Supreme Court, to take effect April 30, 1893.

In the report of the Postmaster-General for 1893 it is stated:

> In a circular which I addressed on April 4, 1893, to the postmaster at every free-delivery office I set forth as clearly as possible the construction given to the act by the decision of the Court of Claims of March 7, 1892, which had been affirmed by the Supreme Court of the United States in the case of United States *v.* Post, decided March 13, 1893, defining the rights of carriers under the act, and I instructed postmasters to pay no claim for overtime services until it shall have been submitted to and approved by this department. (Report Postmaster-General, 1893, p. xiv).

Very little overtime was made after April 30, 1893, and none after January 1, 1895.

HOW THE CLAIMS WERE SETTLED.

Officers of the Post-Office Department and Department of Justice were appointed by the court, upon the motion of the Assistant Attorney-General, commissioners of the Court of Claims. They visited the cities, examined the time records, the postmasters, superintendents, timekeepers, and such letter carriers as they thought necessary (the order appointing the commissioners will be found in Senate Report 82, p. 9). Each party had the right to object or take exceptions to the report of the commissioners. If no exceptions were taken, judgments were entered for the amounts found due, first deducting any amounts which had been earned more than six years before the suit was brought in the court.

Attorney-General Griggs says:

> The various amounts were ascertained by commissioners of the Court of Claims, who were appointed by the court for the purpose of inquiring into the facts relating to the claims of letter carriers throughout the United States for overtime services, and the correctness of their work is evidenced by the fact that their reports have almost invariably been accepted, not only by the claimants but by the United States, as being just and fair. I am satisfied, too, that these reports were eminently conservative. (Senate Rept. 82, p. 8.)

Judgments were rendered by the court and paid to the amount of $3,323,418.39. (See detailed statement annexed hereto of appropriations.) The amount deducted because of the statute of limitations is $282,943.88, as shown by the lists transmitted by the Attorney-General. (S. Doc. 216, 56th Cong., 1st sess., and S. Doc. 158, 56th Cong., 2d sess.)

The Attorney-General says:

> This list was prepared under my direction by an expert employee of this department, who has, during the past five years, assisted the commissioners of the Court of Claims in preparing their reports to the court of overtime of letter carriers. The list was made out by him from an examination of each one of the 2,000 or more reports of overtime of letter carriers which have been made to the Court of Claims by the commissioners appointed for the purpose during the past six years, and was based upon the statements contained in such reports of the amount and value of overtime service performed by letter carriers for which claim was barred by the statute of limitations governing actions in the Court of Claims.
>
> These statements of barred services were made by the commissioners upon an investigation of exactly the same facts as were inquired into by them in reporting upon claims not barred, and, in fact, are a part and parcel of each report. The result of this work is undoubtedly correct. (S. Rept. 82, bottom p. 7, top p. 8.)

The Attorney-General, under date of February 8, 1901, in transmitting the second list, says:

As the investigation of this entire class of claims has now been completed, the present list, and that contained in S. Doc. No. 216, Fifty-sixth Congress, first session, comprise all claims of the description mentioned in the resolution of the Senate. (S. Rept. 82, p. 4.)

WHY THE UNITED STATES SHOULD NOT RELY ON THE BAR OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.

The Post-Office Department invited the carriers to present their claims to the department in the belief that Congress would appropriate for a sufficient clerical force to investigate the claims. No such appropriation was made.

On November 8, 1893 the Postmaster-General reported to the Speaker of the House of Representatives:

The adjudication and settlement of these claims can be made by the free delivery division of this department direct, if afforded an adequate clerical force for their investigation and a sufficient appropriation for their payment. (S. Rept. No. 82, p. 8.)

No such appropriation was made and the claimants brought suit in the Court of Claims, but that court has no jurisdiction in any matter accruing more than six years before suit is brought, and while these carriers had running accounts with the department for salary, they could not take advantage of that. (See statement of the law on p. 9 of the report.)

The Postmaster-General told the carriers that it was unnecessary to go into the Court of Claims and sue the Government. The Postmaster-General, in a letter dated April 14, 1900, says that under date of April 5, 1893, which was within the six years for filing claims, the Post-Office sent out a circular of instructions to postmasters, saying:

"Letter carriers may be informed that a new, and it is to be hoped a correct, form of claim blank will soon be sent by the department for the benefit of claimants to the postmasters at all free-delivery offices whence overtime claims have emanated, upon which particular blank all claims heretofore submitted should be restated and upon which any subsequent claims may also be presented to the department for adjustment."

The intention of the department to adjust these claims is further shown in a communication of the Postmaster-General to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, dated November 8, 1893 (Ex. Doc. No. 8, 53d Cong., 2d sess.), and by letters sent out by this department in answer to inquiries from claimants. As a sample of these letters, I quote one written to J. F. O'Connor, Springfield, Mass., under date of March 21, 1894:

"Yours of the 27th ultimo received. You can not present your claims for back pay to the Court of Claims without having counsel to represent you. It is not necessary, however, to present these claims to the Court of Claims. If they are sent to this department they will receive proper attention." (S. Rept. No. 82, p. 7.)

The Postmaster-General further says:

As to the merits of the claims, I am of the opinion that the claimants are, as a matter of justice, entitled to relief in some form. (Ibid., p. 7.)

On pages 16 to 20 of Senate Report No. 82 will be found a very large number of typical letters from claimants giving reasons why their claims were not presented in time to except the action of the statute.

The House Committee on Claims, in Report No. 1182, Fifty-sixth Congress, first session, says:

Under all the circumstances there would be no justice in the Government taking advantage of the statute of limitations (Rev. Stat., sec. 1069), which the parties in these cases were induced to disregard by the action of the department itself, however honestly the department acted itself, and in the full belief that Congress would confer upon it authority to settle the claims without the necessity of a recourse to the Court of Claims on the part of the claimants (p. 3).

Statement showing documents containing lists of judgments of the Court of Claims in overtime claims of letter carriers, the amounts, and references to the appropriation acts.

Documents.	Congress and session.	Amount.	Appropriation.
S. Ex. Doc. 11	Fifty-third, second	$4,453.58	28 Stat. L., 450–451.
H. R. Ex. Doc. 102	do	906.87	28 Stat. L., 452.
S. Ex. Doc. 145	do	198,827.85	28 Stat. L., 452–474.
H. R. Ex. Doc. 283	Fifty-third, third	590,253.24	28 Stat. L., 868.
S. Ex. Doc. 101	do	162,201.85	28 Stat. L., 869.
S. Doc. 70	Fifty-fourth, first	316,212.57	29 Stat. L., 26.
H. R. Doc. 344	do	90,975.22	29 Stat. L., 305.
S. Doc. 277	do	736,199.33	30 Stat. L., 305.
H. R. Doc. 217	Fifty-fourth, second	249,485.91	30 Stat. L., 141.
S. Doc. 167	do	311,620.63	Do.
S. Doc. 122	Fifty-fifth, first	103,226.03	Do.
S. Doc. 165	do	150,187.03	Do.
S. Doc. 303	Fifty-fifth, second	185,460.43	30 Stat. L., 690.
H. R. 197	Fifty-fifth, third	21,007.25	30 Stat. L., 1244.
S. 153	do	56,868.20	Do.
S. 99	Fifty-sixth, first	22,828.72	31 Stat. L., 27.
H. R. 638	do	14,559.97	31 Stat. L., 315.
S. Doc. 211	Fifty-sixth, second	105,038.60	31 Stat. L., 1052.
S. 146	Fifty-seventh, first	846.00	32 Stat. L., 27.
H. R. 592	do	58.52	32 Stat. L., 583.
S. 187	Fifty-seventh, second	232.40	32 Stat. L., 1070.
H. R. 275	Fifty-eighth, second	1,968.19	33 Stat. L., 41.
		3,323,418.39	

This is a bill to provide for the payment of the overtime claims of letter carriers excluded from judgment as barred by limitation. Similar bills have heretofore been before the House and Senate and have received nine favorable reports, three in the House and six in the Senate, and have passed the Senate four times. The following is a statement showing the various reports and action taken in the two Houses of Congress:

May 1 1900, Mr. Boutell, of Illinois, from the Committee on Claims in the House, submitted a favorable report on the bill to pay these overtime claims. (H. Rept. 1182, 56th Cong., 1st sess.)

June 2, 1900, Mr. Warren, from the Committee on Claims in the Senate, submitted a favorable report. (S. Rept. 1623, 56th Cong., 1st sess.) Neither report was acted on.

April 2, 1902, Mr. Graff, from the Committee on Claims in the House, submitted a favorable report. (H. Rept. 1316, 57th Cong., 1st sess.)

June 27, 1902, Mr. Warren, from the Committee on Claims in the Senate, submitted a favorable report. (S. Rept. 2111, 57th Cong., 1st sess.) The bill (S. 2429) on which this last-mentioned report was made, passed the Senate January 31, 1903, but was not reached on the House Calendar.

February 14, 1903, Mr. Graff, from the Committee on Claims in the House, submitted a favorable report. (H. Rept. 3773, 57th Cong., 2d sess.)

January 31, 1907, Mr. Smoot, from the Committee on Claims in the Senate, made a favorable report. (S. Rept. 5834, 59th Cong., 2d sess.) The bill (S. 1181) was passed by the Senate February 27, 1907, but was not reported back in the House of Representatives.

January 21, 1908, Mr. Smoot, from the Committee on Claims in the Senate, made a favorable report. (S. Rept. 82, 60th Cong., 1st sess.) The bill reported (S. 2802) was passed by the Senate January 28, 1908.

March 16, 1908, Mr. Fulton, from the Committee on Claims in the Senate, reported an amendment to bill H. R. 15372, the omnibus claims bill, providing for the payment of these cases. (S. Rept. 382, 60th Cong., 2d sess., pp. 779–838.) The bill containing this amendment was passed by the Senate January 29, 1909, but the bill was not acted on in the House and died with the Sixtieth Congress.

February 21, 1910, Mr. Smoot from the Committee on Claims in the Senate, made a favorable report. (S. Rept. 252, 61st Cong., 2d sess.)

The purpose of these bills has been the payment of certain claims of letter carriers for sums due them as pay for work performed by them in excess of eight hours a day, under the provisions of the act of May 24, 1888, entitled "An act to limit the hours that letter carriers in cities shall be employed per day." (25 Stat. L., p. 157.) The amount of pay due the carriers was ascertained by officials of the Post-Office Department and Department of Justice, acting as commissioners of the Court of Claims, and reported to that court, but when the court entered judgment on such reports it was compelled by jurisdictional limitation to deduct or except from judgment any amounts which had accrued more than six years before suit was brought in that court against the United States. Judgments were rendered by the Court of Claims for sums in excess of three million dollars ($3,323,-418.39), which judgments were paid by appropriations by Congress.

The sum carried by this bill is to pay the amount the court excepted from such judgments in the cases of 3,809 carriers in 371 cities in 43 States of the Union. This entire matter has been so fully covered by reports heretofore made that it seems hardly necessary to do more than quote from such reports and reiterate the recommendations for the passage of the bill heretofore made.

In the report from this committee submitted by Mr. Graff (H. Rept. 1316, 57th Cong., 1st sess.) the following is stated as a summary of the facts as they appear:

First. The Attorney-General states that the investigation of these claims was of precisely the same character as that of those upon which judgments have been entered and paid, and so far as the proof of the service is concerned they stand upon exactly the same footing.

Second. The Postmaster-General reports that the claimants were invited by the department itself to present their claims to the Post-Office Department, and that their failure to present their claims to the Court of Claims being thus due to the invitation of the department itself, in the belief then entertained that Congress would appropriate for a sufficient clerical force to investigate the claims, it is only just to the claimants that relief should be afforded them.

Third. Some of the parties also failed to present their claims from the fact that their immediate superiors in office represented to them that to present their claims might jeopardize their official positions.

In the report from this committee submitted by Mr. Boutell, of Illinois (H. Rept. 1182, 56th Cong., 1st sess.), a very clear statement of the merits of these cases is made, as follows:

The claims referred to in this bill arise under the act of May 24, 1888, entitled "An act to limit the hours that letter carriers in cities shall be employed per day," which is as follows:

"*Be it enacted, etc.*, That hereafter eight hours shall constitute a day's work for letter carriers in cities or postal districts connected therewith, for which they shall receive the same pay as is now paid as for a day's work of a greater number of hours. If any letter carrier is employed a greater number of hours per day than eight he shall be paid extra for the same, in proportion to the salary now fixed by law. (1 Supp. Rev. Stat., 587.)"

It is stated by the Postmaster-General in his annual report for the year 1895, page 118:

"When the present administration assumed charge of the Post-Office Department it found in the files of the free-delivery division of this bureau fully 4,000 overtime claims of letter carriers, aggregating over $15,000,000, and overtime accumulating at the rate of $250,000 per annum. Five years had passed since the enactment of the eight-hour law, May 24, 1888, and the appropriations for the intervening years, notably that of the fiscal year 1888–89, had been especially increased to enable the department to meet the requirements of the law, yet no appreciable decrease in the annual accumulation of overtime had been made."

Two cases involving test questions under the act were brought before the Court of Claims and decided favorably to the carriers on the 7th of March, 1892, and will be found reported in the twenty-seventh volume of Court of Claims Reports, page 244. These cases were taken to the Supreme Court on appeal, and the judgments affirmed March 13, 1893. The decisions of the Supreme Court will be found reported in 148 United States Reports, pages 124 to 137. The opinions of the Supreme Court in these two cases are annexed to this report as an appendix.

At the session of Congress following the date of these decisions the House of Representatives adopted a resolution calling on the Postmaster-General to state in what manner these claims would be settled. The response of the Postmaster-General to this resolution is dated November 8, 1893, and constitutes House Ex. Doc. No. 8, Fifty-third Congress, second session. It is annexed to this report as an appendix.

It will be seen from this report that the House was informed by the Postmaster-General that "the adjudication and settlement of these claims can be made by the Free-Delivery Division of this department direct, if afforded an adequate clerical force for their investigation and a sufficient appropriation for their payment." No such appropriation, however, was made, either for the purpose of providing clerical force for the investigation of the claims or for their payment.

In consequence all the carriers in the country who had claims of this character—and they included practically all letter carriers who were in service from 1888 to 1893—had ultimately to present their claims to the Court of Claims. The manner in which these claims were investigated is shown by the following statement from the report of the Postmaster-General for 1896, page 173:

"To expedite a settlement in which the interests of the department as well as those of the letter carriers might be fully protected, an arrangement was made with the Department of Justice by which the assistant superintendent of the free-delivery system and another employee of this department were commissioned by the Court of Claims special commissioners in the settlement of overtime claims by letter carriers."

It is stated in the report of the Postmaster-General for 1897, page 95, that the total amount of these claims investigated and allowed by the commissioners, and in which judgments of the court have been entered and paid under the appropriations annually made in the deficiency appropriation act, have been over $3,000,000.

Wherever, in investigating these claims, the commissioners ascertain that any portion of the claim was over six years' standing before the petition had been filed, they nevertheless stated the amount that had been earned, and it was thereupon excluded or excepted from judgment by the court in entering judgment. It is these excluded or excepted amounts which the present bill proposes to pay. The Senate, on the 18th of December, 1899, adopted a resolution calling on the Attorney-General for a statement of the amounts so excluded or excepted from judgment for the sole reason that the same were barred by the statute of limitations. In response to that call the Attorney-General transmitted a list, which has been printed as Senate Document 216.

Your committee, through the subcommittee to whom this bill has been referred, called upon the Postmaster-General and Attorney-General, respectively, for their

views on the propriety of this legislation. Each of those officers responded, and the purport of their statements may be summarized as follows:

First. The Attorney-General states that the investigation of these claims was of precisely the same character as that of those upon which judgments have been entered and paid, and so far as the proof of the service is concerned they stand upon exactly the same footing.

Second. The Postmaster-General reports that the claimants were invited by the department itself to present their claims to the Post-Office Department, and that their failure to present their claims to the Court of Claims being thus due to the invitation of the department itself, in the belief then entertained that Congress would appropriate for a sufficient clerical force to investigate the claims, it is only just to the claimants that relief should be afforded to them.

The letters of the Postmaster-General and Attorney-General here referred to are annexed in full as appendixes to this report.

In addition thereto there have been laid before your committee a large number of statements from claimants whose cases are embodied in the present bill explaining why they failed to present their claims until a portion thereof had been barred by limitation. Extracts from some of those letters are embodied in an additional appendix to this report. As in a general way their statements are corroborated by the statement made in the letter of the Postmaster-General to your committee, it is believed by your committee, that the statements of the writers are entitled to credence.

Under all the circumstances, there would be no justice in the Government taking advantage of the statute of limitations (Rev. Stats., sec. 1069), which the parties in these cases were induced to disregard by the action of the department itself, however honestly the department acted itself, and in the full belief that Congress would confer upon it authority to settle the claims without the necessity of a recourse to the Court of Claims on the part of the claimants.

The amount of the claims, as officially footed up by the Attorney-General in his letter to your committee, is $220,674.24. Your committee therefore report back the bill (H. R. 10315) with an amendment inserting that amount in place of the amount $221,451.37, as contained in lines 9 and 10 of the bill, and as so amended recommend its passage.

In the report of this committee in the Fifty-seventh Congress (H Rept. No. 1316) it appears that:

Subsequently to the date of the report of the Committee on Claims, the Attorney-General transmitted another list of similar claims examined since the date of transmission of the first list, and found to be barred by the statute of limitations, stating also that the investigation of this entire class of claims had now been completed, so that this last list constitutes the final list, and the two together comprise all claims of this description.

The letter of the Attorney-General transmitting that list is as follows:

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
Washington, D. C., February 8, 1901.

To the Senate:

I have the honor to transmit herewith a list in further response to Senate resolution, No. 40, of December 18, 1899, calling upon this department for a list showing the amounts which have been reported by the commissioners of the Court of Claims, or found by the court, as representing services actually performed by letter carriers in excess of eight hours per day, under the act of May 24, 1888, entitled "An act to limit the hours that letter carriers in cities shall be employed per day," but which have been excluded or excepted from judgment for the sole reason that the same were barred by the statute of limitations.

The list herewith transmitted represents claims of precisely the same character as those contained in the list transmitted by this department on the 10th of March, 1900, and contained in Senate Document No. 216, Fifty-sixth Congress, first session. They were examined by a commissioner of the Court of Claims in precisely the same manner as those contained in that list, and are contained in reports filed by the commissioner since the date of the transmission of that list, with the exception of a few cases accidently omitted from the first list, and which are herewith included.

As the investigation of this entire class of claims has now been completed, the present list, and that contained in Senate Document No. 216, Fifty-sixth Congress, first session, comprise all claims of the description mentioned in the resolution of the Senate.

My views on these claims, as stated in my letter of April 18, 1900, to the chairman the Senate Committee on Claims, printed in Senate Report No. 1623, Fifty-sixth Congress, first session, apply equally to those embodied in the accompanying list.

Very respectfully,

JOHN W. GRIGGS,
Attorney-General.

The bill herewith reported provides for the payment of the claims contained in both lists. The list of amounts due, by cities and States, is appended to this report.

[From House Report 1182, Fifty-seventh Congress, first session.]

[Supreme Court of the United States. No. 1061. October term, 1892. The United States, appellant, *v.* Aaron S. Post. Appeal from the Court of Claims. March 13, 1893. 148 U. S., 124.]

Mr. Justice Blatchford delivered the opinion of the court:

This is a suit brought in the Court of Claims by Aaron S. Post against the United States by an original petition filed March 26, 1891. A traverse of the petition was filed May 23, 1891, and an amended petition January 11, 1892. In the latter it is set forth that the claimant was, from May 24, 1888, to December 31, 1889, a letter carrier in the post-office at the city of Salt Lake City, in the Territory of Utah, of the class entitled to a salary of $850 a year; that during that period he was from time to time actually and necessarily employed in excess of eight hours a day in the performance of the duties assigned to him as such carrier, aggregating an excess of a specified number of hours; that by the act of Congress of May 24, 1888, ch. 308 (25 Stat., 157), entitled "An act to limit the hours that letter carriers in cities shall be employed per day," he became entitled to extra pay for all the time during which he was so employed in excess of eight hours a day, and that he had applied to the Post-Office Department for payment of the same and it had not been paid, and he claimed judgment for a specified amount and costs. A traverse of the amended petition was filed February 21, 1892. Eight other cases were before the Court of Claims and tried at the same time, with petitions in the same form and claiming various amounts, the claimants serving for various periods, and their classes and salaries being various.

The Court of Claims found that Post was a letter carrier at the post-office at Salt Lake City between May 24, 1888, and December 21, 1889, of the second class, at a salary of $850 a year. The other findings were as follows:

"2. During their aforesaid terms of service said claimants were actually employed in the performance of their duties more than eight hours a day, the excess over such eight hours being shown in the following finding:

3. "The manner, time, and nature of their employment was generally as follows:

"They were required to report for duty at the post-office at 7 a. m. From 7 to 7.30 they were employed within the post-office in the distribution of mail matter; that is to say, in taking letters and papers from newly arrived pouches, assorting them, and placing them in the boxes for box and general delivery.

"From 7.30 to 8 they were severally engaged in arranging their own mail matter for carrier delivery by streets and numbers, and where the residence of a person was not expressed in the direction of a letter and was not known or remembered in looking it up in the directory.

"From 8 to 11 they were occupied on their routes in delivering and collecting mail matter.

"From 11 to 11.30 they were engaged within the post-office building in making returns of persons not found and other things connected with their route delivery.

"From 11.30 to 1 they were employed within the post-office in the general distribution of mail matter.

"From 1 to 2 they were absent and off duty.

"From 2 to 3.30 they were again employed on the post-office work of distributing general mail matter.

"From 3.30 to 4 they were severally engaged in arranging their own mail matter for delivery.

"From 4 to 6 they were again occupied on their routes in delivering and collecting mail matter and in making their returns.

"From 6 to 7 they were again absent and off duty.

"From 7 to 8 they were again employed on the post-office work of distributing general mail matter.

"The above statement represents an ordinary or average day's employment. The time of going out and the time of being out on the routes, in fact, varied with the size of the mail, as did the time of their being relieved from duty at night. But their reporting for duty at 7 in the morning, at 2 in the afternoon, and at 7 in the evening was constant.

"The above statement does not apply to Sundays. On Sundays the carriers made no deliveries. They were employed, however, in the office; but the time of employment did not exceed eight hours. During the time covered by this claim there were 9 carriers and 3 clerks employed in said post-office.

"4. The carriers, by one of their number, remonstrated against the performance of work not connected with their duties as carriers. The postmaster, however, held that 'under the regulations the postmaster could use them in that service.' He therefore required them to perform it.

"5. During the time embraced within the present claims the following regulations of the Post-Office Department were in force, all under the general title, 'Free-Delivery Service.' (Postal Laws and Regulations, 1887, pp. 259, 261, 266, 268, 269):

" 'SEC. 628. *Postmasters to supervise carrier service.*—Postmasters will supervise their carrier service, and are specially enjoined—

" '1. To see that superintendents, carriers, and clerks connected with this service are fully informed as to their responsibilities and duties. * * *

" '3. To frequently visit the stations and see that the regulations are there observed and proper order and discipline maintained.

" '4. To issue all necessary orders and instructions necessary to carry out the regulations and promote the efficiency of the service.

" '5. To reprimand the carriers for irregularities or report them for removal to the superintendent of free delivery, as the nature of the offense may require. (See section 642.)

" 'SEC. 642. *Reprimand, suspension, and removal.*—The due performance of their duty by carriers, and the observance of law, regulations, and orders prescribed for their conduct, will be enforced by reprimand for slight offenses; by suspension with loss of pay for more serious ones, not, however, to exceed thirty days; and by suspension and recommendations for removal for grave offenses, or persistent disregard of the rules herein prescribed, or of the orders of the postmaster not inconsistent herewith. In all other cases of recommendation for removal, carriers should not be suspended, but postmasters should await the action of the department.

"All the following are under the subtitle 'General Duties of Carriers.'

" 'SEC. 647. *Duties generally.*—Carriers shall be employed in the delivery and collection of mail matter, and during the intervals between their trips may be employed in the post-office in such manner as the postmaster may direct, but not as clerks.

" 'The delivery and collection by them must be frequently tested at irregular intervals, to determine their efficiency.

" 'SEC. 648. *Delivery of matter.*—The mails must be assorted and the carriers started on their first daily trip as early as practicable. They must proceed to their routes with expedition and by the most direct way. A schedule of the order of delivery of each route should be made in a legible hand by names of streets and numbers of houses, and the mail delivered according to such schedule. Mail matter directed to box numbers must be delivered through the boxes. Mail matter addressed to street and number must be delivered by carriers unless otherwise directed. Mail matter addressed neither to a box holder nor to a street and number must be delivered by carrier if its address is known or can be ascertained from the city directory, otherwise, at the general delivery.

" 'SEC. 649. *Care in delivery of mail.*—Carriers will exercise great care in the delivery of mail to the persons for whom it is intended, or to some one known to them to be authorized to receive it. They will, in case of doubt, make respectful inquiry with the view to ascertain the owner. Failing in this, they will return the mail to the office, to be disposed of as the postmaster may direct.

" 'SEC. 651. *Directory to be used to ascertain addresses.*—Where a directory is published it must be used when necessary to ascertain the address of persons to whom letters are directed, and it should also be used in the case of transient newspapers and other matter of the third and fourth classes, where the error in or omission of street address is evidently the result of ignorance or inadvertence; but when circulars, printed postal cards, or other matter, except letters, shall arrive at any post-office in large quantities, apparently all sent by the same person or firm, and from which the street addresses have been purposely omitted, the directory need not be used to supply such omission, and all of such circulars, etc., which can not readily be delivered through boxes or by carriers, shall be sent to the general delivery to await call.'

"6. In the case of Aaron S. Post, the claimant, between the 24th day of May, 1888, and the 31st day of December, 1889, was employed by order of the postmaster in excess of eight hours a day, as follows:

"Before 7 a. m., the regular hour when the carriers reported for duty, he arrived at the office and opened the eastern mail, which came at about 5 in the morning, in order to prepare the same for the southern mail. This was done so that it would

not have to lie over twenty-four hours. The time thus employed was two hundred and forty-six and one-half hours.

"During intervals between 7 a. m., when carriers reported for duty, and 6 p. m., when their work as carriers ended, he was employed in the office in opening the mail, stamping it, and distributing the same as hereinbefore stated, in excess of eight hours, nine hundred and eighty-six hours.

"After his last trip and his returns as carrier were made—i. e., after 7 p. m.—he was employed on the post-office work of distributing general mail matter in the office four hundred and ninety-three hours."

On such findings of fact, the court found as a conclusion of law that Post was entitled to recover for 1,725½ hours of extra work, amounting, at the rate of 29.1 cents per hour, to $502.12. The opinion of the court in the nine cases, including that of Post, is found in 27 Ct. Cl., 244. A judgment was entered in favor of Post on March 10, 1892, for $502.12, from which judgment the United States appealed to this court.

The act of May 24, 1888, reads as follows: "That hereafter eight hours shall constitute a day's work for letter carriers in cities or postal districts connected therewith, for which they shall receive the same pay as is now paid as for a day's work of a greater number of hours. If any letter carrier is employed a greater number of hours per day than eight he shall be paid extra for the same in proportion to the salary now fixed by law."

The contention of the United States is that the statute has reference only to letter-carrier service, and that the claimant, to bring himself within its provisions, must show not only that he has performed more than eight hours of service in a day, but also that such eight hours of service related exclusively to the free distribution and collection of mail matter, and that the extra service for which he claims compensation was of the same character.

In this connection reference is made to sections 1764 and 1765 of the Revised Statutes. Section 1764 provides as follows: "No allowance or compensation shall be made to any officer or clerk by reason of the discharge of duties which belong to any other officer or clerk in the same or any other department; and no allowance or compensation shall be made for any extra services whatever which any officer or clerk may be required to perform, unless expressly authorized by law." Section 1765 provides as follows: "No officer in any branch of the public service, or any other person whose salary, pay, or emoluments are fixed by law or regulation, shall receive any additional pay, extra allowance, or compensation, in any form whatever, for the disbursement of public money, or for any other service or duty whatever, unless the same is authorized by law and the appropriation therefor explicitly states that it is for such additional pay, extra allowance, or compensation."

Referring to section 647 of the Postal Laws and Regulations of 1887, which were in force during the time embraced within the claim in question, under the head of "Free-delivery service" (and which section 647 is set forth in finding 5 of the Court of Claims), under the subtitle "General duties of carriers," it providing as follows: "Carriers shall be employed in the delivery and collection of mail matter, and, during the intervals between their trips, may be employed in the post-office in such manner as the postmaster may direct, but not as clerks," it is contended for the United States that the duties of letter carriers are a necessary incident to the creation of the free-delivery service; that the statute necessarily defines their services to be a distribution and collection of mail, and such other duties as are necessarily incident thereto, such as receiving the mail allotted to them by clerks in the post-office, arranging it for distribution, and making a proper disposition of it, when not delivered, upon their return to the post-office; and that any other service which a carrier may perform is not contemplated by the act of May 24, 1888, and is an extra service within the meaning of sections 1764 and 1765 of the Revised Statutes, payment for which is not authorized by law.

For the claimant, it is contended that, under section 647 of the regulations of the department, as set forth in finding 5 of the Court of Claims, the extra service for which the claim is made was an employment of the letter carrier, not only in the delivery and collection of mail matter, but also in the post-office, during the intervals between his trips, in such manner as the postmaster directed, but not as a clerk.

It is not stated in the findings that the claimant was so employed as a clerk, nor does it appear what the duties of a clerk in the post-office in question were, but merely that, during the time covered by the claim, there were nine carriers and three clerks employed in that post-office. It is also found, by finding 4, that the carriers remonstrated against the performance of work not connected with their duties as carriers; but that the postmaster held that, under the regulations, he could use them in that service, and therefore required them to perform it. This, in view of the provision of section 647 of the regulations, is substantially a finding that they were not employed as clerks.

The whole contention on the part of the United States amounts to this, that the Court of Claims has substantially found that none of the extra work for which compensation is claimed was incident to the general duties of the claimant as a letter carrier, and that the statute in regard to extra service relates exclusively to that which is connected with the general duties of the claimant as a letter carrier, and not to compensation for extra service, when he is not employed for eight hours a day in the performance of his general duties as a letter carrier.

The statute of 1888 provides that eight hours shall constitute a day's work "for letter carriers" in cities or postal districts connected therewith. It does not state what duties the letter carriers shall perform during such day's work, but merely that they shall receive for such day's work of eight hours the same pay that was then paid for a day's work of a greater number of hours. It further provides that if a letter carrier is employed a greater number of hours per day than eight he shall be paid extra for such greater number of hours in proportion to the salary fixed by law for his compensation. This extra pay is given to him by the statute distinctly for his being employed a greater number of hours per day than eight. The statute does not say how he must be employed, or of what such employment is to consist. It is necessary only that he should be a letter carrier, and be lawfully employed in work that is not inconsistent with his general business under his employment as a letter carrier. The employment authorized by section 647 of the regulations is defined to be an employment in the post-office in such manner as the postmaster may direct, during the intervals between the carrier's trips in delivering and collecting mail matter, provided that he be not employed in the post-office as a clerk therein.

The Court of Claims, in its opinion, arrived at the following conclusions: (1) That the letter carriers were entitled to recover, not only for all work done by them on the street, in delivering and collecting mail matter, but also for all work done in the post-office, in receiving and arranging the letters of their routes; (2) that, as to the distribution of mail matter for the boxes and general delivery, as found in finding 3, during the times intervening between one trip and another in the same day, the regulations of the department, set forth in finding 5, could properly be construed as permitting such services; and (3) that, as to the services of the same character rendered after the termination of the last trip for the day of the carrier in delivering and collecting mail matter, they were services fairly within the power of the postmaster to prescribe.

We are of opinion that, in respect for all such services, the letter carrier, if employed therein a greater number of hours than eight per day, was entitled to be paid extra. To hold otherwise would be to say that the carrier was employed contrary to the regulations of the department, when it clearly appears that he was employed in accordance with such regulations. The statute was manifestly one for the benefit of the carriers, and it does not lie in the mouth of the Government to contend that the employment in question was not extra service, and to be paid for as such, when it appears that the United States, in accordance with the regulations of the Post-Office Department, actually employed the letter carriers the extra number of hours per day, and it is not found that they were so employed as clerks. The postmaster was the agent of the United States to direct the employment, and if the letter carriers had not obeyed the orders of the postmaster they could have been dismissed. They did not lose their legal rights under the statute by obeying such orders.

Judgment affirmed.

Mr. Justice Jackson took no part in the decision of this case.

True copy.

Teste:

[SEAL.]

JAMES H. MCKENNEY,
Clerk Supreme Court United States.

[Supreme Court of the United States. No. 1060. October term, 1892. The United States, appellant, *v.* Frank Gates. Appeal from the Court of Claims. March 13, 1893, 148 U. S., 134.]

Mr. Justice Blatchford delivered the opinion of the court:

In this case Frank Gates filed a petition in the Court of Claims, May 27, 1891, setting forth that from May 24, 1888, to July 31, 1888, he was a letter carrier in the post-office at the city of New York, of the class entitled to a salary of $1,000 a year; that during that period he was from time to time actually employed in excess of eight hours a day in the performance of the duties assigned to him as such carrier, aggregating a specified excess; that by the act of May 24, 1888 (set forth in case No. 1061, just decided), he became entitled to extra pay for all the time during which he was so

employed in excess of eight hours a day; that he had applied to the Post-Office Department for payment and it had not been paid; and that he claimed judgment for a specified amount, besides cost. A traverse of the petition was filed July 14, 1891, and the case was heard by the Court of Claims, which, on the evidence, found the facts to be as follows:

"1. The claimant was, during the months of May, June, and July, 1888, a letter carrier of the first class, salary $1,000 a year, in the city of New York, in the State of New York.

"2. From May 24, 1888, to July 31, 1888, he was actually and necessarily employed in the performance of his duties more than eight hours a day, the excess over such eight hours being as follows:

	Hrs.	Min.
May, 1888	16	53
June, 1888	78	58
July, 1888	69	18
Total	165	9

"He has received no extra pay for the excess.

"3. For the said period of time claimant performed only fifteen hours of service on the 10 Sundays, and four hours and thirty minutes on Decoration Day, and the same time on the 4th day of July."

On such findings of fact, the court found, as a conclusion of law, that Gates was entitled to recover for the one hundred and sixty-five hours and nine minutes of extra work performed by him, without being required to deduct therefrom the deficit of less than eight hours a day worked on Sundays and holidays, as shown by finding 3, amounting, at 34.2 cents per hour, to $56.48; and for that amount a judgment was entered for him, to review which the United States has appealed.

In the opinion of the Court of Claims, reported in 27 Ct. Cls., 244, 259, it is stated that No. 1061 (just decided) embraced, with a single exception, all the questions presented by the present case, No. 1060, besides many more questions; and that No. 1060 presented one question which was not presented in the other cases. That question is stated in the opinion as follows: "On week days the carriers were employed more than eight hours, but on Sundays less, and the deficit of the latter nearly equals the excess of the former. The Post-Office Department, by its circular February 19, 1891, has directed postmasters 'To determine the time a letter carrier may have been required to work during any month in excess of eight hours per day, as follows:

" 'Ascertain the aggregate hours worked during the month. Multiply the number of days worked during the month by eight, and substract the product thus obtained from the aggregate number of hours worked, and the remainder will be the extra time for which the carrier is entitled to pay at the following rates:

Salary.	First quarter.	Second quarter.	Third and fourth quarters.	Average quarter.
$600	20⅞ cents per hour	20⅝ cents per hoor	20⅜ cents per hour	20⅝ cents per hour.
$800	27¾ cents per hour	27½ cents per hour	27¼ cents per hour	27⅝ cents per hour.
$850	29½ cents per hour	29¼ cents per hour	38⅞ cents per hour	29 5/24 cents per hour.
$1,000	34¾ cents per hour	34⅜ cents per hour	34 cents per hour	34½ cents per hour.

" 'The time necessarily consumed in the performance of the service between "report for duty" and "end of duty" is the "actual time" to be allowed, and the interim between deliveries is the carrier's own time, and can not in any case be charged against the United States.'

"The carrier's eight-hour law declares 'that hereafter eight hours shall constitute a day's work,' but it allows compensation to continue in the form of an annual salary, and requires no deduction to be made if the duties of the day do not extend through the prescribed time. It also declares that 'if any letter carrier is employed a greater number of hours per day than eight he shall be paid extra for the same.' To sustain the interpretation given to the act by the department, it will be necessary to read in it by construction the words 'on an average,' i. e., if any letter carrier is employed on an average a greater number of hours per day than eight, he shall be paid extra for the same. This the court is not at liberty to do. The carrier is entitled to eight hours' work, and to his pay if work is not furnished to him. For any excess on any day he is entitled to extra pay. The only set-off that can be maintained is when he is absent from duty without leave. The department is at liberty to keep a carrier

employed eight hours every day, but not to give him a deficit of work one day and an excess another."

In the brief of the Solicitor-General in the present case, it is stated that in his opinion the decision of the Court of Claims was correct; that he is prevented from dismissing the appeal only by the fact that another department of the Government has differed from that view and declines to follow it until the question is decided authoritatively by this court; and that justice to the letter carriers seems, therefore, to require that the case be submitted to this court for its determination, which he does without argument.

The conclusions which we have reached in No. 1061 cover the same questions arising in this case which are presented in that; and as the appellant does not challenge the decision of the Court of Claims as to the question presented in this case which is not presented in No. 1061, it is sufficient to say that we concur with the views of that court above stated as to that question.

Judgment affirmed.

Mr. Justice Jackson took no part in the decision of this case.

True copy.

Test:

[SEAL.]

JAMES H. MCKENNEY,
Clerk Supreme Court United States.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
Washington, D. C., April 18, 1900.

SIR: I acknowledge receipt of your communication of April 16 inclosing a copy of bill S. 4018, for the payment of overtime claims of letter carriers excluded from judgment as barred by limitation. You request that I will cause to be forwarded, for the use of your committee, all papers on file in my department relating to these claims, and also that I will favor the committee with my opinion as to the merits of the same.

In reply I have the honor to state that the list of persons and amounts to which this bill is intended to apply, contained in Senate Doc. No. 216, Fifty-sixth Congress first session, to which the bill refers, was made out in response to a resolution of the Senate of the date December 18, 1899, calling upon the department for a list of the amounts which have been reported by the commissioners of the Court of Claims as representing services actually performed by letter carriers in excess of eight hours per day.

This list was prepared under my direction by an expert employee of this department, who has during the past five years assisted the commissioners of the Court of Claims in preparing their reports to the court of overtime of letter carriers. The list was made out by him from an examination of each one of the 2,000 or more reports of overtime of letter carriers, which have been made to the Court of Claims by the commissioners appointed for the purpose during the past six years, and was based upon the statements contained in such reports of the amount and value of overtime services performed by letter carriers for which claim was barred by the statute of limitations governing actions in the Court of Claims. These statements of barred services were made by the commissioners upon an investigation of exactly the same facts as were inquired into by them in reporting upon claims not barred, and in fact are a part and parcel of each report.

The result of this work is undoubtedly correct, and the total amount of such claims, as ascertained by careful computation, is $220,674.24. There are no papers on file in this department relating to these claims, and the papers from which computation of the same was made are part of the files of the Court of Claims; hence I am unable to forward, for the use of your committee, any papers in the premises.

As regards the merits of these claims, they were excluded from the consideration of the Court of Claims solely by reason of the statute of limitations above referred to. The various amounts were ascertained by commissioners of the Court of Claims, who were appointed by the court for the purpose of inquiring into the facts relating to the claims of letter carriers throughout the United States for overtime services, and the correctness of their work is evidenced by the fact that their reports have almost invariably been accepted, not only by the claimants, but by the United States, as being just and fair. I am satisfied, too, that these reports were eminently conservative.

As the only objection that I am aware of to these claims is a technical one, it is my opinion that, provided the failure of the claimants to present their claims to the court before the statute of limitations commenced to run against the same can be satisfactorily explained, these claims are as meritorious as any of those upon which judgment has heretofore been rendered by the court.

In reply to your request to be furnished with papers on file in this department showing the reasons, if any, that existed for the failure on the part of any of the claimants of the class proposed to be relieved for not presenting their claims to the Court of Claims until barred by limitation I have to say that there are no papers in this department bearing upon this question, nor have any such papers ever been presented to this department, nor to the Court of Claims; but I have the honor to suggest that the information asked for in this connection can undoubtedly be obtained from the Post-Office Department, in which department these claims originated.

Very respectfully,

JOHN W. GRIGGS, *Attorney-General.*

Hon. H. S. BOUTELL,
Chairman Subcommittee No. 2, Committee on Claims,
House of Representatives.

POST-OFFICE DEPARTMENT,
OFFICE OF THE POSTMASTER-GENERAL,
Washington, D. C., April 18, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your communication of the 4th instant, inclosing copy of House bill 10315 and requesting that your committee be furnished with papers or information on file in this department bearing upon the subject-matter of said bill.

In reply I have the honor to state that between the years 1889 and 1894 numerous claims for overtime were filed in this department by letter carriers and ex-letter carriers. These claims were made out on blanks furnished by this department to postmasters, and postmasters were required to certify on the blanks to the correctness of the claims. It was clearly the intention of the department at that time to adjust and pay these claims, and an unexpended balance of an appropriation having been made available for this purpose in 1890 (26 Stat. L., p. 676), a number of such claims arising at Washington and Philadelphia were adjusted and paid.

No further appropriations were made to enable this department to pay the claims and there arose also questions as to the proper interpretation of the act of May 24, 1888, "An act to limit the hours that letter carriers in cities shall be employed per day." These questions were judicially determined by decisions of the Court of Claims in the case of Post *v.* United States (27 Ct. Cls. R., 244) and by the Supreme Court in United States *v.* Post (148 U. S. Reports, 124) and in United States *v.* Gates (148 U. S. Reports, 134).

After the decisions of the Supreme Court were announced the Postmaster-General issued an order to prevent the further making of overtime in the light of the decisions above mentioned. This order was followed by a circular letter of instructions to postmasters, dated April 5, 1893, and signed by the First Assistant Postmaster-General, in which the following reference to overtime claims is made:

"In this connection, with a view to minimizing the correspondence, confusion, and delay incident to an equitable adjustment of valid claims for overtime services actually and necessarily performed, letter carriers may be informed that a new, and it is to be hoped a correct, form of claim blank will soon be sent by the department, for the benefit of claimants, to the postmasters at all free-delivery offices whence overtime claims have emanated, upon which particular blank all claims heretofore submitted should be restated and upon which any subsequent claims may also be presented to the department for adjustment."

The intention of the department to adjust these claims is further shown in a communication of the Postmaster-General to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, dated November 8, 1893 (Ex. Doc. No. 8, 53d Cong., 2d sess.), and by letters sent out by this department in answer to inquiries from claimants. As a sample of these letters I quote one written to J. F. O'Connor, Springfield, Mass., under date of March 21, 1894:

"Yours of the 27th ultimo received. You can not present your claim for back pay to the Court of Claims without having counsel to represent you. It is not necessary, however, to present these claims to the Court of Claims; if they are sent to this department they will receive proper attention."

As to the merits of the claims, I am of the opinion that the claimants are, as a matter of justice, entitled to relief in some form.

Very respectfully,

CH. EMORY SMITH,
Postmaster-General.

Hon. H. S. BOUTELL,
Chairman Subcommittee No. 2, House of Representatives.

[House Executive Document No. 8, Fifty-third Congress, second session.]

OFFICE OF THE POSTMASTER-GENERAL,
Washington, D. C., November 8, 1893.

SIR: Replying to resolution of inquiry on the part of the House of Representatives, dated November 3, 1893, as to whether the claims of letter carriers for compensation for services in excess of eight hours per day, under act of May 24, 1888, as construed by the Court of Claims March 7, 1892, are being received, and whether in the settlement of these claims the services of attorneys are necessary, I have the honor to state:

(1) That claims are now, from time to time, being received, which are additional to a large number already on file in this department, including those adjudicated by the honorable Court of Claims, but not yet paid.

(2) I am of the opinion that the services of attorneys are unnecessary, either in behalf of claimants or the Government, for the reason that the settlement of these claims will, necessarily, be made from records kept in the several post-offices, in connection with the departmental records, and will be a matter for clerical rather than legal capacity. It is true that in very many, perhaps nine-tenths, of the claims on file, powers of attorney were filed simultaneously with the claims, but no action has yet arisen in the settlement thereof in which attorneys have been recognized, except informally, by the department.

The adjudication and settlement of these claims can be made by the free-delivery division of this department direct, if afforded an adequate clerical force for their investigation and a sufficient appropriation for their payment. The adjudication of similar claims heretofore paid was effected in this manner.

Very respectfully,

W. S. BISSELL, *Postmaster-General.*

Hon. CHAS. F. CRISP,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

The foregoing document is quoted in the last Senate report on this bill (S. Rept. 82, 60th Cong., 1st sess.), and the following statement is made by the committee:

No such general appropriation as was suggested by the last paragraph of this response was ever made, either for the purpose of affording a sufficient clerical force or for the payment of the claims. All claimants, therefore, necessarily had to take their cases into the Court of Claims. Wherever the claim was presented more than six years after the rendition of the services the carrier was unable to obtain judgment for such part as accrued more than six years before the filing of the petition, under the provisions of section 1059 of the Revised Statutes, which is in the following words:

"Every claim against the United States cognizable by the Court of Claims shall be forever barred unless the petition setting forth a statement thereof is filed in the court * * * within six years after the claim first accrues."

In order to facilitate the settlement of this very numerous class of claims, commissioners were appointed to examine and report fully the amounts due the several claimants. The form of their commission will be found printed in the report of the case of Adams *v.* United States (33 Ct. Cls. R., pp. 411, 415), as follows:

"Upon motion of the Assistant Attorney-General, on behalf of the Government, it is hereby ordered that —— ——— be, and is hereby, constituted a commissioner of this court in the above-entitled action and such other actions as may be brought before him by consent of the parties or order of court.

"He shall investigate such claims, upon any books, records, or documents relating thereto, and upon such evidence, oral or written, as he may deem material, and shall report the facts found by him to exist, together with a computation of the amounts due the several claimants therefor.

"He shall have power to examine claimants and other witnesses, at any place within the county of their respective residences; to exercise the powers of a commissioner of the court; he may in any case where he deems it material, or at request of either party, attach to his report any depositions or other evidence taken by him. In case any claimant shall in writing request of such commissioner opportunity to be represented by counsel upon the taking of testimony, said referee shall allow reasonable time for procuring the attendance of such counsel.

"Upon the coming in of any report of such referee, either party shall have the right to object to any detail of such report and computation and to take any evidence in support either of the claim or the defense in the same manner as if no such report had been made.

"This appointment may be revoked at any time by order of this court."

The commissioners in the examination of the claims reported on the amount of overtime made by the carriers and the statutory compensation therefor, and judgments were entered upon these reports for all amounts which had been earned within the six years before the time the petition had been filed in each case, respectively. Wherever a portion of the claim was over six years old, the amount representing such portion was excluded or excepted from judgment under the bar of limitation enacted by section 1059 of the Revised Statutes as above quoted. The report of the Attorney-General, contained in Senate Doc. No. 216, contains the tabulated statement of the amounts thus reported by commissioners as having been actually earned for overtime made under the act of May 24, 1888, but excluded or excepted from judgment on the sole ground of limitation. The Attorney-General states that the reports of the commissioners were eminently conservative, and have almost invariably been accepted, both by the claimants and by the United States, as just and fair. Also that—

"These statements of barred services were made by the commissioners upon an investigation of exactly the same facts as were inquired into by them in reporting upon claims not barred, and, in fact, are a part and parcel of each report."

The total amount for which judgments have been entered by the Court of Claims is about $3,000,000, as shown by the Report of the Postmaster-General for 1897, page 95, leaving as excluded or excepted from judgment, for the sole reason that the claims were barred by limitation, the amount of claims contained in Senate Document No. 216 and provided for by the present bill, aggregating $220,674.24. As the investigation upon which these claims were found due was of precisely the same character as that which resulted in the entry of the large amount of judgments which have been paid by appropriations in the regular deficiency bills, and as the failure of these claimants to present their claims to the Court of Claims in time was not due to their own fault, but to the belief, for which they had a good apparent foundation in many written statements of the department itself, that the claims would be settled by the department without contest, and without the necessity of suing in the Court of Claims, it is evident that the facts fully support the opinion of the Postmaster-General "that the claimants are, as a matter of justice, entitled to relief in some form."

Since January 1, 1895, no appreciable overtime has been made by letter carriers. This fact is stated in the Postmaster-General's annual report for 1897, page 95.

Your committee annex to this report a copy of the opinions delivered by the Supreme Court of the United States on March 13, 1893, in which the act of May 24, 1888, under which these claims arise, is set forth and fully construed. These opinions are reported in 148 United States Reports, pages 124 to 137.

The examination of these claims by commissioners of the Court of Claims, upon which the judgments were based, from which the amounts provided for in the pending bill were excluded or excepted, were all made subsequently to the rendition of these opinions by the Supreme Court and in accordance therewith.

Your committee therefore report back the bill, S. 4018, favorably and recommend its passage.

The following appears in House Report No. 1182, Fifty-sixth Congress, first session, and is embodied in all later reports on this measure:

[Extracts from letters received from claimants concerning reasons why their claims were not presented in time to escape the action of the statute of limitations. These are typical of a very large number.]

Davenport, Iowa.—In regard to this claim I will say that I sent it to the First Assistant Postmaster-General, on a blank that was supplied by him for this purpose, in December, 1893, I think.

This blank had a part of the overtime which I had made on it and was signed by Postmaster ——— as being correct and just.

This claim was returned to me by the first assistant, for the reason that I had only the overtime made each day made out, instead of having all of the time consumed each day shown; with the same envelope he returned me new blanks with instructions to properly fill out and on receipt of the same he also said that the department would take action, he also informed me that it would not be necessary to employ the services of an attorney.

Acting under these instructions, I made out another blank and had it signed by Mr. Fred A. Lisher, who was then postmaster, and forwarded it to the department in the month of March, 1894, and since that time I have heard nothing from them.

In regard to the other claims that you have from this city, I will say that at the time the overtime was made it was impossible for any of the men to file any claims and hold their positions.

Pittsburg, Pa.—In connection with the matter I will say ——— at the time a post-office inspector visited this city and told us he was representing the Post-Office Department in the matter of settling our claims for overtime. He told us the department would settle with and pay us and that was the surest, safest, and perhaps the only way by which a settlement could be reached, as it might be twenty years before our claims would be reached before the Court of Claims.

As he was recognized by the local post-office officials as an officer of the department, I believed he spoke with authority and truthfully and thought any other than the plan he suggested would be useless, as well as likely to be considered discourteous, and when no relief came from the department, and as the statute of limitations was working against my claim, I at last tried the Court of Claims.

Peoria, Ill.—At the time the postmaster at Bloomington, where I was employed, Hon. John Eddy, took my papers, after I had made out my claim for overtime, and sent them to the Post-Office Department instead of sending them to the claim department. My claim was sent in there in plenty of time.

The inclosed letter will explain itself and show what the condition of affairs was at Washington. If you do not need this, please return same to me.

POST-OFFICE DEPARTMENT,
OFFICE OF THE FIRST ASSISTANT POSTMASTER-GENERAL,
Washington, D. C., March 18, 1894.

SIR: Yours of the 12th instant, in reference to your claim for overtime now on file in the Post-Office Department, has been received.

In reply I have to state that it is impossible to give you any information concerning the probable date upon which the department will take up these claims for settlement, as this is a matter depending entirely upon the action of Congress.

Your claim will, however, have the same consideration shown others of like character.

Very respectfully,
——— ———,
First Assistant Postmaster-General.

Newark, N. J.—Referring to the claims in question as presented by the carriers of this office, we wish to state that in 1894 the carriers concerned presented, at the suggestion of the then superintendent of free delivery, their claims to the Post-Office Department. We were assured at the time that the claims would receive proper consideration, and those authorities being our highest superiors, we felt assured that our claims would be properly filed and given the consideration merited under the law. It appears, however, that those claims were permitted to remain on file and were never properly presented to the disbursing officers of the United States, and when a second claim was filed we learned through you that the claims originating prior to a certain time were outlawed by limitation.

You will observe that as far as we are concerned we filed our claims in ample time to prevent the raising of the question of limitation of time, and it does not seem that in justice to us we should be deprived of what is legally and equitably our due simply because our superior officers of that time neglected or overlooked the matter.

Paducah, Ky.—I, with four other carriers, sent our claims to the Post-Office Department at Washington. They were returned, saying that there would be a commissioner call on us to adjust the claim, so I did not think it necessary to take any further steps until he came. So when the commissioner did come my time was debarred. I have no letters of any description, they all being destroyed.

Lexington, Ky.—My claim for overtime as letter carrier in this city was filed in the Post-Office Department at Washington, D. C., about April 24, 1894. The claim was prepared and made out upon a blank furnished by the Postmaster-General, and by his instructions was filed, and acknowledgment of same received about May 1, 1894. Thinking that I had done as I should do, I allowed the claim to remain on file until December 12, 1894. I called upon the Postmaster-General for status of the claim, and in reply received notice that the claim would have to be filed in the Court of Claims, which was done, not, however, before the statute of limitations had been running for several months. In April, 1895, I filed an application with the Postmaster-General to assist me in getting the part of the claim which was barred, covering the period from May 24, 1888, to January 1, 1889. I have had several communications from the Post-Office Department since that time, which I will forward to you.

POST-OFFICE DEPARTMENT,
OFFICE OF THE FIRST ASSITANT POSTMASTER-GENERAL,
Washington, D. C., April 20, 1893.

SIR: Yours of the 14th instant, asking for a blank on which to make out a claim for overtime, has been received. In reply you are informed that the department is now

having an overtime blank prepared, in accordance with the decision of the Supreme Court, a supply of which will be furnished to postmasters at free-delivery offices as soon as printed, when you can procure a copy from the postmaster at Lexington.

Very respectfully,

——— ———,
First Assistant Postmaster-General.

POST-OFFICE DEPARTMENT,
OFFICE OF THE FIRST ASSISTANT POSTMASTER-GENERAL,
Washington, D. C., June 30, 1893.

SIR: * * * In compliance with your request we inclose you herewith a "claim" blank, as requested.

Very respectfully,

——— ———,
Acting First Assistant Postmaster-General.

POST-OFFICE DEPARTMENT,
OFFICE OF THE FIRST ASSISTANT POSTMASTER-GENERAL,
Washington, D. C., March 17, 1894.

DEAR SIR: Yours of the 8th, referring to claim for overtime, has been received. In reply you are informed that the matter will be carefully attended to at the earliest opportunity and you will be duly informed of the action of the department.

Very respectfully,

——— ———,
First Assistant Postmaster-General.

POST-OFFICE DEPARTMENT,
OFFICE OF THE FIRST ASSISTANT POSTMASTER-GENERAL,
Washington, D. C., April 17, 1894.

DEAR SIR: Yours of the 24th, referring to claim for overtime, also statement of same, has been received. In reply you are informed that the matter will be carefully attended to at the earliest opportunity and you will be duly informed of the action of the department.

Very respectfully,

——— ———,
First Assistant Postmaster-General.

Scranton, Pa.—One reason why this claim was not prosecuted in time was because I, with others, was under the impression that it would be recognized and paid by the Government without presenting it through a regular legal process before the Court of Claims, and when I found out to the contrary this portion of my claim had been barred by the statute of limitations. We thought if our claims would be paid simply upon their presentation we could save the legal expenses.

Altoona, Pa.—The reason why I did not file my claim for overtime before it was barred by the statute of limitations was that the then postmaster said that any carrier who attempted or would file any claim for overtime during his term as postmaster of this office he would report them to the Post-Office Department and recommend their dismissal from the service. This was the rod he held over us. And to further display his unkind and unjust disposition in the matter, after ———, our attorney, who visited our office and took up the claims, he called me into his private office and took my name as one of the applicants, and stated to me that any carrier who had filed an overtime claim he would report him to the department and use his efforts to have him dismissed from the service. It was his intimidating and unkind disposition toward the carriers that kept them from filing their claims at an earlier date, because no carrier cared to lose his place on that account.

Paducah, Ky.—This claim, in connection with others, was entered in April, 1893. * * * They were sworn to before Postmaster Ed. Farley and made out upon sheets furnished by the department and forwarded by Postmaster ——— to Washington; I think to the First Assistant Postmaster-General.

Little Rock, Ark.—Was given to understand by officials of the department that it would not be necessary to put the claim in hand of a lawyer for collection nor in Court of Claims; that it would be paid without this.

Indianapolis, Ind.—The general impression that these claims would be adjusted by the department and a delicacy in bringing suit against a department where you had

worked for years and hoped to continue was the cause of delay in my case as in many others.

Lynchburg, Va.—We, the undersigned carriers, beg leave to say that the nonpresentation of our claims for our overtime was due to the prevailing impression made upon us by the ex-postmaster of this city, Mr. ———, that we would jeopardize our positions. Therefore we deemed it not necessary.

Lynchburg, Va.—I had several conversations in regard to the matter with ———, who was then superintendent of carriers, and he informed me that the Government would not pay the claim. I was also advised by my friends not to press my claim, as it would likely have some effect upon my position.

Wilkesbarre, Pa.—I handed my claim to our postmaster for approval, and he came to me about a month after and told me that he received a letter from the Post-Office Department at Washington stating that my claim had been received and would receive prompt attention.

Owensboro, Ky.—We were under the impression these claims would be paid without the services of an attorney.

Portland, Me.—The postmaster gave my overtime to me on a paper signed by him; told me to mail the same to the First Assistant Postmaster-General. I did as requested by the postmaster. Nearly six years later I learned the Court of Claims was the place to obtain judgment on the same. I wrote the Post-Office Department for my papers. They were mailed to me. I mailed them to my attorney, ——— ———. I was informed by him sometime later that my claim was barred by lapse of time.

Pittsburg, Pa.—There was a government inspector here who told us that he was here for the purpose of fixing our claims, and we need not do anything as the Government would pay us. So you can see why we did not get our claims in.

Macon, Ga.—Some years ago the carriers interested appointed ——— ——— a committee of one to wait upon our then Postmaster ——— and ask his advice as to what steps we should take in the matter, which was as follows: That he did not object to our getting the claim paid, but he was afraid we would lose our positions if we persisted in pushing the claim. Being governed by this advice we were afraid to act in the matter until ——— visited our city and assured us that we would not jeopardize our positions in presenting these claims.

New Britain, Conn.—My claim was presented in time and it was not, or any part of it, barred by limitation. If there was any delay it was no fault of mine, because my claim was sent in time, and if it was not filed in the Court of Claims it was because it must have been pigeonholed or neglected in some department of the Government. In the first place the Postmaster-General sent papers to the postmaster in New Britain asking or making inquiry if any of the employees or letter carriers had any claims against the Government for overtime work. I filled out the papers and returned them to the Postmaster-General long before my claim was barred by limitation. After I had sent the papers to the Postmaster-General, and I assumed that was all that was necessary to be done, but it seems that instead of the Government representative presenting them to the Court of Claims that they were pigeonholed as above referred to. As nothing was being done I asked to have my papers returned, and I then forwarded them to the attorney who was acting for me and others at the time in Washington, and I was then told that part of the claim was barred by limitation. There has been no neglect upon my part, and it seems to me that the neglect was upon the part of some of the government employees at Washington.

Chattanooga, Tenn.—Five carriers write as follows: "In regard to the reason or question why we did not present our claims before we were barred by the statute of limitations, we beg leave to state that soon after the passage of the "eight-hour" law our claims were presented, having just been made out by our superintendent at this post-office, or made by us and verified by him. The Government sent an inspector here to examine nto the matter, and he decided unless we worked eight hours per day for seven days in each week, including Sunday, we could not recover pay for our time. This decision caused us to make no further effort for the time being then. Soon afterwards a declaration or statement was made by our Postmaster-General that these claims would be paid, and that it would not be necessary for carriers to employ counsel or attorneys, as the Government would pay the claims without this expense. So the claims did not become barred because we had not made the demand, but because we had not demanded them through an attorney in a legal way. We claim the lapse of time was caused, first, by the decision against us by the inspector on account of his idea of fifty-six hours constituting a week's work; second, on account of statement of Postmaster-General that the claims would certainly be paid without it being necessary to put them in hands of an attorney."

These claims were promptly filed on blanks furnished by the Post-Office Department for that purpose.

——— ———,

Chief District, in Charge of Carriers.

Warren, Ohio.—The advice I got from our postmaster at that time was not to send in any claim, for the Post-Office Department had out spies looking up carriers that made claims for overtime, so I did not make any claim, as I would have liked to.

Philadelphia, Pa.—I was in the post-office at the time the claims were first made out. We had a committee of the carriers and two of them went to Washington to represent us in the matter of overtime, and they told us they got it from good authority that we should file our claims for overtime in the Post-Office Department at Washington, and we were not informed differently until almost half of our claims were barred by the statute of limitations.

Bradford, Pa.—Will say I wrote direct to the department and received answer that as soon as there was any appropriation made for payment of said claims I would be paid in full. They also entered my claim.

Lexington, Ky.—Will inform you that I was told by a first assistant postmaster at Lexington that it was not necessary; that the Post-Office Department would have claim properly filed without it.

Trinidad, Colo.—I was informed by the postmaster that if such application were made it would not be allowed, and that it would jeopardize my position in the service.

Danville Ill.—I carried mail from July 4, 1889, till about the middle of January, 1892, remaining in the office till April 4, 1892, leaving on this date for Managua, Nicaragua. Some time while I was in Nicaragua some of my friends notified me that I was entitled to overtime. I wrote to them and made all the inquiries possible, but as it took some four or five months to get an answer and sometimes not any at all, I could not do anything until I returned here in December, 1897.

Beaver Falls, Pa.—The reason the claims of the carriers were not filed before the limitation had cut out part of the time was because the postmaster, ———, advised us not to file our claim for overtime, as we might lose our positions by so doing.

Scranton, Pa.—My case, with several others, was presented at the Post-Office Department, office of First Assistant Postmaster-General, Superintendent Free-Delivery System, Washington, D. C., October 3, 1890, and they were filed for consideration when reached in their order.

Middletown, Ohio.—The Postal Department forwarded to me a blank form upon which to make out the claim. I called upon ———, then postmaster of Middletown, and showed him the form and requested permission to examine the time sheet in order to get the data. While I was at work upon that Mr. ——— said to me "that I had not better file my claim, as it would not amount to anything, and might cause the department to secure our dismissal from the service." As he was my superior officer at the time, and I presumed that he knew the postal laws, or had information which was reliable, I refrained from making out the claim for the reason that I could not afford to lose my position, particularly if the claim would not be allowed. This occurred on several occasions, and my sole reason for not presenting my claim at that time, or until the same was barred by the statute, was that through my postmaster's influence I was persuaded not to do so, and feared that if I did either that he or some other person in authority would cause my dismissal from the service.

Philadelphia, Pa.—In August, 1890, I sent my claim to Postmaster-General, Washington, D. C., with the understanding that he would see that I got my claim. On August 13, 1890, I received a letter from Acting Superintendent Free-Delivery System that my claim had been received, and that I would be paid in my turn.

New Orleans, La.—I consulted superintendent carriers, New Orleans, La.; he told me I could do what I thought best, and gave me as his opinion that any overtime that was due me would be recognized by the Department when the commissioners came down to examine the records, and then it would be time enough to file my claim. To my surprise you informed me about the limitation clause.

Sheboygan, Wis.—When the letter carriers throughout the country were notified to send in their claims, the carriers of this office also took action preparatory to sending in said claims. Mr. ———, who was then our postmaster, disapproved of our intention in a very decided manner, even going so far as to send the false statement to the Department at Washington that the question of overtime had been settled by him in this office, and that we had agreed to drop all claims of overtime. His actions placed us in a dilemma, and for the time being we delayed sending in our claims. With the advent of another postmaster we again took up the matter. He also expressed his disapproval of our intention, and so it was that much valuable time was lost before we finally decided to send in our claims. But for the opposition of our postmasters our claims would have been presented in time.

Atlanta, Ga.—I was informed I was to put the claim in through the First Assistant Postmaster-General, which I did. I received his receipt for the same. I then went down in Crawford County, Ga., in the sawmill business (in the backwood) for seven years and did not hear any more from my claim until it was put in with others.

CLAIMS OF LETTER CARRIERS.

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, barred by the statute of limitations, called for in Senate resolution, December 18, 1899.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	BIRMINGHAM, ALA.	
18414	James D. Bell	$129.44
	MOBILE, ALA.	
20528	Frank J. Leary	134.65
21027	Edward C. Cato	26.87
	Percy W. Beck	10.81
	Nathan Jessie	7.63
	Charles Lewis	10.81
	William H. Larkin	2.68
	Josh W. McCloud	10.81
	John T. Marshall	10.81
	Reuben R. Mims	10.81
	Charles H. Nichols	10.81
	Thomas W. Payton	7.63
	James T. Peterson	10.81
	Alfred S. Smith	10.81
	William H. Schneider	10.81
	Thomas W. Tobin	10.81
	Charles H. Williams	2.68
	Nathaniel Wilson	10.81
	Total	301.05
	MONTGOMERY, ALA.	
17578	William E. Elmore	129.68
	David B. Westcott	98.46
	Total	223.14
	SELMA, ALA.	
18861	Benjamin M. Russell	2.27
	John A. Russell	31.51
	Charles S. Wise	28.40
	Total	62.18
	FORT SMITH, ARK.	
18369	William L. Jackson	82.91
	William E. Joshenberger	5.55
	William D. Lunsford	6.19
	Total	94.65
	HOT SPRINGS, ARK.	
16968	Joseph Coffer	22.89
	William G. Hilliard	58.37
	Louis L. Smith	45.38
	Total	126.64
	LITTLE ROCK, ARK.	
18026	James Belcher	94.92
	George M. Farr	106.73
	Justin Morgner, widow Daniel Hoeltzel, deceased	136.40
	Total	338.05
	PINE BLUFF, ARK.	
19538	Edgar Fletcher	17.74
	Ottaway W. Gurley	84.05
	De Witt N. Hope	17.74
	Arthur B. Knight	5.15
	Taylor J. Patilo	5.15
	Madison F. Treadwell	15.26
	Horace A. Williams	25.15
	Total	170.24
	FRESNO, CAL.	
20360	Charles L. Harrison	$221.28
21068	Henry Johansen	8.66
	Total	229.94
	LOS ANGELES, CAL.	
	Harry Angell	63.88
	George N. Barnes	158.09
	John Baugarter	53.42
	Thomas M. Barron	141.83
	Howard B. Beers	163.30
	Fred'k R. Brandt	132.89
	Charles C. Brower	15.06
	William R. Carter	82.66
	Joseph Farrell	82.66
	Solomon Froman	68.84
	Dora Edgar, widow Charles E. Gale	7.63
	Rolla O. Gill	154.51
	Charles Hawthorne	153.48
	Charles E. Hutchinson	6.81
	Peter Marion	75.20
	Delos Millsap	53.42
	James B. Riddick	149.00
	Charles A. Robb	75.68
	Orville L. Robertson	175.54
	Winfred J. Sanborn	7.84
	William A. Shields	106.66
	William H. Stuart	121.13
	Ernest W. Stuart, deceased	74.53
	Edward Tynan	154.51
	John W. Whitely, jr	106.47
	John Woods	138.33
	Total	2,523.37
	OAKLAND, CAL.	
18425	George F. Collyer	155 39
	John W. Hearst	241.27
	Daniel J. Hollahan	93.75
	John Y. Morrison	30.32
	Eldon R. Parsons	247.40
	Frank H. Pollard	129.65
	Edgar Weider	3.51
18726	Horatio F. Jenkins	76.53
	Oliver F. Perigo	54.04
	Total	1,031.86
	SACRAMENTO, CAL.	
19199	Chester H. Agner	99.01
	Michael Butler	99.01
	Lee A. Devine	38.36
	George B. Eldred	99.01
	Thomas Holden, administrator Henry B. Humphreys, deceased	52.00
	John W. Toomey	74.12
19424	George G. Brentner	21.08
	Charles B. Wilson	21.32
	Total	503.86
	SAN DIEGO, CAL.	
17980	David Carson, father of William Carson, deceased	148.91
19111	Joseph E. Coulthurst	113.65
	John W. Foote	7.63
	Total	270.19

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.	
17696	Charles H. Cushman	$130.74
	Walter J. Harris	80.86
	Harry B. Bishop	9.90
	William Farrell	36.91
	Edward J. Jennings	4.54
	William Laird	9.28
	William A. O'Connor	14.23
	James E. Mulhare	45.17
	George M. Mathews	9.28
	Ibusina C. Auker	237.24
	Charles S. Baker	141.11
	William J. Barry	70.13
	James Bowe	344.36
	William S. Boushey	240.55
	Edward J. Colter	53.90
	Amy Ehinger, administratrix Edward A. Parker, deceased	141.11
	Edward D. Finley	240.55
	John Hession	182.53
	Otto H. Johnson	412.00
	Bridget Kinucan, administratrix Michael A. Kinucan, deceased	240.79
	John J. Lynch, executor George F. Myles, deceased	106.74
	John F. McGeough, administrator Thomas W. McGeough, deceased	222.67
	George H. McNulty	79.66
	John J. Maher	60.64
	Elizabeth C. Roche, administratrix Walter E. Roche, deceased	166.73
	Sarah A. Scott, administratrix Richard H. O. Weber, deceased	339.21
	Total	3,620.83
	SAN JOSE, CAL.	
18335	Charles H. Amidon	157.31
	Fred D. Ashworth	3.92
	Total	161.23
	STOCKTON, CAL.	
18320	John S. Burres	24.75
	John G. Newman	66.62
	George G. Poole	40.42
	William G. Whiting	66.62
	Total	198.41
	ASPEN, COLO.	
19778	Harry J. Sears	22.47
	COLORADO SPRINGS, COLO.	
17933	Augustus P. Everett	30.31
18786	Shesh B. Gamble	28.93
	Robert H. Magee	53.37
	Total	112.61
	DENVER, COLO.	
18051	John L. Brassee	22.69
	Joseph E. Brassee	7.43
	Joseph S. Foulke	67.66
	John H. Rhinehart	5.78
	Joseph Chamberlain	37.95
	Frank E. Houts	40.42
	Robert B. Forsythe	3.92
18400	James D. Jordan	41.66
	Total	227.51
	LEADVILLE, COLO.	
16969	William T. Allen	$42.57
	James R. Madigan	42.57
	Total	85.14
	PUEBLO, COLO.	
18062	Merrie S. Carter	61.05
	Adam Walk	4.13
	Howard M. Shoup	58.42
	Total	123.60
	TRINIDAD, COLO.	
21152	Edward H. Day	89.44
	Harry D. Pearson	47.94
	W. S. Phillips, next of kin Thomas G. Phillips, deceased	22.55
	E. Joy Rice	89.44
	Phiney E. Snodgrass	17.74
	Total	267.11
	BRIDGEPORT, CONN.	
17957	James Kelly, brother Timothy Kelly, insane	87.91
	Edward F. Nevins	26.60
	Total	114.51
	DERBY, CONN.	
21168	Jacob F. Yocker	220.46
	HARTFORD, CONN.	
18408	George E. O'Neil	378.54
18666	John P. Carmody	15.47
	Eugene G. Austin	60.76
	John J. Brennan	15.26
	Waldo B. Brewer	60.76
	Oscar D. Brown	60.76
	Aaron D. Cook	20.21
	Thomas F. Daly	60.76
	DeWitt C. Graves	60.76
	Charles H. Halladay	60.76
	Thomas F. Hayes	60.76
	Julius Herzfeld	60.76
	Charles Jackson	60.76
	Henry Jonas	60.76
	Cyprian J. Mulligan	20.21
	John O'Farrell	60.76
	William C. Preston	20.21
	Adolph C. Renthe	60.76
	Garrett Roach	60.76
	Lloyd E. Seymour	60.76
	Patrick E. Sheehan	82.37
	John F. Smith	60.76
	Lewis W. Smith	82.37
	Franklin Taylor	60.76
	Samuel E. Waters	60.76
	Total	1,667.56
	MERIDEN, CONN.	
18753	Gottlieb A. Baver	89.37
	Albert L. Bartlett	89.37
	Patrick J. Fitzpatrick	89.37
	Patrick J. Ford	132.02
	Charles D. Lewis	89.37
	Hugh Malley	39.60
	John Reynolds	89.37
	William Seymour	89.37
	Total	707.84

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	MIDDLETOWN, CONN.	
18772	William H. Hahn	$28.87
	John Slavin	79.11
	Michael S. Dunn	79.11
	James A. Denning	79.11
	Total	266.20
	NEW BRITAIN, CONN.	
16965	George T. Meade	31.84
	Frank A. Anderson	22.47
18811	John P. Rehm	48.49
	Bernard Lynch	32.51
	James Whiteley	31.84
	Total	167.15
	NEW HAVEN, CONN.	
17941	Andrew J. Bowen, jr	30.52
	John H. Cain	99.01
	Thomas F. Clark	63.12
	Wallace I. Foote	21.03
	Joseph J. Higby	99.01
	Samuel Pagter	43.23
	David L. Bretzfelder	43.23
	George A. Butler	99.01
	Henry M. Cummings	43.23
	Thomas H. Gill	9.64
	Thomas P. Granfield	30.52
	William M. Hyde, jr	53.45
	Leonard E. Peck	43.23
	Frederick C. Upson	10.81
	Oscar L. Woodruff	43.23
	Total	732.27
	NEW LONDON, CONN.	
18912	Cornelius S. Holmes	77.39
	Joseph F. Kennedy	290.57
	Henry C. Winthrop	77.39
	Total	445.35
	NORWALK, CONN.	
18884	John F. Healey	4.94
	NORWICH, CONN.	
18346	James M. Kelly	99.89
	James M. McGuire	99.89
	James M. Kelly	[a] 74.19
	James McGuire	[a] 66.30
	Total	340.27
	SOUTH NORWALK, CONN.	
18874	Aaron R. Tyler	16.91
	William O. Merritt	60.80
	G. Fred Flynn	37.43
	Total	115.14
	WILMINGTON, DEL.	
16949	Joseph Duffy, deceased	42.94
	Linton Howett	67.47
18462	Thomas H. Barden	64.85
19056	Frank P. Phalan	109.82
	Total	285.08
	WASHINGTON, D. C.	
17901	Charles K. Allen	29.18
	Jacob S. Allen	42.43
	Andrew Barnes	30.21
	WASHINGTON, D. C.—continued.	
17901	Harry S. Barrick	$70.39
	Arthur J. Beall	71.07
	Charles D. Bond	69.01
	S. D. Boss	30.51
	Henry J. Bradley	.82
	Charles S. Busby	1.65
	John D. Butler	.21
	Albert Crew	63.51
	Charles A. Champ	.61
	John F. Clark	19.23
	Howard Clements	97.17
	James W. Coles	1.03
	Harry E. Connor	1.24
	William S. Crawford	65.57
	John W. Curry	99.57
	Herman W. Davis	12.70
	John E. Dennison	41.20
	Henry D. Dickson	29.53
	Richard T. Donovan	20.51
	Charles S. Douglass	24.01
	Webster Downing	8.46
	M. P. Eppley	30.13
	French L. Floyd	71.66
	Arthur Fowler	30.21
	George T. Gallagher	44.29
	John S. George	85.50
	Teresa A. Gozenbauch, widow Henry C. Gozenbauch, deceased	10.99
	William F. Gross	1.03
	John W Gunning	39.82
	William H. Hazzard	83.78
	Henry M. Hewlett	28.30
	John T. Hoge	61.80
	Alex M. Holmes	70.73
	Joseph B. Johnson	30.67
	John J. King	19.03
	Edward Kines	.41
	William M. Larcomb	22.92
	Edgar G. Leapley	78.28
	Benjamin F. Martin	54.58
	James B. McFadden	.82
	Luther H. Middlekauf	4.54
	Arthur A. Monroe	.82
	William F. O'Beirne	75.78
	George S. Perrott	21.29
	Richard B. Peters	1.24
	Clayton C. Osborn	.41
	Charles E. Rittenhouse	85.49
	Charles F. Rose	10.31
	Joseph Schultz	12.70
	Charles W. Sharwood	10.30
	George F. Smith	13.39
	John H. Smith	45.66
	Frank B. Swiggard	1.03
	William D. Tabler	35.36
	Henry A. Tolson	53.21
	Robert S. Trimble	1.03
	William E. Waggener	74.51
	Eugene L. Walter	46.60
	Charles Webel	88.24
	Jacob A. Weigle	81.72
	Emery A. Wilber	97.17
	John B. Woodfield	61.80
	John W. K. Young	9.64
	H. O. St. C. Ambrose	37.92
	John S. Bain	.41
	George L. W. Bain	48.75
	James A. Darnall	.21
	George E. Dorn	.21
	Michael J. Hennessey	1.03
	John C. King	.41
	William H. Marshall	15.26
	John B. Moses	1.03
	Daniel O'Neil	.82
	Dorsey F. Seville	26.40
	Lemuel J. Shaw	1.03
	John H. Tarney, deceased	57.33
	Joseph Van Fleet	1.24
19803	William H. Oliver	39.33

[a] In addition to amount reported in S. Doc. No. 216, Fifty-sixth Congress, first session.

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	WASHINGTON, D. C.—continued.	
20922	Charles W. Swingle	$25.41
	Joseph W. Blumer	1.44
	Philip H. Branson	76.22
	James W. Carroll	14.76
	William A. Clements	14.49
	Robert B. Hamilton	37.76
	John J. Hill	55.62
	Oscar M. Huguely	70.39
	Edward B. Maroney	39.32
	John T. Sanderson	58.71
	Henry G. Tegeler	17.51
	Charles L. Ulrich	.41
	Adolph Van Reuth, jr	41.20
	William E. Vogelson	23.35
	James A. Wormley	.82
	William H. Douglass	37.45
	James A. Gordon	38.11
	George H. Malone	14.03
	J. William Murphy	.61
	Frank A. Rebstock	22.60
	Rufus B. Stokes	12.36
	William S. Van Fleet	15.45
	Frederick A. Wilker	1.03
	George E. Winkelman	3.71
	Total	3,281.20
	JACKSONVILLE, FLA.	
17638	George H. Mays	171.45
	Aaron T. Hopkins	17.74
	Total	189.19
	PENSACOLA, FLA.	
18847	George Jolly	64.20
	Charles Le Baron	248.38
	William Steele	62.10
	Robert C. White, father Beverly C. White, deceased	44.76
	Thomas G. W. Tuttle	50.60
	Total	476.04
	ATLANTA, GA.	
17907	Augustus M. Anderson	29.79
	Yancey Bryant	35.05
	John H. Elder	70.10
	Artway J. Tabb	14.85
	George W. White	12.85
18813	J. T. Lynch	24.02
	Rufus Adair	48.68
	W. H. Simpson	126.47
18813	Thornton Greenwood	70.39
	Thomas McDonald	64.26
	A. E. Bearden	64.26
	H. S. Stanley	64.26
	C. B. Ralls	64.26
	W. S. Rea	80.80
	Robert M. Lockhart	164.15
18927	John T. King	47.03
19061	Herschel M. Upshaw	80.62
20749	John W. Jackson	11.34
	Total	1,073.18
	AUGUSTA, GA.	
17087	Crawford E. Alexander	41.48
	Mark J. Deween	187.22
	Total	228.70
	BRUNSWICK, GA.	
20908	Thomas M. Sellers	12.79
20897½	George Abbott	207.16
	Oliver M. Briggs	249.79
	Henry Molding	207.16
	BRUNSWICK, GA.—continued.	
20897½	Worth H. Myers	$207.16
	Arton F. Pyles	193.14
	Total	1,077.20
	COLUMBUS, GA.	
17792	Howard E. Hall	.82
	Minnie Johnston, sister William H. Johnston, deceased	85.05
	Sandy A. Jones	17.39
	John H. Parks	75.67
	Elbert Wilson	23.25
20568	Robert Lee Reese	1.24
	Total	203.42
	MACON, GA.	
17041	John C. Allen	78.28
	Andrew J. Ryals	178.17
	William A. Dewberry	170.62
	Charles H. Holley	178.17
	Joseph J. Higginson	220.81
	Lee J. Michael	200.08
	Edward Cassidy	133.99
	Newton S. Outler	70.12
	John W. Kimbrew	18.36
	Total	1,248.60
	SAVANNAH, GA.	
18940	Joseph D. Baughes	316.03
	Mac B. Branham	245.33
	John D. Campbell	316.03
	Shem B. Cooper	316.03
	Frank L. Curley	71.45
	Peter A. Denegal	316.03
	Charles C. Deveaux	316.03
	Thomas A. Houlihan	4.94
	John J. Howlett	316.03
	Henry S. Hymes	186.07
	Matthew J. Jones	316.03
	Albert La Fayette	316.03
	Joseph L. Mirault	77.23
	John O'Donovan	316.03
	Richard C. O'Driscoll	287.37
	George A. Lord	316.03
	Lewis M. Pleasant, jr	17.74
	Lachland M. Pollard	71.45
	George F. Tyson	314.74
	James P. Murphy	277.73
	Total	4,714.35
	AURORA, ILL.	
18448	John T. Colbert	40.62
	Edward O. Peterson	6.60
18664	Joseph Wulf	45.56
19319	John Dillenburg	102.51
	William Trigg	124.13
	Total	319.42
	BELLEVILLE, ILL.	
19625	Martin J. Herzler	61.44
	John W. Mueller	61.44
	Samuel J. Swancutt	90.04
	Frederick Weber	100.91
	Total	313.83
	BLOOMINGTON, ILL.	
16987	John W. Terry	37.74
	Houston P. Fielder	67.45
	John N. Pitsch	194.24
	Total	299.43

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	CAIRO, ILL.	
19635	Joseph L. Abell	$101.31
	James Barrow	10.11
	Andrew J. Kline, deceased	76.19
	Frank W. Koehler	12.58
	Isaac Walder	106.57
	Albert V. Coleman	96.62
	Casper L. Sander	98.26
	Total	501.64
	CHICAGO, ILL.	
17858	John B. Cotter	1.24
	Thomas J. Garrity	47.23
	William G. Lozier	47.23
	Charles Stevens	2.06
	John Britz	3.78
	Fred Dippe	1.37
	Helen Renich, administratrix Emil Renich, deceased	1.37
	Helen Stolt, administratrix Joachim R. Stolt, deceased	234.50
	Charles J. Burnman	70.96
	John J. Casey	257.70
	John Dahl	40.42
	Olaf M. Dahl { $55.06 / 95.45 }	150.51
	Bernard J. Ford	239.99
	Andrew J. Gowanlock	156.80
	Owen E. Murphy, deceased	1.85
	Joseph C. Patzke	45.38
	Nels P. Rasmussen	26.78
	Eugene W. Sullivan	2.49
	James Connell	2.48
	Edward H. Krapp	49.78
	Jacob M. Bonga	2.06
	William T. Clayton	73.17
	William A. Corning	10.11
	Richard Cullen	14.43
	John D. Gallivan	14.08
	William F. Gray	6.39
	Clifton W. Griffing	10.52
	Wesley A. Hammond	49.09
	Robert A. Hart	2.76
	Will S. Hawkins	40.21
	Joseph L. Hazen	3.43
	John J. Healy (2)	1.24
	John J. Hopper	36.69
	Joseph R. Howe	14.08
	Joseph R. Lynn, jr	8.25
	William F. Mahon	4.13
	John J. Martin	3.04
	Thomas J. Maroney	179.91
	John A. Meeker	121.54
	James F. Metcalf	.61
	Edward Mugvain	30.89
	Herman A. Naper	78.63
	Frederick Nelson	4.13
	Swain Nelson, deceased	73.27
	Henry E. Buckmaster	71.41
	Charles W. Burns	8.46
	Abram C. Christian	196.43
	Timothy E. Collins	53.56
	Michael Conlin	6.19
	Stephen Crosse	50.53
	John Cummins	39.80
	John W. Cunningham, jr	80.03
	Lawrence E. Donohue	3.09
	William Dunlop	24.75
	William A. Edwards	25.78
	William Fern	63.12
	Joseph Fischer	9.28
	George J. Foley	3.09
	Charles E. Cook, administrator Thomas J. Foley, deceased	73.13
	William Gavin	1.44
	Edwin R. F. Hart	214.58
	George Herzberg	38.14
	Patrick J. Hunt	209.72
	Olaus Jentoft	134.59
	Gustave F. Joucke	6.19
	CHICAGO, ILL.—continued.	
17858	John Weidmann, administrator Joseph Kaiser, deceased	$51.34
	Albert C. Ormsby, deceased	18.56
	James Palmer	33.92
	Henry R. Ravenot, deceased	30.89
	William H. Selundt	6.81
	James H. Shurtleff	4.13
	Henry H. Smith	3.43
	Jasper T. Stafford, deceased	14.92
	Dennis T. Sullivan	36.69
	Jeremiah W. Tierney	53.83
	Winfield S. Williams	2.06
	Edward A. Wilson	1.44
	William Winter	36.69
	Timothy Falvey, administrator John J. Falvey, deceased	40.42
	Edwin C. Hearn	89.61
	Michael J. Kennedy	55.62
	George V. Levander	25.36
	Charles Schoenthaler	52.53
	Robert E. Bock	2.06
	Charles Boland	39.14
	John Badershaw	349.85
	George R. Bent	311.40
	Joseph P. Buckley	45.17
	James J. Keegan	60.64
	John W. Kinsley	52.11
	Nicholas C. Knerr	106.78
	Louis H. Knodell	3.30
	Robert C. Krelle	210.41
	Theodore E. Lange	10.52
	Henry M. Lauderdale	3.09
	Margaretta Leesburg, administratrix August Leesburg, deceased	76.91
	Joseph F. Lotz	6.19
	Elizabeth Marty, administratrix Emil J. Marty, deceased	3.51
	Charles E. Morrison	46.13
	Patrick O'Mahoney	5.15
	Robert W. Owen	57.13
	August Paaren	1.44
	Peter G. Pinter	129.78
	Andrew Quaid	311.40
	Thomas J. Ryan	123.25
	Albert Schreiber	302.82
	James F. Slattery	160.02
	James Speirs	105.64
	John L. Stacker	60.60
	Charles L. Tantow	378.99
	Nels Turrell	355.69
	William J. F. Utes	3.09
	Arie H. Vermeulen	57.75
	Charles G. Walgren	34.23
	Edward Ward	111.99
	Edward W. Warner	36.71
	Joseph B. Weil	71.91
	Charles F. Wright	3.09
	Peter O'Leary	14.64
	William Beekman	260.93
	Frank H. Beers	21.63
	William H. Marsh	.41
	Michael Morarity	1.03
	George E. Rowe	.21
19533	Edward J. Fitzgerald	75.21
	James H. Gage	5.15
	Timothy Culliname	3.92
	Mrs. F. C. Merritt, widow F. C. Merritt, deceased	97.90
	Michael J. Sarsfield	100.05
	Will L. Clifford	1.85
18054	George H. McMunn	2.06
	William H. Rickert	5.15
	Adolph J. Wilkie	16.91
18508	Jacob P. Winner	2.06
18407	Peter McAniff	2.27
	Christian Yeackley	2.27
	Thomas H. Lally	71.27
19533	John Burns	159.83
	Charles D. Burroughs	117.90
	Smith P. Colby	37.67

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	CHICAGO, ILL.—continued.	
19533	Charles W. Cook	$73.13
	Dillard W. Dempsey	170.63
	Harry F. Gates	30.52
	Samuel Goldsmith	26.60
	Charles W. Griffith	135.09
	William Harper	42.07
	John Heron	88.48
	R. P. Hogan	238.96
	James D. Jensen	67.29
	Myron H. Norton, jr	183.31
	Watson Rich	42.57
	Stephen Rinehart	36.69
	Samuel B. Shaw	40.01
	Owen Sheridan	238.29
	Joseph J. Simmons	36.69
	Total	10,126.79
	DANVILLE, ILL.	
18801	Frank L. Natho	63.94
	Eugene Shuckrow	63.94
	William S. Dillon	74.95
	John W. Williams	95.57
	Total	298.40
	DECATUR, ILL.	
17559	Lee S. Hall	3.30
	August Labhardt	59.59
	Total	62.89
	EVANSTON, ILL.	
17489	Annie Cunningham, widow James Cunningham, deceased	205.00
	Alex. H. Hallstrom	17.12
	James McDonough	226.74
	Total	449.86
	FREEPORT, ILL.	
18545	John E. Hogan	19.18
	Henry J. Keller	19.18
	David W. Sanderson	19.18
	Louis Schilling	19.18
20045	Henry Lenz	9.90
	Total	86.62
	GALESBURG, ILL.	
20359	Horace L. Arnold	661.86
	Chris. H. Geisler	166.19
	William H. B. Jones	111.78
	Daniel G. McCarthy	80.33
	John C. Saunders	94.92
	O. L. Ridgely	57.08
	Total	1,172.16
	JACKSONVILLE, ILL.	
18833	William Carroll	117.20
	William H. Milburn, jr	49.62
19604	Harry Platt	122.12
	Total	288.94
	JOLIET, ILL.	
18538	Joseph F. Benson	140.60
	Jacob Bierchard	28.33
	Charles C. Haberer	28.33
	Thomas J. Byron	28.33
	Michael F. McCarthy	28.33
	JOLIET, ILL.—continued.	
18538	Michael R. Smith	$28.33
	Charles G. Garrett	87.86
19392	William Cushing	268.71
	Elmer F. Edgerly	268.71
	Total	907.53
	KANKAKEE, ILL.	
20878	Alfred Bernier	103.58
	Otto Birr	11.14
	Byron M. Haslett	103.58
	La Fayette Hollenbeck	103.58
	Sanford M. Wright	103.58
	Total	425.46
	LASALLE, ILL.	
21013	Louis F. Grube	3.92
	Frederick Snow	3.92
	Joseph J. Wittliff	3.92
	William A. Young	3.92
	Total	15.68
	MATTOON, ILL.	
18882	George W. Eldridge	32.79
	Michael F. O'Connor	16.91
	Total	49.70
	MOLINE, ILL.	
18218	Frank Suman	57.55
19304	William G. Baker	254.16
	Robert M. Benell	93.02
	Charles O. Hanson	254.16
	Total	658.89
	MONMOUTH, ILL.	
21017	Oscar Henry	24.13
	James Herdeman, father Ralph Herdeman, deceased	9.90
	Swan Watson	16.29
	Roland E. Saville	16.29
	Total	66.61
	OAKPARK, ILL.	
18951	Andrew Luetke	16.91
	Alverdo A. Bassett	34.52
	Albert L. Blessman	34.52
	Edward T. Huxham	34.52
	Total	120.47
	OTTAWA, ILL.	
19552	Charles A. Klink	240.10
	PEKIN, ILL.	
18837	Charles W. Edds	38.27
	Charles F. Holland	90.72
	Louis Louick	33.60
	Total	162.59
	PEORIA, ILL.	
16991	John Kavanaugh	74.48
	John W. Schmitt	74 48
	Charles J. Speck	71.86
	John A. Sutter	74.48
	William H. Zoller	74.48

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	PEORIA, ILL.—continued.	
16991	John Cather	$84.17
	Robert F. Davis	67.54
	Charles Haungs	74.60
	Charles C. Hartwick	65.27
	Louis Netter	45.09
	Richard A. Weis	137.59
18576	Samuel Solomon	28.04
	Total	872.08
	QUINCY, ILL.	
17859	Horace Farrar	77.41
	Patrick R. Ahern	63.38
	Total	140.79
	ROCKFORD, ILL.	
18074	W. T. Ferrin	33.62
	ROCK ISLAND, ILL.	
19443	Edward Burrill, jr	301.13
19306	Jay E. Elliott	153.66
	Total	454.79
	SPRINGFIELD, ILL.	
16971	John W. Barrett	227.83
	Carrie De Frates, administratrix of Edward De Frates	116.24
	Hugo Thomas, administrator of Albert Kuehn, deceased	116.24
	John F. Obrien	14.03
	Lawrence J. Seifert	151.66
	Gustavus Spies, jr	18.56
	John P. Stanley	82.09
	Archie Hensel	2.89
18839	Philip H. Hofferkamp	129.10
	Alfred Mottar	73.43
	Katharine White, administratrix John E. White, deceased	129.68
16971	John S. Schmitt	123.26
	Total	1,185.01
	STERLING, ILL.	
18004	Henry W. Carter	189.44
	Robert T. Hill	100.86
	Total	290.30
	STREATOR, ILL.	
18688	Charles Mackey	64.97
	John Riordan	121.15
20782	George Clay	192.73
	James C. Hunter	42.57
	Patrick M. McCall	34.65
	William Price	64.92
	John F. Shoap	53.29
	Jeremiah G. Westwood	38.36
	Andrew Gilyun	214.26
	George Huber	142.15
	Total	969.05
	WAUKEGAN, ILL.	
21165	Andrew H. Benedict	55.48
	Seber H. Burnett	55.48
	Fred A. Miltimore	45.38
	L. B. Miltimore	50.53
	Total	206.87
	ELKHART, IND.	
18176	Louis T. Rutter	$17.75
	Nelson Bressan	42.15
	William C. Livengood	41.12
	Total	102.02
	EVANSVILLE, IND.	
18330	Louis W. Duesner	31.14
	Annie M. Balz, administratrix of George H. Balz, deceased	42.20
	Total	73.34
	FORT WAYNE, IND.	
16950	Thomas J. Shlevey	10.31
	George J. Alter	64.26
	Paul C. Richter	10.73
	William A. Zimmerly	18.56
	Total	103.86
	GOSHEN, IND.	
18676	Charles W. James	27.01
18949	William E. Miller	19.80
	Total	46.81
	INDIANAPOLIS, IND.	
17873	William A. Balk	104.17
	James E. Cantlon	139.05
	John T. Carroll	60.64
	Ellis W. Crane	81.03
	William Darby	81.03
	Edward D. Hume	17.53
	John W. McDonald	81.03
	Robert H. McGinnis	81.03
	James L. Moore	81.03
	George W. Reid	139.05
	Richard O. Shimer	80.44
	John J. Turner	83.83
	Charles E. Wagner	82.09
	Pat Ward	163.42
	John Wren	262.91
	Total	1,538.28
	KOKOMO, IND.	
18621	David A. Megrady	18.36
	LAFAYETTE, IND.	
16967	Anthony B. Schilling	7.01
	LA PORTE, IND.	
20726	Adelbert D. Barnes	32.38
	James M. Morse	21.75
	John L. Swanson	27.84
	Hiram N. Harrison	47.85
	George Koenig	79.21
	Total	212.03
	LOGANSPORT, IND.	
16966	George W. Boerger	27.73
	NEW ALBANY, IND.	
17086	John E. Mitchell	25.44
	Elmer E. Miller	38.23
	Harry Shipman	7.01
18921	Montgomery W. Lewis	51.29
	Total	121.97

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	SOUTH BEND, IND.	
18658	Mahala Ammons, administratrix of Albert Ammons, deceased	$150.49
	Andrew Chilberg	40.42
	Gus A. Clarquist	249.79
	Frank T. Grey	90.75
	Hiram E. Jackson	263.81
	Leonard Kalmajski	76.53
	Fred T. Kemble	90.54
	Charles V. Korpal	76.53
	Caspar G. Krauser	90.54
	Charles W. Krueger	42.90
	Thaddeus T. Matlock	221.75
	Joseph Mikolajewski	263.81
	John W. McGraw	60.73
	Hugh F. Farnsey	90.54
	William S. Weaver	90.54
	John A. Lamb	211.75
	Total	2,111.42
	TERRE HAUTE, IND.	
18368	George M. Dunn	234.10
	BURLINGTON, IOWA.	
17261	A. P. Adolphson	102.95
	Ed. S. Campbell	29.92
	Joseph B. Davis	37.02
19984	Harry E. Drogemyer	125.01
19130	F. W. Haskell	65.21
20897	Arthur J. Holinquist	2.06
17261	C. F. Le Brock	63.31
	Frank E. Morgan	221.40
	Dennis J. Quinn	135.82
	A. H. Swindler	98.43
	P. F. Thienes	92.88
	S. L. Tigg	8.25
20897	Issac Ward	174.66
	Daniel C. Young	301.72
	Total	1,458.64
	CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA.	
18533	Martin P. Healey	108.94
	John W. Kouba	2.04
	Joseph Kouba	124.72
18692	William E. Bixby	33.88
	Judson A. Merrill	33.88
	John Montillon	14.85
	Total	318.31
	CLINTON, IOWA.	
19302	William Devine	94.48
	Jerry A. Keefe	94.48
	Cornelius S. Peterson	94.48
	Total	283.44
	COUNCIL BLUFFS, IOWA.	
17081	Fred Johnson	247.69
	William D. Nichols	153.94
19323	Charles N. W. Watts	141.95
	Benjamin J. Weber	125.42
19128	George Hamilton	96.94
	Anthony B. Klein	68.07
	Samuel Leonard	120.92
	Fred Myers	120.92
17081	Phillip Betz	420.88
	F. M. Mithen	234.29
	Total	1,731.02
	DAVENPORT, IOWA.	
17954	Sue E. Gardner, administratrix of James N. Gardner, deceased	29.28
	DAVENPORT, IOWA—continued.	
17954	Edward Griffith	$219.06
19125	James H. Farrand	161.23
	Daniel E. Keeler	39.60
	Edward J. Lawton	73.67
	Frank B. Toher	167.07
18686	William O. Meisner	90.54
19276	Spencer M. Howe	175.25
	William J. Orendorff	175.25
	Bert S. Tomson	18.15
	Total	1,149.10
	DES MOINES, IOWA.	
17942	Henry J. Childress	170.28
	John Conoly	132.51
	Charles E. Lyon	189.85
	Edwin H. Baker	10.11
	Samuel L. Mash	63.59
18492	John H. Bachman	98.07
	Thomas J. Rogers	58.01
	William L. Settlemyer	2.89
	Total	725.31
	DUBUQUE, IOWA.	
17750	Frank F. Spahn	151.59
	Herman Ternes	12.37
18825	Thomas Reilly	115.95
	Thomas Sweeney	98.72
19126	William H. Wilson	125.01
19303	James P. Carter	142.99
	Joseph W. Collinson	47.44
	Joseph Margadant	64.15
	John C. Murphy	64.97
	Total	823.19
	IOWA CITY, IOWA.	
18497	Daniel Barry	166.48
	Richard Hennessey	188.85
	Charles A. Smith	215.14
	Total	570.47
	KEOKUK, IOWA.	
18566	Hugo Anschutz	19.80
	Charles J. Dickie	28.04
	James R. Green	62.70
	William Long	28.04
	Frank J. Smith	28.04
	James Ward	28.04
19781	James F. Brerton	44.76
18900	George Sanderson	53.83
	Total	293.25
	MUSCATINE, IOWA.	
19000	Joseph R. Anson	127.93
19305	George W. Richie	10.11
	Will A. Appel	32.37
20773	William W. Ewing	161.76
19305	John O'Brien	170.34
	Total	502.51
	OSKALOOSA, IOWA.	
19496	Talbert M. Wells	256.34
	Edmund A. Barnes	281.17
19135	Thomas Maloney	112.41
	Total	649.92
	OTTUMWA, IOWA.	
17903	Charles E. Benson	80.73
	James H. Buncutter	102.81

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	OTTUMWA, IOWA.—continued.	
17903	Edward Lowenberg	$44.76
	John Sheehan	207.09
	John H. Stoessel	32.42
	J. F. Dings, administrator Geo. W. Dings, deceased	155.22
	Total	623.03
	SIOUX CITY, IOWA.	
18224	Egbert R. Mousseau	140.78
18644	William H. Coburn	45.86
19136	William A. Lindsay	68.89
	Louis W. Palmer	68.89
	Francis L. Reddy	11.14
	Joseph M. Tibbitts	26.60
	Total	362.16
	WATERLOO, IOWA.	
19129	George P. Beck	82.86
	John P. Von Lackman	62.39
	Frank J. Landgraff	62.39
20636	Charles W. Stillson	20.62
	Claude V. Bodell	11.34
	William E. Kuhn	22.68
	Total	262.28
	ABILENE, KANS.	
19678	Alva V. Nutt	25.36
	Howard P. Seeds	107.54
	Total	132.90
	ARKANSAS CITY, KANS.	
19658	John S. Daniels	3.92
	Joe T. White	27.94
	Total	31.86
	ATCHISON, KANS.	
18292	Fred Duehren	249.23
	George W. Dunkin	51.12
	James Walsh	66.31
	Total	366.66
	EMPORIA, KANS.	
18617	Charles K. Salsbury	42.41
	Walter Birdsell	21.32
	Phineas H. Cowan	96.57
18852	Charles J. Beckwith	77.75
	Total	238.05
	FORT SCOTT, KANS.	
19345	Cyrus F. Chapin	83.91
	James C. Cuthbertson	112.88
	Catharine S. Firestone, administratrix James R. Firestone, deceased	114.05
	Oscar M. Morse	114.05
	Eli Thomas	106.75
	Total	531.64
	HUTCHINSON, KANS.	
17718	Grant W. Prather	160.22
	KANSAS CITY, KANS.	
18697	Matthew J. Burke	69.62
	James DeGoler	48.88
	KANSAS CITY, KANS.—continued.	
18697	James M. Gray	$48.88
	Robert T. Jackson	57.34
	Oscar B. Johnson	62.61
	Eugene P. Osborne	48.88
	Frank M. Sturgeon	20.62
19624	Charles C. Hull	125.88
	Total	482.71
	LEAVENWORTH, KANS.	
19621	W. B. Townsend	54.62
19321	Charles M. Fenning	47.61
	Charles Ferguson	47.61
	Louisa Helbing, administratrix of Frank Helbing, deceased	47.61
	Palmer Hotchkiss	47.61
	Patrick H. Mullen	47.61
	Richard V. O'Connor	47.61
	George W. Ulrich	47.61
	Total	387.89
	NEWTON, KANS.	
18471	John H. Fugate	20.42
	OTTAWA, KANS.	
18743	William L. Beeler	5.57
	Samuel D. Brown	21.14
	Frank Van Schwiack	31.76
19494	Claude I. Holiday	9.69
	Josiah W. De Tar	67.27
	Total	135.43
	TOPEKA, KANS.	
18017	George A. Yount	154.57
	S. A. Cook, widow Virgil A. Cook	103.97
	Oscar R. Molz	24.24
	Total	282.78
	WELLINGTON, KANS.	
19656	Alezius F. Eichelberger	96.06
	George M. Rarey	102.89
	Robert Hamilton	176.48
	Total	375.43
	WICHITA, KANS.	
18186	William Bartrim	209.98
	William E. Barlow	116.78
	Harry F. Dean	176.95
	Charles G. Lilly	7.43
	Ezekiel B. Smith	245.94
	Thomas J. Whitaker	64.56
19335	George T. Chouteau	142.24
	Cary D. Davis	142.24
	John T. McDonald	30.31
	Edwin B. Walden	142.24
	Total	1,278.67
	WINFIELD, KANS.	
19657	William S. Beck	97.82
	Thomas C. Cochran	223.60
	Daniel W. Salmans	24.98
	James H. Vance	1.65
	Total	348.05

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	COVINGTON, KY.	
17788	Frank Scheinhoff	$131.43
	John L. Thobe	5.55
	Total	136.98
	FRANKFORT, KY.	
19193	Edwin L. Banta	133.90
	Owen M. Furr	155.22
	Henry Davis Harrod	7.63
	Benoni Jeffers	94.05
	George B. Thompson	128.45
	J. Pierce Williams	7.63
	J. W. Graham	187.34
	Total	714.22
	LEXINGTON, KY.	
17000	Andrew J. Fain	78.87
	Robert L. Evans	52.80
	Thomas J. O'Day	169.70
	Total	301.37
	LOUISVILLE, KY.	
16948	George W. Evans	22.66
16948	John F. Fossee	2.68
	Maggie Fowler (now Pauley), administratrix Robert Fowler, deceased	26.09
	M. J. McAuliffe	12.69
	James F. Sage	62.67
	Total	126.79
	OWENSBORO, KY.	
17944	William H. Alexander	47.10
	Peter Hugger, jr	21.03
	Frederick G. Kollenberg	47.10
	Felix B. Routon	35.47
	Total	150.70
	PADUCAH, KY.	
18447	John W. Baynham	341.67
	Edward K. Bonds	295.52
	Peter Derrington	313.05
	Frank Dunn	185.56
	Charles A. Grimm	214.16
	Charles Holliday	260.68
	Lee A. Reynolds	185.54
	Joe M. Yarboro	108.84
21009	Frank P. Earhart	228.76
	John W. Moore	25.16
	Total	2,158.94
	NEW ORLEANS, LA.	
17542	Patrick J., father of Thomas M. Glynn, deceased	68.32
	David Glass	100.00
	Louise K., administratrix Hermes A. Gerard, deceased	17.42
	Antoine Hammer	41.04
	Ambrose Kuhn, jr	29.49
	William Egan	2.68
	John Grivaud	.....
	William H. Flaherty	52.96
	James H. Beggs	10.31
	Frederick T. Coburn	10.31
	John T. Conway	61.88
	George J. Cousin	22.28
	William F. J. Donnelly	61.88
	John L. Dubuc	10.31
	Edward H. Hanler	9.28
	Emile E. Johnson	31.14
	NEW ORLEANS, LA.—continued.	
17542	Henry Schnatmann	$10.31
	Joseph B. Donlon	44.34
	John T. Hart	13.00
	Lawrence H. Johnson	7.63
	Total	604.78
	SHREVEPORT, LA.	
17679	Beauregard E. Wagner	1.65
	AUBURN, ME.	
18828	Alfred F. Lamarche	94.20
	Charles E. Merrill	86.29
	Hammond B. Murdock	49.29
	Erlon J. Mower	237.97
	Total	467.75
	BANGOR, ME.	
18449	James E. Dolan	36.91
	Charles H. Cullinane	63.21
	John F. Ford	60.64
	William F. Holden	26.19
	Patrick McNamara	37.95
	Michael Collins	112.86
	Total	337.76
	BATH, ME.	
18823	Edward H. Biggins	142.06
	John W. Jordan	136.90
	Frank W. Ramsey	142.06
	Total	421.02
	PORTLAND, ME.	
17714	William A. Perry	26.87
	John T. Flaherty	18.98
	George O. D. Soule	26.87
	Harry B. Bachelder	56.08
	Joseph Winslow	24.54
	Jeremiah Callahan	24.54
	George R. Kane	24.54
	Michael T. Ragan	56.08
	Edwin H. Mariner	56.08
	Owen C. Murray	21.44
	George M. Fernald	56.08
	David A. Donovan	15.26
	Florence J. McCarthy	56.08
	Frank R. Jones	15.26
18574	Eben S. Burns	20.15
	Michael H. Cunningham	29.50
	Frank J. Jordan	45.56
17714	William L. Bishop	147.60
	Total	721.51
	BALTIMORE, MD.	
17902	Alexander H. Allen	34.17
	Austin E. Arrington	46.41
	James E. Burke	22.10
	Frank J. Carpenter	25.98
	Lama C. Chason	27.47
	Charles J. Philbrin, administrator John S. Deegan	39.14
	John P. Diguan	57.68
	Henry Doeller	57.68
	Thomas B. Eareckson	57.68
	Wilbur H. Gorsuch	57.68
	Joseph W. Haupt	$7.63 / 5.36 — 12.99
	Frank G. Kiel	57.68
	John F. King	46.41
	Alva H. Linthicum	43.26
	Rosetta Moran, widow William F. Moran, deceased	46.41

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	BALTIMORE, MD.—continued.	
17902	Henry J. Owens	$27.47
	John J. A. McManus	34.17
	Julius Stengel	25.98
	William R. Timbs	57.68
	Thomas F. Ayler	2.48
	Alfred S. Bull	1.65
	Walter Dietz	1.03
	Conrad A. Faukhanel	13.61
	Thomas H. Glanding	60.22
	John Gorman	8.04
	Frank J. Gries	31.14
	Charles A. Isensee	1.24
	John H. Mohr	3.71
	Charles Nuttendorf, deceased	57.18
	William M. Mueller, deceased	71.07
	Herbert D. Murray	2.89
	Joseph E. Mulcahy	7.01
	William A. O'Neill	1.24
	John E. Ruark	66.82
	Charles A. Smith	1.24
	Henry W. Stange	2.68
	Louis J. Sudsburg	2.06
	Charles D. Warfield	37.22
	James E. Denny	3.71
	William J. Eckel	80.60
	Frederick Koehler	4.94
	Wilbur W. Jubb	47.64
20760	Horatio Beale	8.87
	Ambrose Furst	.61
18196	William H. Wood	2.89
	Total	1,299.83
	CUMBERLAND, MD.	
19783	Joseph M. Reichart	116.68
	FREDERICK, MD.	
16993	James E. Duvall	10.78
	Edward M. Eader	10.41
	Edmund F. Moberly	10.41
	Charles H. Roberston	7.86
	Total	39.46
	HAGERSTOWN, MD.	
18043	Martin H. Gruber	5.78
	AMESBURY, MASS.	
19202	Everett Collins	11.39
	Woodbury F. Frisbee	11.39
	Frank M. Swett	10.37
	James H. Morril	11.39
	Total	44.54
	BEVERLY, MASS.	
18747	William P. Hanners	5.15
	Josiah Woodbury	5.15
	Thomas H. O'Shea, administrator John J. Foley	82.25
	Total	92.55
	BOSTON, MASS.	
16744	William H. Allen	14.76
	Alfred C. Belcher	24.72
	Eugene F. Blossom	24.72
	Calvin S. Carter	31.59
	Charles E. Chapman	31.59
	Edward F. Coolidge	31.59
	Charles Gooding	30.55
	Emery C. Hathaway	24.72
	John R. Haywood	14.76
	William H. Lamphrey	38.11
	William H. Langdon	41.54
	BOSTON, MASS.—continued.	
16744	John McCarty	$38.11
	Willam S. McGue	38.11
	John A. McKie	38.11
	Fred J. Mezger	38.11
	Austin C. Sheerin	38.11
	William E. Sherman	38.11
	Alex. B. Wilson	10.11
	Phineas S. Wood	24.72
	Henry E. Brockbank	76.22
	William I. Kyte	13.73
	Dennis Meehan	76.22
	George F. Alley	74.51
	John J. Coughlin	15.06
	Ernest J. Cambridge	104.03
	Estate of Patrick J. Daily	45.56
	Eonah C. Harris	91.67
	William R. Jeffords	64.54
	Charles A. Plummer	30.56
	Edward J. McHugh	15.19
	Arthur R. Torry, administrator John H. McLaughlin, deceased	54.07
	George W. Little	49.44
	George F. Clarke	26.81
	Frederick E. Horr	44.63
	Daniel H. Hall	44.63
	James P. Lawless	44.63
	Michael J. Gallagher	.82
	Daniel W. F. Kerr	76.22
	John Gill, jr	34.23
	Andrew F. Lawler	29.70
	John F. Dee	21.44
	Daniel M. Kimball	76.22
	John J. Leahey	28.05
	Edward K. Baker	12.36
	W. J. Hennessey	101.63
	James R. Daly	107.57
	Dennis J. Dougherty	28.05
	Walter J. Bartlett	3.09
	Alva C. Jacobs	32.96
	James C. Spencer	50.81
	Daniel J. Hagarty	28.06
	Thomas J. Hurley	32.37
	Jeremiah J. Donoghue	11.96
	A. E. Butterfield	24.18
	George H. Cutler	33.65
	George H. Davis	12.70
18643	James P. Duffy	22.89
	Albert H. Williams	49.21
	Michael J. Flaherty	7.63
	Horace E. Bridden	.69
	Timothy F. Callahan { $24.72 / 33.65 }	58.37
	Micheal Dunlavey	.41
	Parron C. Paine	.69
	John H. Williams	.69
	George M. Wolf	.69
	John J. Dowd	24.72
	Mark T. Hatch	102.32
	Frank I. Fellows	1.10
	Charles F. Collins	1.37
	John F. Dunton	1.37
	Patrick J. Sullivan	1.37
	Fred W. Blanchard	12.70
	Jeremiah T. O'Leary	13.73
	Timothy Quinn	13.73
	Horace E. Bridden	32.62
	Samuel Otis Bartlett	39.48
	Peter J. Brown	39.48
	John D. Carey	15 26
	Pierce F. Cass	7.63
	John Coleman	39.48
	William J. Coveny	22.68
	George H. Crockett	39.48
	Peter A. Cunningham	39.48
	George H. Davis	39.48
	William J. Dee	39.48
	Theodore C. Dennis	39.48
	Andrew J. Dooley	39.48
	Charles F. Duffy	39.48
	Michael Dunlavey	11.14

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	BOSTON, MASS.—continued.	
18643	Joseph F. Eichorn	$39.48
	Charles Everbeck	39.48
	James G. Farrell	27.56
	George A. French	39.48
	George W. Goodell	39.48
	Frank S. Goodwin	39.48
	Timothy F. Haggerty, jr	39.48
	Charles H. Haines	39.48
	Joseph B. Hatch	39.48
	Thomas C. Henderson	39.48
	P. William Hickey	26.48
	William R. Hogan	39.14
	John J. Loring	39.48
	George F. Low	39.48
	George F. Marden	39.48
	William T. Maybury	26.78
	James J. McCarthy	24.97
	Andrew C. McDonald	39.48
	James L. Mitchell	39.48
	John J. Mulhern	15.26
	Benjamine F. Nourse	39.48
	Charles J. O'Brien	15.26
	William H. O'Brien	15.26
	John Owen	39.48
	Charles H. Packer	23.71
	Charles H. Paine	26.78
	Alonzo A. Peasley	39.48
	John H. Petersen	7.63
	Timothy G. Pitman	39.48
	Ebenezer K. Pratt	39.48
	Parron C. Paine	39.48
	John F. Riley	35.45
	John F. Roche, jr	28.90
	Andrew B. Shattuck	39.48
	Charles H. Shaw	39.48
	Oliver D. Sherwood	39.48
	John J. Sullivan	23.71
	Frank Thorp	39.48
	Charles C. Topham	26.78
	Ivon P. Horton	15.26
	Edward J. Houghton	39.48
	Peter J. Hutchinson	39.48
	Moses W. S. Jackson	39.48
	Joseph W. Jenkins	39.48
	William T. Kendall	32.62
	James A. Littlefield	39.48
	Thomas L. Mitchell	39.48
	John H. Williams	32.62
	George M. Wolff	32.62
	Theodore P. B. Clarke	53.56
	George H. Cutler	53.56
	Thomas F. Dillon	15.06
	Herbert N. Hanson	78.63
	Frank E. Loomis	53.56
	Charles A. Plummer	53.56
	John E. Day	46.35
	Michael Duffy	39.80
	Frank I. Fellows	46.19
	Michael J. Gallagher (2)	38.98
	John J. King	78.63
	John Nolan	64.89
	Nathan C. Paul	64.89
	Henry E. Burton	71.42
	Charles H. Cutler	71.42
	Florestan Fish	105.06
	William Gay	71.42
	John C. Horne	122.23
	John F. Kilfoyle	45.79
	Otis K. Tribble	39.48
	Francis P. Trumbull	15.26
	Warren H. Tucker	39.48
	Walter F. Welch	39.48
	Walter Whelan	39.48
	Andrew B. Williams	39.48
	John J. Welch	39.48
	Isaac Brockbank	78.63
	Charles F. Collins	64.89
	James B. Daly	53.56
	John F. Dunton	21.86
	Charles H. Fox	37.35
	James H. Holland	30.31

No.	Name.	Amount.
	BOSTON, MASS.—continued.	
18643	Ezra B. Kenah	$78.63
	John C. Langdon	37.35
	George H. Marden	78.63
	Patrick J. Sullivan	57.18
	James E. Weeks	78.63
	Frank D. Woodbury	53.56
	George H. Appleton	53.56
	Charles E. Bassett	53.56
	John H. Chadbourne	53.56
	Charles S. Marsh	53.56
	William F. Murphy	45.57
	Aaron H. Ridley	53.56
	Robert H. Skelly	15.06
	Timothy J. Sullivan	37.29
	Henry J. Templeton	78.63
	John Webber	53.56
	James W. O'Brien	53.12
	Theodore Prentice	71.42
	Everett S. Savory	71.42
	Robert J. Taylor, administrator of Charles J. Taylor	40.42
	Simeon E. Campbell	78.63
	A. Otis Chamberlain	78.63
	Walter Cutler	78.63
	Henry C. Hackett	53.56
	Edward D. Kirley	15.26
	Charles R. Mulhern	47.23
	John H. Peters	40.42
	Frank H. Silkes	27.01
	Asa M. Capen	62.48
	Daniel W. Coffill	62.48
	Arthur A. Dodge	62.48
	Charles E. Gerrold	62.48
	Lyman P. Harding	62.48
	John F. Hasson	22.68
	Patrick H. Lane	62.48
	John H. Norton	17.74
	Fred R. Sweeney	62.48
	J. Sturgis Wright	62.48
	Fred. W. Blanchard	66.26
	Jeremiah T. O'Leary	53.56
	Timothy Quinn	53.56
	Thomas Cleary	78.63
	John R. Dickson	53.56
	John F. Tuckett	78.63
	Joseph P. Conboy	21.44
	William H. Cowdin	70.04
	William H. Dillon	70.04
	Thomas N. Dunnican	70.04
	Francis X. Flusk	70.04
	John S. Lucas, jr	71.42
	John B. McGovern	62.93
	Mary J. Moore, administratrix of Lewis Moore, deceased	62.93
	Edward Morrissey	58.50
	Patrick J. Mulry	70.04
	Elmer E Shepard	77.84
	Edwin R. Jenness	53.56
	William H. Lavey	53.56
	John H. McEleney	37.35
	Hugh McEwen, jr	15.06
	George A. Pushee	53.56
	George M. Stiles	53.56
	Ambrose S. Fallon	71.42
	William George	71.42
	Edwin S. Barrett	53.56
	William A. Bowers	37.29
	William H. Burnett	78.63
	Joseph L. Gilman	53.56
	Frank N. Lewis	53.56
	S. Herbert Appleton	78.63
	S. Willard Babcock	53.56
	Horace M. Bartlett	78.63
	Michael F. Brennan	53.02
	Jonathan B. L. Bartlett	66.26
	Frank A. Duffy	78.63
	Lewis H. Richardson	66.26
	Arthur I. Tavener	66.26
	Alvin A. Bullin	71.42
	William A. Cleveland	71.42
	Charles F. Raymond	71.42

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	BOSTON, MASS.—continued.	
18643	Noah E. Rollins	$35.70
	Thomas H. Leavey	71.42
	James W. Bartholomew	53.56
	George Butcher	53.56
	Charles G. Clark	53.56
	James A. Clasby	53.56
	Benjamine R. Cleary	15.06
	Michael Dolan, jr	30.31
	Frank J. Dunn	30.31
	George Goode	53.56
	Henry H. Hersey	15.06
	Dennis J. Fahey	15.06
	Richard Fitzgerald	53.56
	John R. Fleming	30.31
	William H. Gould	53.56
	Timothy Horgan	32.17
	Orlando H. Johnson	53.56
	William T. King	53.56
	Edmund B. Meehan	53.56
	Fred. S. McCarthy	63.26
	John W. McGaregill	53.56
	Alfred Lewis, administrator of Phineas Lewis	115.01
	James A. Crudden	32.17
	Isaac S. Fish	53.56
	George A. Gray	53.56
	Francis X. Hennessey	32.17
	James H. Kelley	15.06
	William H. McClare	45.57
	Joseph A. McVey	53.56
	John S. Norton	53.56
	Michael J. Peters	37.35
	Charles J. Rhoades	53.56
	Frank M. Richardson	66.26
	Alpheus A. Robinson	53.56
	Daniel J. Rull	45.57
	Joseph J. Smith	53.56
	David L. White	53.56
	William White	78.63
	Eugene Whittemore	53.56
	John Conboy	32.17
	Charles H. Webster	45.57
	Charles F. West	53.56
	William A. Carter	47.23
	Mathew B. Westgate	52.53
	John T. Bradlee	70.73
	John Curran	70.73
	John J. Condon	30.31
	Thomas M. Dundon	70.73
	James P. Mitchell	34.02
	Philip Marchington	70.73
	Frank W. Eldredge	94.42
	John E. Furfey	50.81
	Albert C. Parker	53.56
	James H. Peabody	78.63
	George M. Saunders	15.06
	Cornelius E. Mahoney	51.84
	Michael J. Donohue	15.26
	Henry F. Swett	53.56
16747	Orrin H. Gallagher	75.59
	Total	13,915.40
	BROCKTON, MASS.	
18913	William S. Packard	189.85
	CLINTON, MASS.	
21753	Fred W. Green	215.31
	David B. Whitcomb	185.19
	Total	400.50
	FALL RIVER, MASS.	
17706	John F. Geagan	28.25
	Charles A. Fish	103.40
	Mary Mahar, administratrix Andrew J. Mahar, deceased	26.81
	John J. Powers	168.24
	FALL RIVER, MASS.—cont'd.	
19218	James A. Brown	$47.64
	Patrick J. Luney	103.68
	John S. Rourke	43.73
	Isaac Taylor	40.42
	Pierre R. Picard	50.33
	Total	612.50
	FITCHBURG, MASS.	
19382	Frank W. Abbott	115.25
	Frank J. Dwyer	114.20
	Eugene Forrest	114.20
	Thomas F. McCann	134.56
	Fred S. Moore	55.06
	Albert S. Pierce	142.82
	Patrick B. Purtill	114.20
	Ernest F. Schragle	2.48
	Total	792.77
	GLOUCESTER, MASS.	
19219	Charles E. Storey	109.53
	John J. McDonald	60.84
	Fritz E. Oakes	109.53
	William R. Caig	109.53
	Henry Wilson	109.53
	Hiram W. Buffington	109.53
	Walter Adams	60.84
	James M. Allen	118.00
	Total	787.33
	HAVERHILL, MASS.	
17692	Charles H. Blunt	111.57
19057	Warren B. Cluff	56.96
	Total	168.53
	HOLYOKE, MASS.	
18684	Herman G. Burkhardt	46.73
	William E. Webster	21.91
	Fred S. Whitney	13.40
	Eli W. Beach	30.52
	Clifford R. Thomas	13.40
	William A. Aiken	46.73
	Edward B. Thomas	32.99
	George W. Gibson	62.22
	Total	267.90
	HYDE PARK, MASS.	
18729	Thomas Mulcahy	15.06
	John A. Jackson	15.06
	Charles G. Sloan	15.06
	Total	45.18
	LAWRENCE, MASS.	
17977	Charles F. Lang	52.59
	William A. Summers	122.67
	Jeremiah J. Desmond	42.07
	Total	217.33
	LOWELL, MASS.	
18163	William H. Wood	46.73
	John Watson	25.16
	John Slack	19.39
20772	Ida Baxter, administratrix of Charles Baxter, deceased	101.87
	Total	193.15

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	LYNN, MASS.	
17656	William H. Armabel	$12.79
	Louis A. Cann	56.96
	Alvin C. Dale	56.96
	George T. Estes	56.96
	Chauncey M. Farwell	56.96
	Thomas B. Homan	56.96
	Hulbert I. Smith	56.96
	George F. Weaver	19.39
	Charles A. Whippen	56.96
	Melville E. Hale	40.21
	Wilbur H. Coolidge	40.21
	Franklin A. Pierce	118.29
	David H. Bonner	146.62
	Cyrus A. Chadwell	153.05
	William B. Fuller	143.70
	Howard K. Sanderson	68.27
	Edward H. Worthern	143.70
	Herbert H. Newball	234.55
	Total	1,519.50
	MALDEN, MASS.	
18424	Thomas J. Garrity	43.88
	Cornelius D. Leary	39.60
	Frederick G. Jones	38.98
	Timothy E. Powell	53.55
	Total	176.01
	NEW BEDFORD, MASS.	
17698	Arthur D. Swift	61.34
	Frank E. Macey	105.61
	Total	166.95
	NEWTON, MASS.	
19127	John T. Farwell	34.02
	Fred C. Morgan	24.75
	George B. Walker	34.02
	Total	92.79
	NORTH ADAMS, MASS.	
18474	James F. Coughlin	36.26
	Thomas F. Lloyd	6.39
	Total	42.65
	NORTHAMPTON, MASS.	
18175	John W. Hartnett	26.60
	PITTSFIELD, MASS.	
18158	Maurice E. Callahan	95.51
	William J. Joyce	77.40
	Thomas F. Duffy	23.30
	Total	196.21
	SALEM, MASS.	
17777	George W. H. Brown	45.27
	Samuel A. Ferguson	45.27
	Charles W. Gardner	33.88
	Charles W. Getchell	33.01
	Alfred P. Jaques	33.88
	Israel A. Lee	45.27
	James W. Nichols, jr	15.06
	Arthur I. Pepper	45.27
	Richard B. Reed	67.77
	John F. Whipple	45.27
	Total	409.95
	SPRINGFIELD, MASS.	
18157	Jeremiah G. O'Connor	43.10
	John F. Anthony	58.78
	SPRINGFIELD, MASS.—cont'd.	
18157	Chester W. Baker	$57.13
	James K. Kneeland	67.51
	Cornelius J. Shine	67.51
	Total	294.03
	WALTHAM, MASS.	
18937	Patrick H. Concannon	82.73
	William J Sheridan	30.31
	Charles F. Law	58.16
	Patrick E. Noonan	52.89
	Edward M. Maguire	73.70
	William W. Darling	71.11
	Total	368.90
	WESTFIELD, MASS.	
19405	James M. Greene	37.74
	WINCHESTER, MASS.	
20751	Elsworth S. Whitney	13.40
	WORCESTER, MASS.	
18160	Moses Church	33.30
	James J. Gavin	94.09
	William J. Heffron	77.99
	Patrick J. McKeon	60.08
	Augustus Stone	35.05
	Jerome M. Stone	77.99
	Augustus F. White	42.64
19263	Eugene C. Holton	77.70
19224	John W. Bacon	77.99
	Ben H. Clough	77.99
	Thomas H. Doherty	70.99
	John J. Dowd	70.86
	Lawrence Manning	77.99
	Henry F. McIntyre	77.99
	Joseph S. O'Connor	10.93
	John P. O'Hara	37.33
	Sidney L. Reed	77.99
18901	Michael J. O'Malley	44.14
	Total	1,123.04
	ADRIAN, MICH.	
17073	Frank T. Gaffney	25.77
	Myron McRoberts	53.00
	James H. Whipple	123.00
	Total	201.77
	BATTLE CREEK, MICH.	
18039	John J. Reynolds	30.93
	Lawrence Farley	21.03
	Bernard Jedding	90.54
	Total	142.50
	BAY CITY, MICH.	
18331	James E. Stevens	10.22
	John Kavanagh	42.06
	William Marcoux	5.15
18777	John B. Castonguy, deceased	126.18
	Total	183.61
	DETROIT, MICH.	
18023	Matthew F. G. Donahue	49.44
	James F. Rickards	$105.06 / 49.44 } 154.50
	Addie F. McPherson, heir of Augustine Bare, deceased	44.29

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	DETROIT, MICH.—continued.	
18023	John J. Crowley, administrator of John O'Connor, deceased	$240.26
	F. W. Tenwinkle, son of Henry Tenwinkle, deceased	107.12
	Frank A. Bennette	107.81
	Oliver C. Bloom	65.57
	Alexander Brede	63.12
	William Campbell	107.81
	James J. Carroll	2.06
	Frederick W. Clark	87.81
	Robert Cowen, jr	27.84
	George E. Goellner	28.86
	Joseph Greusel	96.82
	Charles A. Hall	51.36
	George Hathaway	118.34
	Charles S. Hughes	138.70
	Frank C. Jackson	105.06
	Charles James, deceased	138.70
	Stanislaus Jozenak	44.34
	Stephen H. Kelly	118.34
	Albert Klein	82.49
	T. L. O. Lambert	138.70
	William Henry Langston	87.81
	Frederick G. Ludlow	225.50
	William McKerrow	269.99
	Alvin D. McPherson	63.12
	George W. Martin	138.70
	Simon Myers	44.34
	Lawrence Nash	8.04
	Martin J. O'Donohue	138.70
	Peter Paya	4.12
	William F. Przybylowski	44.34
	Frederick C. Puffer	63.12
	Eugene Reynolds	138.70
	Charles W. Rostowkowski	44.34
	Robert D. Shook	15.06
	William H. Sheridan	9.61
	Joseph A. Troy	138.70
	William H. Witherspoon	138.70
18432	Marshall E. Baldwin { $49.44, 14.76 }	64.20
	Thomas D. McDonald	43.26
	William A. Barney	113.30
	John A. Blair	2.06
	Frank Emmons	111.24
	Alman J. Houston	84.46
	Albert N. Reynolds	111.24
	Thomas J. Barney	117.42
	Charles R. Hamilton	63.12
	Thomas O. Lumsden	96.82
	John W. McKunnie	107.81
	Frank D. Mack	107.81
	Total	4,714.97
	FLINT, MICH.	
18338	Richard E. Coleric	103.34
	Charles S. Martin	79.41
	John Stafford, brother of N. C. Stafford	519.60
	Total	702.35
	GRAND RAPIDS, MICH.	
18496	John W. Jones	1.08
	Herman Warrell	2.04
	Total	3.12
	IRON MOUNTAIN, MICH.	
21251	Thomas S. Flaherty	36.86
	LANSING, MICH.	
17984	Byron H. Willett	132.31
	MANISTEE, MICH.	
18624	Joseph Jacobus	$115.00
	James E. Moran	155.45
	Luman Murray	35.47
	Thomas P. Steadman	45.38
	Alonzo C. Waite	45.17
	F. W. Field	19.59
	Total	416.06
	MUSKEGON, MICH.	
18127	Timothy J. Delanty	103.50
18734	Willis S. Webb	22.77
	Total	126.27
	PONTIAC, MICH.	
20835	William E. Sprague	10.52
	Samuel H. Giles	99.84
	Charles A. Weeks	8.46
	Total	118.82
	SAGINAW (EAST SIDE), MICH.	
17334	Elizabeth Boertman, administratrix of Charles C. Boertman	3.51
	Robert J. McCormick	104.27
	Frank F. Miller	132.02
18578	Edward W. Courtney	19.28
18711	William L. Little	1.24
	O. J. Sawyer	77.41
	Total	337.73
	SAGINAW (WEST SIDE), MICH.	
20899	Irving E. Davis	1.24
18578	Harry W. Mosier	75.49
	Edward A. Le Febre	67.39
20769	Thomas J. Forestal	122.91
	Total	267.03
	DULUTH, MINN.	
18499	Mike Hoppa	35.34
19268	John C. Frizell	305.91
	Alton B. Heimbach	174.22
	John Hennebery	136.46
	Murdo S. McKinzie	60.64
	Joseph Plutnizky	92.81
	Total	805.38
	MANKATO, MINN.	
20336	Ernest W. Koetting	139.17
	MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.	
18529	J. L. Abrams	4.12
	Sidney D. Berry	4.12
	Joseph G. Bertrand	2.48
	Herbert P. Bates	4.12
	E. E. Bickel	3.32
	Eugene M. Briggs	4.12
	Edward E. Brothers	3.32
	E. Butler	4.12
	F. W. Campbell	4.12
	E. E. Cass	2.48
	Louis H. Clough	4.12
	E. L. Coffin	4.12
	Thomas Connolly	4.12
	John T. Dahlstrom	4.12
	Frederick C. Emerson	3.32
	Abram G. Forland	3.32

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.—cont'd.	
18529	Oscar A. Gardner	$3.43
	George F. Gordon	3.32
	John B. Gorman	4.12
	John A. Halling	3.32
	L. S. Hamblin	4.12
	John G. Hill	2.48
	George B. Horton	3.32
	James R. Huckins	4.12
	Jonas Krafne	4.12
	Alfred G. McCord	4.12
	Joseph W. Mercer	4.12
	F. J. Miller	3.32
	William J. Newton	4.12
	James S. Noble	4.12
	Thomas O'Neill	2.48
	John Pederson	4.12
	Perry P. Pierce	3.32
	Philemon P. Reed	4.12
	Thomas O. Upton	4.12
	Joseph Warren	3.32
	William Watson	4.12
19445	Alexander I. Bissonette	76.76
19280	Theophile L. Beaudette	35.47
	Elmer L. Buell	27.63
	John Collins	27.63
	Guy Hawkins	27.63
	David Knobel	107.81
18952	Archie F. Thompson	84.12
18529	Eugene M. Wood	4.12
	J. A. Wood	4.12
	E. L. Wright	4.12
19280	Charles L. Abbott	73.51
	Albert Ashenden	98.88
	Winfield O. Chase	98.88
	William D. McMillan	26.40
	John O. Williams	106.60
	Jasper E. Brown	11.55
	John Langan	26.40
	Total	978.82
	ST. PAUL, MINN.	
17085	Alphonse J. Brennon	88.11
	John J. Dillery	202.57
	George T. Drake	8.29
	Henry C. Garvey, deceased	416.81
	Nels Hayden	106.05
	John J. Luby	49.71
	Lawrence S. Moore	2.89
	Henry C. Nichol	4.94
	Edward A. Oschner	141.69
	Albert J. Rock	10.11
	Ernest Schroeer	39.14
	Arthur J. Taylor	156.90
19273	John W. Grant	20.00
	Henry R. E. Longfield	60.54
	George L. Taft	20.62
	Jerry Webber	7.22
18423	Fred C. Kinney	1.03
19273	Nicholas Hendy	256.81
	Michael S. Lawless	126.02
	Total	1,719.45
	STILLWATER, MINN.	
18632	Matthew F. Butler	100.50
	Edward D. Elliott, jr	25.59
	William F. Walsh	93.80
	August Hoehne	32.37
	Total	252.26
	WINONA, MINN.	
18009	Rodney Redfield	207.16
	John A. Zaborowski	199.98
18534	Joseph Will	273.09
	Total	68.023
	MERIDIAN, MISS.	
18855	William S. Harris, jr	$186.29
	William B. Johnson	156.43
	Benjamin J. Nelson	186.29
	Thomas H. O'Neil	166.13
	Total	695.14
	JACKSON, MISS.	
18569	William M. Garland	6.36
	Napoleon J. Smith	16.89
19040	William Culbertson	23.20
	Total	46.45
	VICKSBURG, MISS.	
18018	Lee B. Rogers	55.38
18733	Edward F. McManus	40.48
	Total	95.86
	KANSAS CITY, MO.	
18071	Barnabas Berry, administrator Alva S. Berry, deceased	70.75
	Frank Hughes	35.06
	Frederic C. Krass	187.65
	Monroe Larson	310.63
	Henry A. Waller	262.78
18486	Harry E. Dewey	70.13
	Sidney A. Jennings	56.65
18071	Campbell Chapman	89.96
	Hugh H. Cole	61.88
	William H. English	4.94
	William T. Fitton	7.63
	Charles T. Freeman	60.84
	Edward H. Luckey	7.84
	Sebastian A. Maggio	37.53
	Daniel M. Pierce	8.25
	Dell M. Ralls	133.37
	Henry W. Tracy	144.88
19275	Thomas Briody	192.87
	James Cannon	192.87
	James H. Crews	203.94
	Leonard Cree Jackson	88.48
	Morton A. Maynard	88.48
	Frank M. Meade, administrator of Richard E. Meade, deceased	88.48
	Andrew J. Nash	125.60
	Thomas F. Reilly	135.61
	Dell Smith	88.48
	Elmer R. Waters	322.05
	Eugene J. Wagner	192.87
	Burdsey W. Walley	137.77
	John P. Robinson	64.77
	Total	3,473.04
	NEVADA, MO.	
20707	Levi Cook	2.48
	Andrew J. Crigler	1.44
	Jacob M. Schatt, deceased	30.86
	Total	34.78
	ST. JOSEPH, MO.	
17577	Charles L. Baker	153.93
	Clinton S. Huffington	139.03
19320	William T. Brayles	220.81
	Joseph E. Grief	171.40
	Robert T. Raney	16.35
	Robert T. Raney	173.81
	Total	875.33

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	ST. LOUIS, MO.	
18027	John S. Andrew	$96.82
	Fred W. Berg	60.35
	Gustave A. Betz	54.24
	Patrick O. Brown	19.59
	Michael Burke	127.76
	Thomas Byrnes, administrator Thomas J. Byrnes, deceased	111.33
	Thomas J. Curran	34.44
	Henry M. Day	196.43
	Cornelius J. Dennehy	20.00
	Catharine Grimm, administratrix Gustave A. Grimm, deceased	138.36
	Edward Heyman	34.67
	Charles E. Huelsick	180.73
	Samuel L. Hyatt	25.06
	George Hunt	188.77
	Charlotte Grimm, administratrix Henry F. A. Grim, deceased	203.60
	Gustave R. Klier	203.60
	William C. Richardson, administrator Charles W. Koestring, deceased	63.32
	Anthony Meagher	106.43
	William H. Miller	195.02
	Louis Nortmann	75.88
	Leslie P. Norman	183.00
	Joseph O'Hearne	188.77
	Samuel C. Owens	127.72
	John B. Stiften	53.50
	George W. Bishop	142.48
	John T. Boles	39.50
	Arthur E. Cooney	203.26
	John J. Curran	203.26
	Frank B. Eckert	142.48
	Henry F. Koehler	40.21
	George Marshall	121.88
	Joseph A. Mussman	45.96
	Edward C. Pfeifer	25.77
	George W. Swarting	39.18
	Charles J. Walsh	197.04
	Edward Weber	49.44
	Frederick H. Wiecher	121.88
	Edward J. Chartrand	280.10
	William C. Richardson, administrator Francis V. Eynatten, deceased	101.63
	Louis Merz	266.69
	Michael E. Whaling	258.76
	Edwin F. White	95.04
	Louis Marcks	187.09
	Louisa M. Wolf, administratrix John C. Wolf, deceased	93.39
	John W. Pelgen	86.52
	Daniel B. Riordan	52.76
	James M. M. Stokes	138.36
	Henry B. Stottman	127.72
	Charles W. Trefrey	203.60
	John E. Umbricht	127.72
	Henry I. Woods	180.73
	Louis Newsham	149.97
	Albros Wallblock	65.92
	Thomas A. Marshall	307.04
	Leon S. Boucher	76.32
	George W. Davison	125.14
	Frederick A. Dunker	75.88
	Charles E. Gereke	125.14
	Mary Ikemeier, administratrix Stephen J. Ikemeier, deceased	71.55
	William H. Kremming	91.61
	Fred A. Janssen	30.31
	William A. Oberlohman	221.11
	Frederick J. Schleich	178.91
	August H. Stuermann	221.11
	John C. Lyons	79.66
	Joseph C. Lindsay	208.75
	Leon Walton	205.10
18784	J. Karmany Smith	138.36
	Joseph Teahan	189.51
	ST. LOUIS, MO.—continued.	
18784	Henry Stege	$138.02
	Joseph Woodruff	239.27
	Thomas L. Bray	181.96
20275	Amelia C. Stelzeleni, administratrix William J. Stelzeleni, deceased	140.81
20750	Timothy J. Callahan	13.47
	John T. Kern	369.39
	John C. Whitehead	180.94
	Judson T. Clement	110.40
	Herman Esche	63.26
	Maurice Keane	150.81
	Fred Kuenstler	15.26
	Michael J. Nash	60.30
	Patrick O'Keefe	234.64
	G. S. E. Sagehorn	376.12
	F. W. Weisheyer	26.60
18784	Adelina Alis, administratrix of Charles Alis, deceased	148.32
	Timothy F. Burke	162.05
	Thomas Burke	162.05
	John A. Burffee	266.77
	Edward G. Burles	85.84
	August Boette	138.02
	Michael L. Cahill	31.76
	John P. Cummings	53.83
	Ernest L. Gross	138.02
	William J. Gallagher	123.67
	Joseph Huppman	50.74
	James J. Holahan	151.60
	Frank N. Jordans	57.73
	Herman Ludwig	120.17
	William McFadden	138.02
	William A. Norris	127.21
	Christopher Roesch	120.17
	George W. Reitz	162.05
	William A. Ritchie	137.67
	Charles Schackel	120.17
	Charles J. Souderman	85.84
	Charles Wiegand	120.17
	Joseph L. Woodlock	63.32
	Matthew Whitford	162.05
	Total	13,960.72
	SEDALIA, MO.	
17920	John Lomasney	370.07
	Edwin C. Mason	182.34
	Total	552.41
	SPRINGFIELD, MO.	
17791	Valerius W. Campbell	126.32
	George Townes	6.81
	Darwin F. Johnston	48.71
	Total	181.84
	BUTTE, MONT.	
21186	Phillip P. Carr	88.43
	James A. Coll	232.38
	Owen Roberts	1.35
	Edward L. Waldrip	33.20
	Royal W. Yoeman	27.63
21086	Frank T. Newberry	185.94
	Leyman W. Royce	14.03
	Total	582.96
	HELENA, MONT.	
21185	H. Frank Adkins	189.44
	James Blythe	105.38
	James A. Eslick	264.01
	Rudolph J. Johannes	168.95
	Anastasia S. O'Connor, widow John O'Connor, deceased	225.37

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	HELENA, MONT.—continued.	
21185	Henry M. Phillips	$195.97
20778	Maupin Duff	7.30
	Total	1,156.42
	BEATRICE, NEBR.	
17773	Richard B. Applegat	86.39
	Jacob S. Rutherford	91.65
	Total	178.04
	FREMONT, NEBR.	
18367	James F. Green	5.15
	GRAND ISLAND, NEBR.	
18696	Benjamin F. Gardner	5.85
	Levi H. Matchett	10.52
20669	William Ivers	69.15
	Total	85.52
	HASTINGS, NEBR.	
19718	James D. Campbell	51.70
	Isaac A. Hall	51.70
	Total	103.40
	KEARNEY, NEBR.	
19719	William Crawford	1.65
	Amos L. Graham	8.46
	Total	10.11
	LINCOLN, NEBR.	
18293	Carleton C. Marlay	5.15
	George O. Hearn	.82
19062	Arthur D. Craig	101.27
	Henry Vollstedt	50.84
	Total	161.08
	NEBRASKA CITY, NEBR.	
19677	Wesley H. Doughty	34.23
	James E. McIlreevy	30.93
	Ebbie Northcutt	32.37
	John L. Patterson	32.37
	Total	129.90
	OMAHA, NEBR.	
18705	Emar Castberg	14.02
	James Clark	21.61
	Samuel E. Collins	15.68
	Patrick Cocoran	15.26
	Charles H. Creighton	23.10
	Lewis J. Edwards	21.61
	Edward Kelly	22.20
	Charles H. King	21.61
	George J. Kleffner	22.20
	Andrew Noonan	14.02
	Edward R. Overall	104.56
	Ralph U. Powers	9.90
	Calixt Remillard	22.20
	William H. Robertson	15.68
	Ira W. Smith	21.61
	John M. Stafford	21.61
19322	Osman N. Birkett	189.27
	Daniel C. Brown	189.27
	John H. Cunningham	89.93
	Robert C. Davis	189.27
	Richard E. English	87.04
	Reuben W. Freeman	113.15
	Thomas Gurnett	19.80
	OMAHA, NEBR.—continued.	
19322	Peter F. Hanson	$59.81
	Fred Jorgensen	220.58
	Harry L. Lingafelt	179.82
	William Maher	59.81
	Thomas C. Parkins	189.27
	Andrew Peterson	189.27
	James S. Stone	150.48
	Alexander Sweeney	110.56
	John H. Tebbins	82.91
	John Woodruff	220.48
18798	James Fairfield	66.01
19601	William J. From	195.44
	Total	2,989.04
	SOUTH OMAHA, NEBR.	
19961	Martin A. Martin	15.06
	Charles W. Miller	15.06
	Total	30.12
	CONCORD, N. H.	
19452	Fred J. Cole	13.61
	William C. White	40.42
	Fred E. Wilkins	11.75
19373	Joseph A. Coty	93.18
	Jeremiah D. Foley	103.68
	James P. Harlow	122.96
	Samuel H. Prescott	103.68
	Henry Tucker	118.00
	Willis K. Wingate	93.18
	Robert M. Clark	88.75
	Total	789.25
	DOVER, N. H.	
18399	Fred E. Roberts	85.32
	KEENE, N. H.	
19139	Clinton A. Hyland	86.85
	Frank W. Barker	65.59
	Frank G. Russell	109.63
	Total	262.07
	MANCHESTER, N. H.	
18405	John G. Brown	14.60
	William E. Dunbar	41.66
	Peter A. Farrell	40.02
	James Arthur Morse	78.17
	James Murphy	40.02
	William H. Ansell	50.52
	Irving L. Campbell	43.10
	Hubert M. Chandler	77.76
	Alba A. Dolloff	62.49
	Albin Gustofson	67.24
	Clarence D. Palmer	3.09
	Charles H. Rowe	69.51
	William H. Sullivan	62.91
	Octavus V. Hill	12.58
	Willie B. Sanford	40.02
	William K. Stockdale	311.67
20218	Jules Larivee	353.13
18405	John J. Driscoll	158.95
	Total	1,527.44
	NASHUA, N. H.	
18637	Charles E. Holson	12.79
	William D. Mongovan	23.72
	Edwin S. Knight	53.30
	Andre E. Brault	35.60
20217	Alfred P. Hayden	170.83
	Frank A. McMaster	5.15
	Total	301.39

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	PORTSMOUTH, N. H.	
18409	William H. Phinney	$71.34
	Warrington Moulton	71.34
	Ernest S. Johnson	71.34
	Amos R. Locke	178.48
	Charles E. Lowd	156.47
	Mark Noble	3.09
	William O. Sides	176.62
	Samuel A. Reed	177.66
	Taylor Waterhouse	183.57
	Total	1,089.91
	ASBURY PARK, N. J.	
19379	Isaac J. Brown	51.36
	Harvey R. Bergen	127.19
	Charles Imlay	93.58
	Charles S. Warren	5.78
	Total	277.91
	ATLANTIC CITY, N. J.	
19330	Michael J. Kelly	22.06
	William B. Treat	69.59
18600	William Culligan	57.81
	John Harrold, jr	57.81
	Nicholas H. Downs	16.33
	Total	223.60
	BRIDGETON, N. J.	
18718	Henry W. Porch	38.27
18902	Frank E. Laning	25.66
	Andrew Mahr	51.02
	Total	114.95
	CAMDEN, N. J.	
18156	John C. Goldthrop	302.59
	Thomas J. Hambrose	115.71
	John W. Sparks	205.33
	Patrick Whalen	113.07
	Cladius E. Bradshaw	207.67
	J. Kelly Brown	12.14
	J. Howard Butcher	11.34
	George W. Campbell	5.15
	George Denny	29.90
	William H. Dorman	29.90
	Walter P. Ellis	41.25
	Benjamin S. Grum	94.99
	J. Newton Hillman	9.90
	William C. Johnson	6.39
	J. Howard McCormick	25.56
	Charles Parker	4.54
	William L. Parker	11.55
	Mary J. Rowson, administratrix Moses Rowson, deceased	43.93
	J. Howard Shinn	9.49
	F. Walter Toms	48.13
	Leonard Sturm	27.43
	Total	1,355.96
	ELIZABETH CITY, N. J.	
18155	Edward F. Burke	112.45
	Michael J. Haggerty	45.38
	James P. McElroy	141.36
	John H. Beale	4.94
	Total	304.13
	HOBOKEN, N. J.	
18251	Catharine Hopkins, administratrix Frederick A. Hopkins, deceased	22.68
	JERSEY CITY, N. J.	
17488	John J. Burns	$77.56
	John S. Prawl	209.43
	Louis Reinhardt	9.28
	Thomas E. Wakefield	174.42
	Daniel S. Coffey	37.95
	Gustave Kaiser	41.66
	Irving S. Taylor	13.63
	George A. Logan	19.23
	George V. Newkirk	163.42
	Max F. Fackert	180.25
	James B. Farrier	184.03
	James McGovern	68.27
	William H. Peet	180.25
	David Sheehan	93.38
	Total	1,452.76
	MORRISTOWN, N. J.	
17978	Robert J. Price	26.60
	William E. Beach	10.11
	David L. Fox	73.25
	Frank M. Headley	5.57
	Joseph Pierson	8.87
	Total	124.40
	NEWARK, N. J.	
17810	Samuel Allison	50.53
	Thomas J. Coffre	50.53
	Thomas F. Christie	106.69
	Henry Coleman	111.07
	James P. Donley	116.55
	William F. Erb	7.43
	Thomas A. Fitch	15.26
	William J. Foley	50.53
	George J. Hahn	107.43
	Clement F. Hasel	50.53
	George E. Hayes	111.07
	J. Fred Hoagland	109.34
	Andrew J. Jubert	82.43
	Francis B. Kineke	16.09
	Joseph Koermaier	20.21
	John W. Lynes	78.63
	Owen P. Mahon	109.09
	John P. Manley	50.53
	George McGookin	50.53
	Thomas W. Nelan	115.67
	Phillip B. Nutzel	84.12
	Louis C. Ochler	50.53
	Fred P. Rommell	24.54
	Lawrence Ryan	55.48
	Lewis A. Sears	140.76
	Christian Stamm	75.58
	Frederick G. Stickel	207.37
	George Tresch	50.53
	Wenzel J. Weiner	131.50
	Edward J. White	135.96
	Charles J. Wirth, jr	50.53
	Frank H. Freeman	246.51
	Frederick Keim { $107.56 / 1103 }	108.59
	John T. McKenna	4.54
	Total	2,776.68
	NEW BRUNSWICK, N. J.	
17992	Thomas F. Grady	158.99
	William H. Hinchman	150.43
	William O'Connell	158.99
	Peter N. Wyckoff	37.50
	Total	505.91
	ORANGE, N. J.	
19261	Stephen Bonnell	104.44
	Phillip Drenneman, jr	104.44
	Patrick Callahan	104.44

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	ORANGE, N. J.—continued.	
19261	James Conroy	$104.44
	Phebe Jamison, administratrix Joseph A. Jamison, deceased	179.47
	James E. Kelly	38.77
	Total	636.00
	PATERSON, N. J.	
18319	John J. Kane	57.96
	PLAINFIELD, N. J.	
17520	Peter Flynn, jr	40.42
19362	Edward E. Hann	4.54
	E. T. Van Winkle	22.89
	Total	67.85
	TRENTON, N. J.	
17088	William Abbotts	42.07
	George U. Brammer	57.54
	John J. Campbell, deceased	152.76
	Fraley E. Cougle	38.36
	Walter L. Cox	53.62
	William P. Chatten	52.59
	Eugene Higgins	13.40
	Joseph Hill	228.41
	William Hollins	34.65
	Hiram Lennox, jr	228.41
	David Levins	45.58
	John N. McCann	53.62
	Daniel Meginn	46.19
	Michael Mulrey	238.05
	John Firth	49.71
	John R. Gould	11.55
	Sumner B. Scudder	64.56
	Enoch F. Van Camp	34.65
	William H. Atkinson	21.23
	James E. Clinton	66.62
19374	Mary Jetter, administratrix George Jetter, deceased	3.92
17088	George Burkett	144.25
	Total	1,681.74
	ALBANY, N. Y.	
17776	Daniel A. Cooney, administrator Peter J. Quinn	49.17
	Edward J. Murphy	86.87
	William P. Winne, jr	142.34
	William H. Burn	36.91
	John J. Brucker	105.75
	Total	421.04
	AMSTERDAM, N. Y.	
16947	Anna B. Combs, widow Livingston M. Combs	18.98
	Julian A. Godwin	164.04
19284	John Shelly	182.99
	George Engle, jr	111.83
	Total	477.84
	AUBURN, N. Y.	
18413	Theodore J. Kosters	124.60
	Edward N. Hopping	5.78
	Total	130.38
	BATAVIA, N. Y.	
19483	Edwin J. Benton	33.82
	Margaret Buckley, mother James E. Buckley, deceased	37.95
	BATAVIA, N. Y.—continued.	
19483	Lucius F. Rolfe	$4.33
	William S. Wakeman	106.43
	Anthony Hirsch	56.66
	Total	239.19
	BINGHAMTON, N. Y.	
16945	George W. McElhone	121.53
19391	David L. Burts	202.41
	Jesse Irons	7.63
	John E. Morrall	116.47
	Charles E. Stebbins	51.64
	Edwin Scrafford	59.69
	Ludlow R. Hogg	20.21
	George W. Moore	25.36
	Albert H. Surdam	13.20
	John C. Volk	13.20
	Total	631.34
	BROOKLYN, N. Y.	
17674	John S. Allen, jr	36.94
	Edwin F. Barker	124.63
	John F. Barr	359.47
	Thomas Barrett	76.15
	George A. Blackmer	142.82
	Jacob Brock	262.30
	Henry J. Brown	15.26
	Hannah M. Burtis, administratrix Benjamin G. Burtis, deceased	142.82
	Margaret A. Butler, widow of Frank Butler, deceased	281.20
	Thomas B. Butler	142.82
	John L. Cain	142.82
	Charles Chapman	9.28
	William B. Davenport, administrator Thomas Clark	150.44
	Peter J. Cleary	108.92
	Michael Collins	54.58
	Joseph F. Conlin, jr	4.54
	James Cunningham	139.54
	Joseph I. Donohue	54.58
	Edward J. Donohue	93.67
	Joseph Dowd	65.73
	James J. Eggo	326.56
	Edward Farrel	103.69
	Karl R. Fosberg	137.40
	Edward J. Francis	18.88
	Henry J. Fritch	5.15
	Charles J. Graham	54.58
	Herman A. F. Henke	4.13
	Richard C. Hollahan	24.75
	John J. Hyland	9.28
	Warren H. Jacobs	227.21
	Albert E. James	46.41
	Thomas A. Kelly	146.94
	Ed H. Kirby	134.56
	Frank F. Krey	12.37
	Andrew J. Liebenau	444.27
	Thomas P. Longking	142.82
	James M. McArdle	164.92
	Edward McCormack	125.63
	Edward W. McFadden	54.58
	William F. McIntyre	142.82
	Thomas C. McMahon	94.76
	William A. McKenzie	18.20
	Mary A. Madden, administratrix Dermott M. Madden, deceased	136.25
	Clinton N. McGuire	6.19
	George L. Marsh	112.69
	William L. Mebus	4.54
	Edward J. Milde	132.96
	Charles W. Morton	90.30
	George W. Naylor	54.58
	Patrick O'Gorman	37.95
	John O'Grady	103.69

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	BROOKLYN, N. Y.—continued.	
17674	Florence Parrett, widow Frank Parrett, deceased	$15.47
	Napoleon J. Plumb	15.11
	Edward B. Powell	47.03
	Joseph J. Price	6.19
	Ralph E. Price	29.53
	Daniel J. Reardon	54.58
	Joseph J. Rigney	355.35
	Rosa Rode, administratrix Joseph H. Rode, deceased	106.58
	Frank P. Roper	9.28
	Louis D. Ryno	142.82
	Francis E. Savage	121.88
	Frederick W. Scherrer, administrator Frank J. Scherrer	145.64
	Julia Schrieber, administratrix Charles Schrieber, deceased	15.26
	Samuel Shannon	9.28
	Nicholas J. Shields	7.01
	William E. Sloan	4.54
	George W. Smith	54.58
	Thomas E. Smith	107.12
	George C. Stadtler	100.60
	George Stoffel	11.15
	Harry Stout	142.82
	August T. Struller	75.54
	Wiley C. Thomas	142.82
	William E. Thomas	54.58
	John Tynan	36.94
	Rudolph Vanderwagg	15.47
	William H. Wall	146.94
	Walter J. Walsh	142.82
	William A. Walsh	15.47
	William F. Walsh	121.51
	William R. West	34.48
	Harry A. Wille	98.53
	Alex. Zundt	359.47
20938	James J. Breslin	23.10
	Hugo Guth	21.65
	Robert F. Houghton	23.10
	Robert S. Miller	6.19
	Thomas F. Keegan	15.47
17674	William D. Reiber	84.12
	Edward Thomas	159.65
	John S. Whistance	71.46
	Emily G. Dyas, widow of Samuel Dyas	114.33
	Francis A. Morris	314.24
	Total	8,647.67
	BUFFALO, N. Y.	
17738	Augusta A. Strasser, administratrix Henry H. Batz, deceased	50.83
	Bridget T. Brandon, administrix James T. Brandon, deceased	63.17
	John F. Collins	46.69
	John S. McShane	16.09
	Louis F. Balthasar	81.03
	Cyrennes M. Brown	53.90
	Henry Burber	2.68
	John F. Collins	84.12
	Owen McEneny	64.25
	Lewis J. O'Connor	55.91
	Hiram Voesseller	2.89
20874	Michael G. McLaughlin	25.41
	Timothy W. Mahoney	131.12
	Henry J. Shipman	22.68
	James W. Wharton	13.40
	George Clark	14.85
	Total	729.12
	CANANDAIGUA, N. Y.	
21173	Frank H. Eighmy	70.32
	Charles J. Farnum	54.13
	Total	124.45
	CORNING, N. Y.	
19716	John J. Clancy, administrator of Thomas M. Clancy, deceased	$92.56
	CORTLAND, N. Y.	
18412	Arthur C. Upson	118.58
	ELMIRA, N. Y.	
16996	Frank C. Willison	25.16
18314	Louis D. Caldwell	28.62
19337	John McCarthy	30.52
	Total	84.30
	FLUSHING, N. Y.	
18398	Prentiss B. Fowler	50.13
	William F. Stevenson	107.92
	Total	158.05
	GENEVA, N. Y.	
20509	John Dennison	84.10
	Frank C. Fox, brother Harry E. Fox, deceased	10.52
	Herbert C. Meade	84.10
	Henry K. Winnie	14.85
	William D. Wertman	.61
	Total	194.18
	GLENNS FALLS, N. Y.	
18669	Timothy D. Downey	67.77
	Napoleon L. Lee	67.77
	Dennis Lynch	67.77
	Edgar M. Monte	21.44
	Charles H. Clark	10.11
18756	Frank C. Martin	96.98
	Total	331.84
	HORNELLSVILLE, N. Y.	
18587	William A. Dugan	47.81
19343	John F. Fallon	40.83
	James Mahar	9.28
	Total	97.92
	ITHACA, N. Y.	
18376	Louis Coryell	179.40
	Willis Hausner	2.89
	Edwin T. Heustis	234.73
	John Johnson	208.76
	William R. Pearson	166.59
	William J. Pringle	201.82
18411	Owen Toner, administrator John Toner, deceased	104.05
18677	Henry B. Illston	11.75
	Total	1,109.99
	JAMESTOWN, N. Y.	
18020	Walter B. Frink	37.74
	John R. Moyinhan	6.39
	Frederick A. Saxon, jr	50.33
	Total	94.46
	LITTLEFALLS, N. Y.	
17092	Silas N. Baker	67.76

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	LOCKPORT, N. Y.	
17295	Frank A. Fox	$40.31
	De Witt C. Graham	31.55
	Total	71.86
	LONG ISLAND CITY, N. Y.	
18394	Thomas McNamara	161.73
	Frank E. McBennett	152.92
18431	Charles E. Masterson	47.78
19283	George P. O'Hara	16.09
19685	Martin Judge, executor Julia A. Kelly, administratrix, deceased, David A. Kelly, deceased	150.92
	John Frend	7.43
20522	Arthur E. McDonald	226.15
	Total	763.02
	NEWBURGH, N. Y.	
18401	Luke Lloyd	142.35
19737	Frederick Reek	172.27
	Henry Van Benschoten	261.13
	James A. Cantlin	96.07
	Thomas J. Hayes	96.07
	Chester F. Thayer	96.07
	Robert McNair	98.13
	Total	961.99
	NEW YORK, N. Y.	
17039	Patrick J. Carey	56.65
	William L. Golden	17.94
	George J. Woelpper	21.86
	George Bender	$26.78 / 36.96 = 63.74
	John A. Burnett	45.58
	Edward A. Clark	$115.70 / 56.65 = 172.35
	John Dalton	34.02
	John L. Dennis	212.52
	Hugh L. Donnelly	6.18
	Edwin L. Edgerly	34.02
	Edward V. Reedy, deceased	4.42
	John W. Suhre	6.18
	Michael T. Ward	62.15
	Harry P. Cummings	5.57
	Sidney Simmons, deceased	8.04
	James Dwyer	93.87
	Benjamin Eckstein	37.12
	James Hayes	75.91
	Henry J. Howe	69.31
	Max Levy	7.01
	John E. Maxwell	56.65
	Thomas F. Monahan	20.00
	John Neelsen, deceased	14.44
	William E. Peacock	20.15
	Frederick P. Price	28.15
	John Tobias, administrator Jacob Tobias, deceased	21.00
	Bernard Carlin	100.94
	Joseph F. Buchanan	20.08
	Aurelio B. Cavo	20.99
	James Donovan	26.88
	Elizabeth McCue, administratrix John McCue, deceased	1.37
	Bartholomew J. Madden	26.78
	John W. Merkel	24.30
	William Noe	10.22
	Edward F. Scott	21.55
	Catharine Meighan, administratrix Peter H. Biecker, deceased	39.78
	William L. Hendy	49.44
	Charles W. Chandler	37.76
	George W. Waterman	222.82
	John H. Abbott	28.15
	John M. J. Addi	12.37
	NEW YORK, N. Y.—continued.	
17039	Gus A. Wambach	$106.43
	Robert B. Ward	8.25
	Philip M. Weiman	26.74
	Jacob C. Weingarth	109.76
	Albert A. Weitzel	15.47
	Stephen B. Wheeler	14.44
	William Wick	19.23
	Charles J. Wiley	8.25
	John C. Williams	4.13
	Cornelius Wood	22.32
	Henry A. Wood	120.85
	Francis J. Woods	28.15
	Joseph Wright	79.49
	Michael J. Curran	53.90
	Patrick J. Gaynor	$26.78 / 40.85 = 67.63
	John Heckmann	18.56
	John L. Roscoe	120.85
	William F. Phillips	86.18
	Charles A. Sickles	16.91
	John Skerrett	28.15
	Matthew Smyth	27.01
	George E. Stanton	70.91
	Albert Stark	131.84
	George W. Stevens	120.85
	Alonzo Stivers	28.15
	George Stock	13.40
	Florence J. Sullivan	37.33
	Charles Sutten	12.37
	Charles C. Terhune	4.13
	Andrew J. F. Thiel	28.15
	Wallace F. Toole	120.85
	James W. Urell	$26.78 / 39.82 = 66.60
	William A. Van Tassel	110.21
	Louis Wagner	28.15
	Clifford Waldo	5.15
	John W. Walsh	39.82
	Peter Walsh	5.15
	William J. Ahrens	28.15
	John P. Allen	86.87
	John H. Apman	41.04
	William Arnold	28.15
	Dexter B. Bailey	126.35
	Benjamin Baer	106.33
	Harry A. Bartels	16.91
	Michael Bau	23.80
	James E. Bennett	27.43
	William J. Boettger	31.95
	William T. Brady	33.65
	Albert Brocker	18.56
	William B. Beiling	12.37
	Henry Bundstein	101.63
	George J. Burnhauser	12.37
	James Campora	15 00
	Bridget C. Carroll, com. of Thomas J. Conroy, insane	243.53
	John Casey, No. 1	30.90
	John S. Catherwood	12.37
	James A. H. Cavanagh	22.32
	Michael F. Chrystal	16.91
	Charles E. Coffeyn	20.62
	Andrew F. Collins	120.85
	Edward J. Collins	20.62
	Julia T. McGrath, administratrix of Patrick H. Collins	154.84
	Salvadore Colombo	120.85
	John Conlogue	28.15
	Nathan Cooper	23.45
	Charles I. Cornell	6.19
	Michael J. Cosgrove	70.73
	William J. Cowell	7.22
	John Cross	69.31
	Joseph Crozier	10.31
	Maggie Curran, administratrix James F. Curran	28.15
	Martin Clune	35.84
	John C. Damm	6.19
	Herman E. Davidson	120.85
	Willam Deckert	1.65
	Andrew J. Delaney	162.39

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	NEW YORK, N. Y.—continued.	
17039	John L. Dempsey	$99.87
	Daniel J. Dewitt	10.73
	John J. Dobbins	28.15
	Arthur Donnelly	10.31
	Jeremiah J. Donovan, father Frank J. Donovan	28.74
	Francis X. Donovan	106.33
	James Donovan	40.85
	Laughlin H. Dooley	10.31
	Abraham S. Doremus	48.51
	William J. Douglass	36.39
	Peter J. Dowd	27.27
	Henry Faeth	106.33
	Spencer Field	45.66
	William V. Fruhan	3.30
	John J. Fitzgerald	47.72
	William J. Fogarty, No. 1	33.75
	Edward J. Foley	2.06
	Terence M. Foley	28.15
	John W. Foster, deceased	64.89
	Augustus S. Gaylor	28.15
	Fred F. Gegenheimer	120.85
	J. Charles Glimm	42.28
	John F. Graham	22.65
	Charles H. Granel	8.25
	Charles F. Grange	24.75
	William H. Haigh	100.94
	David S. Hailer	18.56
	Frank E. Halleck	120.85
	Edward Hanlon	48.06
	Annie Hansen, widow Henry Hansen	100.84
	Daniel J. Harrington	41.25
	Michael E. Harris	16.91
	Michael F. Hart	20.62
	John F. Hassaw	10.11
	William F. Hauley	4.42
	Edward Hayes	57.43
	Moses Hecht	53.00
	Charles A. Heywood	15.47
	Joseph Hirschfeld	9.49
	Fred A. Hoelzer	15.47
	Benjamin F. Holland	28.15
	James Hyland	78.97
	Martin M. Irwin	120.85
	Mark Isaacs	10.11
	George W. James	28.15
	Charles T. Johnson	28.15
	Marie E. Johnston, widow John Johnston, deceased	126.35
	Daniel S. Kaskell	120.85
	George F. Keane	140.76
	Charles M. Keller	12.37
	Frank Kenney	64.10
	John J. Keifer	27.42
	Charles F. King	28.15
	Jacob Knoebel	10.31
	George Krahe, jr	100.84
	Thomas J. Kiernan	4.13
	Tobias Lake	34.42
	James W. Lally	28.12
	James H. Lent	103.69
	Hugh J. Leonard	115.02
	Richard Lloyd	292.18
	Adolph H. Langhans	181.97
	Robert A. MacDonald	20.35
	John K. Macomber	212.18
	John S. Mahon	28.15
	John F. Mahoney	16.91
	John J. Mahoney, No. 1	28.15
	John J. Mahoney, No. 2	8.25
	William J. Malloy	28.15
	James Maloney	16.97
	Patrick T. Maloney	137.91
	John C. F. Maloy	52.57
	William Matthews	52.73
	William Mauck	120.85
	Charles Maudelbaum	49.09
	Timothy McAuliff	15.47
	John McCarron, administrator Peter McCarron	27.63
	NEW YORK, N. Y.—continued.	
17039	Charles F. McCarthy, No. 1	$112.09
	Michael H. McCarthy	131.15
	William McCarthy	28.87
	Joseph F. McCormack	28.84
	Elizabeth McCue, administratrix John McCue	76.25
	James McKenzie	118.68
	James McVey	28.15
	Mary Monahan, administratrix John F. Monahan	11.88
	Richard M. Mooney	28.15
	Charles H. Moser	18.56
	Thomas J. Murray	103.69
	Richard F. O'Brien	6.19
	John C. O'Connor	40.51
	J. F. O'Leary	89.54
	Patrick H. O'Neil	8.25
	Merwin J. Page	25.16
	John O. Palmquist	80.93
	George L. Pichard	5.98
	Thomas C. Place	28.15
	Joseph Platt	16.91
	George Rehm	2.06
	Joseph M. Reid	2.68
	Henry G. Riehl	28.15
	William Roberts	42.19
	Thomas Roden	53.22
	M. L. Root, widow Charles F. Root	28.15
	Richard F. Rosamond	28.15
	Edmund Rothschild	59.39
	Joseph J. Rowe	97.51
	John Ryan, No. 1	28.15
	Charles Ryan	12.37
	Hugh C. Ryder	28.15
	Charles Richter	154.15
	Isaac J. Roseuthal	13.61
	James M. Sarles	28.15
	Thomas F. Scanlon	16.91
19308	Thomas J. Cronin	176.13
19038	Henry M. Beck	12.37
	Joseph A. Langan	4.13
20007	Lawrence L. Davids, deceased	12.58
17039	William J. Ahrens	4.46
	John H. Apman	4.46
	John J. Keifer	4.46
	Charles Frederick King	4.46
	William Arnold	4.46
	John J. Babington	4.46
	Dexter B. Bailey	20.94
	Thomas J. Barragray	2.68
	Harry A. Bartels	4.46
	Michael Bau	4.46
	Adolph Bleibtree	4.46
	Hugh Cameron	26.19
	John Casey	4.46
	E. P. J. Clark	11.55
	Andrew F. Collins	4.46
	Salvadore Colombo	4.46
	Joseph F. Cone	1.24
	John Conlogue	4.46
	John A. Conner	67.71
	Charles W. Conway	6.19
	James Cosgrove	1.37
	Maggie Curran, administratrix of James F. Curran, deceased	4.46
	John Dalton	4.46
	Joseph F. Daubert	21.29
	Herman E. Davison	4.46
	Michael J. Deininger	4.46
	John J. Dobbin	4,46
	Henry Doherty	4.46
	Arthur Donelly	47.11
	William C. Donnelly	27.01
	Francis X. Donovan	8.93
	Jeremiah Donovan, father of Frank J. Donovan, deceased	4.46
	Jacob Knoebel	2.68
	Thomas B. Lacey	6.81
	C. M. Larkin	2.68
	William McCarthy	4.46

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	NEW YORK, N. Y.—continued.	
17039	James McKenzie	$20.94
	Andrew S. McNichol	4.46
	John J. Mahoney, No. 1	4.46
	George W. Moncrief	4.46
	Richard M. Mooney	4.46
	Charles H. Moser	4.46
	William Nolan	4.46
	James T. O'Donnell	4.46
	Washington I. Ogden	4.46
	George L. Pitchard	4.46
	John Pope	4.46
	John Power	4.46
	Thomas Reilly	4.33
	H. G. Riehl	25.06
	William Roberts	4.46
	Thomas Roden	4.46
	Mary L. Root, widow of Charles. F. Root, deceased	4.46
	Richard F. Rosamond	4.46
	Mary A. Ryan, administratrix John Ryan, No. 1, deceased	8.93
	Hugh C. Ryder	4.46
	Charles H. Skill	4.46
	John B. Smith	4.46
	Thomas J. Smith	114.57
	Ed. F. Smith	1.10
	William J. Douglas	4.46
	Peter J. Dowd	4.46
	William D. Dubois	203.60
	Edward W. Ernst	4.46
	Henry Faeth	4.46
	Rudolph Fisher	6.52
	Samuel N. Fitch	121.20
	William J. Fogarty	4.46
	Augustus S. Gaylor	4.46
	Fred F. Gegenheimer	4.46
	Dennis J. Glenny	4.46
	William V. Fruhan	3.59
	Frank E. Guy	15.79
	John E. Hall	83.14
	Michael F. Hart	3.59
	Cornelius J. Healey	8.93
	William A. Henry	4.46
	John J. Holihan	10.73
	Francis Hashagan	11.06
	Joseph E. F. Hughson	92.91
	John J. Hunter	16.22
	Martin M. Irwin	4.46
	William W. Janicke	4.46
	Charles T. Johnson	4.46
	Marie E. Johnson, administratrix John Johnson, deceased	8.93
	Solomon Joseph	95.45
	Thomas Keating	7.22
	Patrick F. Kelly	1.37
	Thomas F. A. Smith	4.46
	John J. Springett	25.06
	George W. Stevens	4.46
	George Stock	4.46
	Florence J. Sullivan	4.46
	Charles Sutten	3.59
	Andrew J. F. Thiel	4.46
	James L. Turner	4.46
	John Vornoff	4.46
	Peter Walsh	4.46
	Albert A. Wetzel	2.68
	Stephen B. Wheeler	4.46
	Richard C. White	20.25
	William Wick	4.46
	Wilbert G. Wiedemann	4.46
	David W. Williams	4.46
	Francis J. Woods	4.46
	Jacob Zann	8.93
	James A. Wood	1.24
	John M. Zunkley	.61
	Christian Schilling	.69
	Abraham L. Cox	2.75
	James McGill	12.17
	Cornelius J. Malone	101.27
	Emanuel C. Percia	6.39
	NEW YORK, N. Y.—continued.	
20007	Adam Smyth	$129.44
	Matthew J. Walsh	137.67
	Mary Hogan, widow of Cornelius Hogan, deceased	103.00
	Jere F. Donovan	23.33
	John J. Collier	47.28
	Michael J. Cosgrove	71.42
	James A. McAree	34.94
	Total	13,700.83
	NORWICH, N. Y.	
19151	Henry M. Brown, father of Mathew R. Brown, deceased	26.60
	Luzerne N. Green	5.15
	Francis W. Hynes	16.71
	Total	48.36
	OGDENSBURG, N. Y.	
19928	George A. Amo	87.57
	George H. Dessert	73.26
	Total	160.83
	OLEAN, N. Y.	
19281	William S. Norton	13.40
19480	John Collins	56.39
	John W. Houghton	46.19
	George Lampack	41.66
	John M. Larkin	27.84
	Total	185.48
	ONEIDA, N. Y.	
19186	George Keenan	30.31
	ONEONTA, N. Y.	
18189	George E. Bond	5.15
	Michael J. Hickey	1.65
	Charles W. Southworth	2.68
	John W. Telford	1.65
	Total	11.13
	OSWEGO, N. Y.	
17799	Bartholomew Cheney	202.12
	George E. Ketchum	202.12
	George W. Ketchum	50.53
	Edward J. Kiley	192.12
	Total	646.89
	POUGHKEEPSIE, N. Y.	
18403	Ulysses D. Caulkins	35.97
19287	William J. Wolff, jr	45.38
	Total	81.35
	ROCHESTER, N. Y.	
18215	James A. Burns	36.66
	Ellen B. Dodge, widow of Frank H. Dodge, deceased	162.39
	William J. Martin	165.34
	Marion A. Scranton, widow and administratrix of Frank E. Scranton, deceased	81.72
	Charles H. Baker	1.10
	Frank M. Copeland	98.08
	Michael J. Fitzgerald	143.13
	George W. Martin	90.00
	John A. Schwab	83.27

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	ROCHESTER, N. Y.—continued.	
18215	William G. Oliver	$230.23
18458	H. Wright Brown	124.63
	William S. Bradt	155.53
	John H. Coughlin	55.69
	Mary Matilda Evans, administratrix Thomas A. Evans, deceased	131.50
	Francis C. Hysner, administratrix John H. Hysner, deceased	77.93
	Michael J. Hyland	$98.03 / 75.91 — 173.94
	Edward Heller	127.38
	Matthew S. Hodgson	31.24
	William H. Jenkinson	26.44
	B. F. Kelly	69.18
	N. G. Lovelace	47.72
	George W. Matthews	9.28
	M. J. McLaughlin	10.11
	William O'Brien	9.28
	Joseph P. Shied	47.83
	Jacob C. Suter	73.13
	Samuel Whiting	53.16
	Michael P. Brennan	47.72
	Emil Eaton	170.63
	William M. Geraghty	11.75
	Edward B. Griffin	62.12
	Frank D. Kehoe	144.65
	Frank E. McFarland	31.93
	De Witt C. Skinner	163.19
	John J. Sutton	170.63
	Frank A. Van Vechten	158.27
	Joshua Sears Wooden	87.40
20774	Wallace P. Couch	83.09
	Thomas S. Gosnell	.41
	Oliver S. Johnson	37.38
	James A. Judson	40.85
	William J. Kammer	50.12
	Martin E. Staub	121.92
	Total	3,697.95
	ROME, N. Y.	
17919	Owen D. Hagerty	121.21
	SARATOGA SPRINGS, N. Y.	
20441	Frank P. Kelly	490.99
20811	Willard Shaul	30.73
19844	Albert W. Brown	409.22
	Martin T. Crooks	260.25
	William H. Daughtery	363.64
	John Furey	379.70
	Guy E. Pierson	379.70
	William Cox	40.42
	Waldron H. Eddy	430.53
	W. H. Hodges	25.16
	William J. Green	101.06
	James McMahon	75.89
	Total	2,987.29
	SCHENECTADY, N. Y.	
18766	Michael Carroll	86.54
	Frank Nehring	51.15
	Dennis Caine	86.54
18161	David R. Moore	271.89
	Total	496.12
	SENECA FALLS, N. Y.	
18759	Patrick McGraw	17.74
	Michael Ferguson	12.58
	William S. Van Houten	15.26
	Thomas Carr, jr	21.65
	Total	67.23
	SYRACUSE, N. Y.	
17544	William E. Hurd, administrator Byron E. Hurd, deceased	$107.61
	Albert E. Lewis	193.14
	John Moran, administrator Jeremiah L. O'Brien, deceased	124.42
	Nettie E. Ross, administratrix Willis E. Ross, deceased	70.81
	Patrick J. Sullivan	197.81
18451	James A. Nally	53.83
18663	George Allenbrant	101.93
	George Bean	45.79
	Charles H. Burke	9.69
	Francis J. Bourke	76.52
	Hugh H. Connelly	76.53
	Oscar W. Culver	80.91
	John J. Dunn	71.99
	Thomas F. Fleming	35.88
	James A. Gallagher	9.08
	Walter W. Hamilton	49.71
	John Heinerwalden	36.50
	George Hopkins	49.50
	Valentine Kaiser	70.10
	Lewis Light	82.95
	Charles J. Naumann	7.43
	Charles L. Ogle	11.14
	Richard J. Parkinson	47.03
	Norville R. Williams	24.13
	Edward T. Yoe	48.06
17544	Charles A. Huntington	14.31
	Total	1,696.80
	TROY, N. Y.	
17883	Frank O. Benson	41.04
	Estate Abram B. Ksensky, deceased	172.33
	John P. Albertson et al., administrators Isaac Downing, deceased	207.14
	Frederick G. Obermaier	47.23
	Richard J. Devine	63.09
18665	John B. Elgie	27.43
20393	Russell F. Benson	283.32
	Henry W. Connor	66.21
	Marvin A. Hayner	66.21
	Floyd F. Mower	31.55
	Edward L. Witbeck	283.32
18665	Charles E. Allen	54.86
	Le Grand Barringer	241.26
	William J. Fink	26.81
	William Hutchinson	40.83
	Nathan Joel	35.47
	J. B. Albert Le May	26.81
	Thomas J. McCarthy	66.01
	Michael Mooney	66.01
	John R. Niles	241.26
	Frank P. Purcell	66.01
	William H. Quinn	46.61
	Total	2,200.81
	UTICA, N. Y.	
17918	Elizabeth C., executrix Thomas L. Jones	2.48
	John B. Frick	3.51
	James L. G. Reid	121.50
	Washington I. Everson	139.32
	John Philo	139.32
	Henry H. Quick	139.12
	Clarence A. Bates	138.22
	Richard J. Lloyd	129.65
	John F. Ryan	102.51
	John Steifrater	34.02
19286	Albert G. Spencer	109.86
	James A. Burke	120.89
	Charles E. Batchelor	185.47
	Total	1,365.87

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	WATERTOWN, N. Y.	
17943	B. Mason Ladd	$156.39
	WEST TROY, N. Y.	
18276	Michael L. Walsh	23.71
	James McQuade	27.63
	James Lyons	37.61
	Total	88.95
	CHARLOTTE, N. C.	
18819	William M. Smith	43.71
	RALEIGH, N. C.	
18530	John W. Parker	49.36
	David T. Adams	49.36
	John H. Bell	60.17
18930	Charles W. Bevers	49.36
	Total	208.25
	WILMINGTON, N. C.	
16990	G. T. Dixon	19.39
	FARGO, N. DAK.	
20444	Theodore Franks	166.26
	Sidney W. Hooper	45.38
	John M. Johnson	172.83
	Total	384.47
	AKRON, OHIO.	
18689	William A. Caldwell	20.21
	Henry C. Eichenlaub	63.28
	Patrick Flanagan	68.84
	W. H. Kasch	52.67
	Arthur E. Limric	68.84
	Fred H. O'Brien	20.21
	Henry A. Pardee	54.33
	C. C. Pomeroy	10.11
	John W. Sabin	54.33
	Charles D. Steese	92.29
	Total	505.11
	CANTON, OHIO.	
17976	David E. Johns	32.13
	Edward Govenet	32.13
	Henry J. Piero	90.54
	Ephraim G. Sheaffer	30.52
	Henry L. Archinal	35.88
	Monroe Appel	5.36
	Charles W. Reed	8.04
	John C. Vance	93.01
	Sylvester K. Nichols	51.56
	Total	379.17
	CINCINNATI, OHIO.	
17539	Lewis A. Aull	86.35
	Charles R. Bach	75.30
	Annie L. Burke, administratrix Richard C. Burke, deceased	70.75
	Will J. B. Campbell	55.89
	Charles C. Couden	63.51
	Charles C. Davis	57.11
	Frederick W. Dieckman	24.38
	Michael J. Fay	62.29
	Edward J. Franey	34.14
	August Glunz	22.27
	William A. High	117.76
	Le Grande La Boiteaux	95.47
	Emer Lukey	86.35
	CINCINNATI, OHIO—continued.	
17539	Eleanor McMillan, administratrix Alexander McMillan, deceased	$3.09
	Joseph Metzner	26.19
	Frank A. Murdock	80.86
	Elbridge B. Pearce	117.76
	William Richards	117.76
	Anthony Rieger	117.76
	John J. Robbins	4.12
	George J. Schawe	21.03
	Oliver P. Sharpe	141.11
	Cornelius J. Sheridan	94.76
	Samuel F. Stevens	20.21
	Robert L. Stokes, jr	.61
	Frederick W. Sudbrack	22.47
	Stanley W. Tobin	41.98
	Annie Willenborg, administratrix John Willenborg, deceased	94.76
	Albert K. Young	55.89
	Frank A. Zech	9.90
	John Becker	278.87
	Harry C. Cragg	78.22
	Herschel P. Ferris	439.35
	Frank M. Meyer	317.17
	John F. Meyer	169.26
	Andrew Spaeth	291.84
	Henry K. Boswell	2.27
	Thomas S. Coons	12.37
	George J. Doyle	243.95
	John G. Rechtin	75.27
	George L. Talley	75.28
	Edward J. Weigold	72.61
	William H. Wood	10.77
	Wilberforce C. Dempster	117.76
18313	Ayres B. Adams	10.64
18685	Joseph Luckman	14.37
	Thomas J. McCleary	11.33
	Hugh J. Savage	48.33
	John W. Wrenn	62.14
18490	Herbert Granville Holter	103.34
	Richard Roethig	24.38
	Joseph C. Thole	41.98
	George Thomas Tomkins	13.40
	James T. Gordon	32.96
18685	Henry Abeling	19.18
	Mattie Anderson, administratrix of Oliver Anderson	65.57
	Peter Bichard	87.55
	George Burrows	65.57
	Lawrence C. Carpenter	45.83
	Charles M. Clark	74.51
	Edmond T. Clayton	52.20
	Thomas J. McCleary	105.06
	Theodore E. MacKnight	45.83
	Michael J. Manley	19.18
	William H. Maus	42.28
	Michael Moesta	74.51
	William H. Monroe	43.66
	Martin E. Mooney	39.39
	Michael Condon	19.18
	Charles W. Creager	39.39
	Cornelius Cronin	52.59
	Ulysse L. Febuary	39.39
	Joseph H. Fredelake	44.76
	Charles H. Froehlich	39.39
	George W. Fuller	39.39
	George W. Gatch	65.57
	Charles H. Gobrecht	105.06
	Edward Giffin	25.36
	Charles A. Haley	39.39
	Vincent Harding	87.53
	Edward J. Hardt	65.57
	Charles D. Harris	52.76
	John Heatherton	39.39
	John M. Johnson	65.57
	Herman Joseph	65.57
	William M. Koehler	74.51
	Walter Lawson	74.51
	Harry L. Lewis	52.20

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	CINCINNATI, OHIO—continued.	
18685	William P. Lowry	$19.18
	Justin Murphy	65.57
	William Noon	87.55
	John O'Leary	65.57
	Charles J. Riley	52.20
	Samuel Robinson	19.18
	Michael F. Ryan	19.18
	Edward J. Saffin	49.96
	Hugh J. Savage	19.18
	Clemens Scheve	65.04
	William J. Schmidt	87.55
	Charles S. Schubert	39.39
	William Schulmeyer	65.57
	Anthony Schwenniger	19.18
	William H. Shay	52.20
	Stephen G. Schnell	43.66
	Lewis W. K. Tracy	105.06
	Walter J. Trotter	74.51
	Alexander H. Wescott	74.51
	Abram L. Willis	65.57
	August Witte	39.39
	William E. Widan	65.57
	W. E. Parks	63.78
	John W. Wrenn	77.25
	Edward Hoar	460.06
	George F. Wall	72.61
	William A. High	89.61
	Total	8,325.85
	CLEVELAND, OHIO.	
17530	Luther P. Bates	85.84
	John F. Cogan	25.16
	Leopold Dusheck	48.68
	Henry M. Eckerman	48.68
	Joseph G. Faflik	48.68
	Joseph D. Hatch	28.87
	Frank S. Isham	2.68
	John F. Lieblien	38.37
	J. R. McBride	85.84
	John M. Riedel	48.68
	David T. Sherwood	118.11
	Henry E. White	68.67
	Charles Zizka	118.11
	Asa Eldred	22.47
	Charles Zimmerman	35.47
	William C. Bruggert	12.79
	Louis B. Burlin	12.58
	Charles F. Cihak	10.11
	John P. Gill	143.85
	Otto F. Kadow	10.31
	Charles J. Keefe	275.34
	Joseph O'Connor	39.39
	Martin J. O'Donnell	.61
	Charles L. Shaw	22.89
	John W. Gumpert	32.99
18588	Frank W. Gilbert	54.93
	Louis Hartmiller	72.10
	Henry J. Spittle	27.01
	John L. Bleasdale	74.31
	Dennis J. Moran	4.13
	Edward F. Wilcox	106.40
18461	Edward N. Newton	85.84
18588	Miles A. Beebe	84.81
	Charles W. Blackmur	84.81
	John L. Bolden	28.25
	Lorenz C. Burgwald	84.81
	John R. McBride	84.81
	James F. McGrath	17.94
	Charles L. McMillan	50.94
	Christopher Mackin	68.23
	Stephen O. Caldwell	84.81
	Richard Clevering	50.94
	Charles L. Dennis	50.94
	Adam Eble	24.95
	Augustus H. Eggert	84.81
	Edwin H. Farr	84.81
	Thomas Gallagher	17.94
	George M. Geitz	84.81
	CLEVELAND, OHIO—continued.	
18588	Charles M. Gesch	$71.51
	John L. Greene	84.81
	William Gresmuck	84.81
	Sebastian J. Hug	71.51
	James F. Jelinek	28.25
	James A. Kaighin	84.81
	Charles A. Keller	84.81
	William J. Kirby	84.81
	Louis W. Kramer	28.25
	Thomas E. King	38.98
	Philip Kreckel	84.81
	William F. Laetsch	26.60
	Andrew L. Leland	84.81
	William Llewellyn	84.81
	Joseph C. Mangan	71.51
	David Mathews	84.81
	Fred W. Meyer	45.38
	Henry Newman	84.81
	William A. Niebes	84.31
	Charles M. O'Brien	57.02
	John J. Osborn	26.60
	John L. Polcar	84.81
	Henry Remmel	84.81
	Daniel F. Riley	9.28
	James A. Roberts	84.81
	Silas Rossiter, jr	84.81
	Fred L. Saxton	84.81
	John T. Schleinkofer	28.25
	Andrew Schuele	84.81
	Joseph Slaby	84.81
	Fayette S. Trafton	84.81
	James B. Vining	68.23
	Fred C. Wilk	48.47
	Charles A. Wing	84.81
	Patrick Weir	244.45
	Total	5,358.99
	COLUMBUS, OHIO.	
17529	Lot O. Dresbach	3.09
	Thomas J. Fitzpatrick	200.08
	Edward G. Schott	200.08
	William R. Bevelheimer	3.92
	James P. Clipson	143.14
	George T. Vercoe	128.80
	William A. Will	120.34
	Total	799.45
	DELAWARE, OHIO.	
18775	William Downheimer	12.58
	Burn H. McCown	18.36
	John Mahoney	18.36
	Frank C. Poppleton	18.36
	Total	67.66
	ELYRIA, OHIO.	
20882	Fred W. Wagner	90.96
	FINDLAY, OHIO.	
18707	Lauren A. Siddall	20.62
	Charles K. Beach	20.62
	Charles Karst	20.62
	Michael D. Crohen	20.21
	Arthur D. Cheney	30.52
	Edward K. Taylor	51.15
	Total	163.74
	FREMONT, OHIO.	
18754	George C. Lance	8.66
	Washington Deffenbaugh	3.30
20771	Stanislaus A. S. Stuber	48.83
	Total	60.79

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	LIMA, OHIO.	
18781	John McKerren	$49.54
	Charles F. Hoover	49.54
	Thomas J. Gorman	74.16
	Charles H. Thoring	92.48
	Fred C. Herrold	110.88
	Cantwell McGee	20.00
	Total	396.60
	MANSFIELD, OHIO.	
16995	John G. Cairns	65.43
	William Ackerman	38.36
	Frank D. Gadsby	51.73
	John L. Burneson	104.57
	Albert B. Endley	64.06
	Ebenezer C. Ford	64.06
	Edward Lape	77.57
	Frank Milner	64.06
	George Pfeifer	58.74
	George T. Rhodes	95.76
	Total	684.34
	MASSILLON, OHIO.	
17691	Thomas Keenhan	61.05
	Charles E. Young	48.88
	Total	109.93
	MIDDLETOWN, OHIO.	
18821	James Coyle	113.67
	Harry D. Hyams	113.67
	Total	227.34
	NEWARK, OHIO.	
18765	John Meister	51.15
	Frank T. Thorp	73.43
	Frank Frost	83.25
	Holba G. Mitchell	99.08
	Frank C. Cady	53.40
	Daniel M. Guy	42.90
	George H. Kuppinger	34.02
	Frank White	34.02
18764	Mary Stasel, administratrix of William Stasel, deceased	204.53
	Total	675.78
	NORWALK, OHIO.	
18730	John O'Brien	31.96
	John Shibley	15.26
	William O. Meyer	15.88
	Total	63.10
	PORTSMOUTH, OHIO.	
17293	Joseph W. Mitchell	17.53
	Charles E. Graham	186.78
	Frederick L. Kalb	25.36
	Total	229.67
	SALEM, OHIO.	
18805	Percey E. Harris	5.78
	Will H. Read	77.86
	William T. Smith	77.86
	Total	161.50
	SANDUSKY, OHIO.	
17580	Adam Rice	74.48
	Jacob L. Missig	34.02
	SANDUSKY, OHIO—continued.	
17580	John H. Kelly	$126.43
	Eugene W. Megginson	98.27
	Total	333.20
	STEUBENVILLE, OHIO.	
17939	George M. Kerchner	56.43
	Thomas B. Lindsay	20.21
	Arthur A. Brannigan, brother James Brannigan, deceased	50.12
	John H. Roth	128.86
	Alois Schwerber	120.93
	John M. Lloyd	127.98
	Total	504.53
	TIFFIN, OHIO.	
18712	James Leonard	77.81
	John P. Martin	47.85
	Henry A. Lautermilch	29.70
	Leon B. Myers	29.70
	Charles C. Spiess	77.81
	Total	262.87
	TOLEDO, OHIO.	
17072	Joseph F. Bihl	119.60
	Peter Boyle	85.88
	Ambrose Carl	17.74
	Andrew M. Clemens	102.51
	Orren E. Collins	88.20
	John T. Coombs	17.33
	George A. Dougherty	190.44
	August Fischer	55.43
	Jesse F. M. Fox	2.48
	John Gallagher	89.37
	Edward Halpin	117.21
	Timothy Kelly	85.88
	Edward B. Langel	85.88
	Valentine Lohner	140.55
	Charles McBrien	88.20
	John J. McMahon	73.25
	William A. Mason	41.07
	Peter J. Mattimore	150.51
	Charles R. Mayne	109.28
	Otto E. Meissner	105.73
	Austin M. Payne	36.09
	Harry C. Rake	82.00
	Louis Ruthenberg	3.30
	William H. Schoonmaker	88.20
	Spencer Stewart	88.20
	William R. Taft	80.97
	Cleveland B. Taylor	84.35
	John H. Tripp	88.20
	Michael Walsh	27.84
	William M. Wegner	62.70
	Frank P. Weiss	140.55
	Charles I. Weinert	22.68
	Emery P. Willey	88.20
	John B. Willoh	85.88
	Emil Winberg	36.94
	John P. Young	67.40
	William D. Gross	29.70
	George F. Mersing	29.28
	John B. Waterbury	32.17
	Total	2,941.19
	URBANA, OHIO.	
18774	Thomas S. Binkard	3.71
	Samuel C. Pierson	3.71
	Total	7.42

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	WARREN, OHIO.	
19052	William A. Koehler	$37.74
	Omer S. Pierce	37.74
	John Fetzer	308.20
	Total	383.68
	WOOSTER, OHIO.	
16946	Newton L. Clark	38.51
	Samuel D. Coulter	246.71
	Daniel W. Derr	57.70
	Henry B. Eaby	13.82
	William C. Van Meter	57.70
	Total	414.44
	YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO.	
18746	Herbert E. Baker	28.62
	Joseph H. Dugan	30.09
	John Haid	30.09
	John Garde	30.09
	Frank K. Patterson	52.87
	William H. Faxon	68.06
	Total	239.82
	XENIA, OHIO.	
18802	John L. Hook	37.92
	Michael J. Dunn	67.85
	Total	105.77
	ZANESVILLE, OHIO.	
18126	Frank Farrier	89.88
	Smith T. Brown	40.01
	Robert M. Carlow	16.09
	Oren C. Kemper	33.62
	Clarence E. Maneely	11.96
	William B. Warstall	10.11
	James W. McKenney	61.68
	Total	263.35
	PORTLAND, OREG.	
16986	Newton L. Gilham	294.42
	Ernest F. Patterson	232.21
18767	Philip W. Liljeson	26.87
	Total	553.50
	ALLEGHENY, PA.	
18344	William T. Bickerstaff	1.85
	George F. Moul	3.09
	Oscar S. Logan	2.49
	Total	7.43
	ALLENTOWN, PA.	
19830	Harry C. Roth	34.11
	Charles S. Stettler	34.11
	Franklin F. Wittenbecher	141.66
19931	Harry F. Seip, deceased	12.79
17190	Milton H. Walt	58.78
17509	Frederick Kringle	73.31
19034	Dennis D. Shields	146.62
	Francis Morrell	110.11
	James Bernhard	73.31
	Henry Yhuelon	109.82
	Total	794.62
	ALTOONA, PA.	
19123	George W. Amheiser	99.89
	William A. Black	18.69
	ALTOONA, PA.—continued.	
18468	George E. Gracey	$2.34
	Orville E. Babcock	85.58
	John F. Castlow	85.58
	Jacob C. Hagerty	85.58
	Adam Leake	12.17
	Celestine McMullen	61.43
	James M. Stevens	85.58
	Total	536.84
	BEAVER FALLS, PA.	
20510	Vincent Cotton	46.38
	William M. Frazier	76.92
	James H. Hill	54.56
	James R. Patterson	76.92
	Nicholas Walsh	54.56
	Total	309.34
	BELLEFONTE, PA.	
20752	John C. Bair	33.62
	BETHLEHEM, PA.	
19964	Eugene A. Brunner	21.23
	Jacob T. Daily	15.26
	Charles W. Huber	10.11
19033	Edwin U. Daily	22.22
	Alfred S. Dech	38.90
	Total	107.72
	BRADFORD, PA.	
17200	Thomas G. Wollf	141.95
	James P. Casey	164.15
	John J. Larkin	195.99
19612	John H. Thomas	117.70
	Total	619.79
	BUTLER, PA.	
20803	Hallet W. Kelly	36.91
	John G. Moore	36.91
	Henry L. Richey	36.91
	Henry C. Croup	36.91
	Total	147.64
	CARLISLE, PA.	
18988	Harry G. Brown	20.21
	Harry G. Rinehart	31.55
	Charles E. Strohm	23.71
	Grove Rollin	141.30
	Charles A. Peffer	80.86
	Christian K. Reighter	133.46
	George B. Totten	141.30
	Abram Wetzel	194.79
	Total	767.18
	CHAMBERSBURG, PA.	
18550	Samuel F. Dockter	28.46
	William J. Norton	28.46
	William C. Sonnik	28.46
	Total	83.38
	CHESTER, PA.	
18928	William McFadden	34.81
	Robert T. Mellon	55.69
	Total	90.50

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	EASTON, PA.	
18089	Lorenzo T. Bell	$0.61
	Lewis Pittinger	6.81
	Albert Rute	12.17
	Henry E. Ealer, deceased	75.17
	Total	94.76
	ERIE, PA.	
18410	Edward G. Barrie	120.04
	Peter Leuschen	149.22
18430	Jacob A. Brabender	99.01
	John P. Gallagher	88.79
18430	Henry J. Fries	123.97
19150	Lafayette Burger	68.07
	Edward C. Slocum	185.18
	Joseph B. Weber	120.34
	Phineas Wheeler	120.34
	Total	1,074.96
	HARRISBURG, PA.	
18008	George W. Biester, jr	102.26
	Mary E. Breckenridge, executrix of John E. Breckenridge, deceased	61.46
	A. Hummel Buehler	60.64
	Jonas A. Schoener	145.45
	William Snyder	145.45
	Jacob W. Lescure	109.82
	Richard H. Weaver	212.05
	Robert H. Zimmerman	33.82
	John O'Brien	187.37
	John A. Snyder	330.51
	Total	1,388.83
	HAZLETON, PA.	
19442	Leonard L. Babcock	7.63
	David L. Ferry	18.11
	Nathaniel J. M. Heck	87.56
	Edward A. Hughes	87.56
	Total	200.86
	HUNTINGDON, PA.	
20697	Frederick E. Mobus	313.51
	John B. Richardson	313.51
	Total	627.02
	JOHNSTOWN, PA.	
18834	Robert H. Bridges	94.94
	Herman Edelmann	94.94
	John H. Herzog	94.94
	Joseph S. Hipp	40.42
	Mary Mullin, widow of Bernard Mullin, deceased	91.74
	Thomas D. O'Neal	94.94
	Patrick O'Toole	196.89
	Levi J. Ripple	94.94
	William H. Coleman	30.31
	Charles H. Temple	22.47
	Total	856.53
	LANCASTER, PA.	
17069	John C. Shroad	104.78
	LEBANON, PA.	
17582	Julius P. Bowman	4.74
	George H. Kimmel	6.60
	Elmer D. Light	10.52
	Harvey U. Spangler	18.36
	Total	40.22
	LOCKHAVEN, PA.	
21135	John R. Gast	$13.62
	Robert Mills	13.14
	Edwin W. Till	7.63
	Mrs. Katherine Reed, widow of Thomas M. Reed, deceased	53.05
	Total	87.44
	MAHANOY CITY, PA.	
21695	John F. Becker	479.37
	John Jenkins	464.77
	Harry J. Litsch	580.96
	Frank P. Reed	636.51
	Total	2,161.61
	M'KEESPORT, PA.	
19106	Frank M. Patterson	88.95
	MEADVILLE, PA.	
19148	Jacob Kahler	137.85
	Robert C. McMichael	137.85
	Jacob Moritz	137.85
	Total	413.55
	NEWCASTLE, PA.	
19054	Wesley S. Rice	125.29
	Daniel Mooney	125.29
	Douglass McIlveeny	125.29
19147	George R. Dufford	125.44
	Total	501.31
	NORRISTOWN, PA.	
18599	Joseph H. High	135.39
	Warren C. Weber	116.02
	Total	251.41
	OIL CITY, PA.	
20792	Harvey R. Doods	16.50
	Frank S. Kitchell	32.79
	George Nicholson	32.79
	Harry L. Rogers	3.30
20770	Walter B. Fornoff	27.22
	Total	112.60
	PHILADELPHIA, PA.	
17034	Williams Carnes	126.70
	Alexander Clay	111.24
	Charles H. Cunliffe	130.81
	Michael J. Dormer	121.36
	John J. McGonigle	24.09
	John T. Mercer	130.47
	Samuel J. Ralston	135.27
	August H. Renner	148.32
	Joseph S. Rudolph	43.26
	Latham F. Blee	93.65
	George Dellow, jr	121.26
	Michael J. Dooley	105.26
	John F. McGinley	18.77
	Edward S. Master	109.18
	Thomas H. Peto	15.47
	Frank A. Strehle	89.68
	M. Dugan	5.15
	Joseph Hirshbule	28.46
	Joseph Lucke	28.46
	Hugh F. McFadden	25.56
	John Steitz	28.46
	John A. Winter	13.61
	John F. Wunder	111.60
	Patrick F. Martel	31.56

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	PHILADELPHIA, PA.—cont'd.	
17034	Henry L. Widmeier	$28.46
	Harry Anderson	304.88
	Lawrence Clark	34.02
	Walter F. Henry	120.50
	Patrick J. Muldoon	20.21
	Charles F. Schwering	32.79
	Thomas Timlin	24.38
	William P. Walsh	80.72
	Thomas Whalen	19.59
	James E. Bigley	19.59
	Martin H. Bigley, deceased	91.77
	John J. Comey	26.86
	David F. Dick	15.68
	James H. Brown, deceased	31.33
	Edward W. Campion	25.75
	Michael J. Cassidy, deceased	25.20
	Richard Condon	30.45
	Paul V. Connor	222.51
	Nicholas Coogan	37.76
	John W. Curran	37.76
	Robert Cassidy	37.76
	Thomas P. Caulfield	24.33
	William Dale	37.76
	James D. Dever	18.98
	Thomas F. Durning	15.68
	Thomas Doyle	18.98
	Robert J. Farrelly, deceased	17.74
	William E. Fetters, jr	37.76
	Philip C. Fisher	1.03
	Louis H. Gahagan	44.47
	William B. Goines	28.66
	Harry J. Hagan	2.06
	Erwin Fratz, deceased	17.53
	Margaret Fratz, widow of William V. Fratz, deceased	43.60
	James Gaffney	13.61
	William E. Grady	33.54
	George F. Kelly	17.12
	Joseph H. Maurer	15.88
	Thomas O'Brien	85.76
	John O'Donnell	28.46
	James A. Rooney	22.27
	George R. Serrill	17.12
	Edward F. Stanton, No. 1	24.13
	C. Wildermuth, jr	28.46
	Frank H. Barrett	28.12
	Nicholas B. Bent	39.80
	Thomas J. Blunden	1.85
	Bentley Boyle	5.98
	Francis P. Braceland	29.53
	Oscar M. Bradbury	37.76
	James H. Kelly	54.49
	John A. Kerns, deceased	26.10
	Patrick J. Kiley, deceased	7.22
	Albert Kleinfelder	54.07
	John A. Lalor	11.55
	Thomas McCormack	7.63
	John McDermott	43.10
	Patrick D. McPoyle	29.08
	George McVay, administrator of Franklin E. McVay, deceased	181.88
	Joseph M. Mahaney	107.96
	Lewis J. Martin	37.76
	Charles B. Moore	55.31
	Lewis J. Ochner	195.36
	Isaac W. Rehl	5.15
	John Schweikert	4.74
	William Slavin	37.76
	Frederick Taxis	39.80
	Joseph M. Watson	3.51
	George H. Wells	2.06
	Harry F. Boss	32.00
	Frank E. Trout	37.76
17272	John Farrell	43.60
	John Hergesheimer	6.63
	Eva Donahue, administratrix James T. Donahue, deceased	88.28
	Samuel P. Hegener	106.90
	Harry B. Knight	28.46
	PHILADELPHIA, PA.—cont'd.	
17272	Theodore E. Thomas	$52.18
	Joseph Ashdale	6.63
	James Branigan	22.68
	Charles A. Clausen	43.26
	Mary W. Colbert, administratrix John F. Colbert, deceased	111.16
	Daniel J. Crossin	36.50
	Michael J. Delaney	34.02
	William Evans, jr	111.59
	William Garton	26.40
	Gustave Z. Guiras	12.63
	Harry C. Hickey	2.75
	John H. Holz	25.56
	Joseph Conway	57.75
	Francis P. J. Crilly	22.68
	Maggie Davis, administratrix George F. Davis, deceased	110.14
	Bernard J. Dever	29.70
	Thomas Flood	25.56
	James A. Haviland	78.58
	Patrick F. Heffron	21.65
	A. F. Hudome	108.84
	William T. Logan	17.33
	John McDonald	29.70
	Lawrence Phillips	32.79
	Elias A. Steele	32.79
	Charles M. Bellemere	57.75
	Samuel F. Cloak, deceased	6.63
	Harry A. Corcoran	21.94
	Edward J. Higgins	22.68
	Henry Kemble	6.39
	Jeremiah H. McCarthy	85.38
	John F. Hughes	7.84
	John Hulands	50.94
	Joseph C. Kelly	75.17
	Rosalie L. Lewis, administratrix Robert W. Lewis, deceased	35.36
	Charles H. McCullough	13.05
	Eugene A. McNerney	32.99
	John F. O'Brien	17.51
	Harry Schuller	130.69
	Henry Stonemetz	78.28
	John Toner	9.90
	James J. Brown	29.49
	John McCour	28.46
	Louis Sickles	78.28
	Amelia Soeffing, administratrix Charles Soeffing, deceased	6.63
	Kate S. Volk, administratrix John C. Volk, deceased	43.94
	Randall W. Bayle	56.65
	Matthias J. Brady	31.33
	Edward McDonough	43.60
	Thomas A. McGarvey	17.53
	Leonard C. Martin	108.84
	Walter E. Mooney	25.56
	John P. Moore	27.22
	James Moran	22.68
	Winfield Nutt	29.00
	William H. Stephens	148.32
	John J. P. Boyd	43.31
	William B. O'Hara, administrator Michael J. Butler, deceased	185.25
	Joseph Bryant	81.03
	Edwin M. Carr	18.62
	Daniel H. Cohill	56.30
	Harry W. Fell	16.09
	Peter A. Fitzpatrick	114.44
	Loui A. Gury	69.30
	Penrose S. Hall	109.52
	Elam B. Harding	2.06
	Joseph B. Logan	36.26
	William L. Houghton	.41
	Margaret C. Loughery, administratrix Neil Loughery, jr., deceased	12.98
	John B. McCafferty	8.56
	Henry A. Macready	50.47

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	PHILADELPHIA, PA.—cont'd.	
17272	Theodore F. Shonert	$24.03
	Walter Sieber	33.63
	Albert J. Weyl	1.65
	Frank L. Woolly	16.09
	Joseph P. Loughery	60.67
	Albert L. Pleibet	75.88
17272 / 18139	James J. Hagan	70.37
18139	Andrew A. Cain	82.30
	Edward J. Duffy	89.31
	James Meehan	19.59
	George A. Shane	8.66
	Susan M. Goodwin, administratrix of James J. Goodwin, deceased	81.88
	Daniel H. Hearn	9.08
	James W. McBride	43.60
	Isaac K. Mann	31.35
	Charles Sautter	19.59
	Richard Bennett	20.42
	Peter Kane	22.68
	John T. Kelly	22.68
	Owen Kelly	31.35
	Robert J. Lynch	31.35
	Thomas McClenahan	51.14
	Thomas F. McDonough	.28
	Bernard McGinnis	22.68
	John McNulty	4.33
	John Malseed	12.58
	Bernard J. Martin	27.84
	William J. Neson	105.25
	Ignatius Reynolds	34.23
	Charles A. Ward	26.19
	Edward M. White	23.51
	Maurice Corkey	.61
	Alfred L. Glackin	24.54
	John H. Kirk	7.63
	Thomas Labrum	20.83
	Walter P. Kirk	23.51
	Ernest Schiele	85.18
	George V. Thron	15.68
	Charles M. Bellemere	19.89
	Charles J. Birchill	37.76
	Joseph Burrows	25.75
	William Carrigan	22.68
	Dennis J. Conlon	73.43
	Edward C. Dern	43.60
	Joseph C. Downing	26.19
	James A. Dytch	7.84
	James H. Guinan	9.28
	Lewis E. Hale	26.19
	Jacob J. Lutz	23.51
	Charles McCloskey	26.19
	Joseph A. McDermott	23.51
	John E. Nolan	27.43
	Hugh F. Reilly	23.51
	Edward F. Rumig	27.43
	Thomas H. Scanlan	34.02
	Michael J. Sammon	9.28
	Francis J. Connor	141.15
	James J. Lang	42.21
	William D. Leidy	.61
	Thomas J. Shea	17.74
	John F. McDevitt	23.51
20716	Frank B. Abbott	4.12
	Conrad Bettenhauser	2.75
	William C. Carlin	4.12
	William P. Clement	2.27
	Lewis T. Franke	2.68
	William T. Haig	.82
	Alfred D. Hamilton	.61
	H. Frank Lenning	20.94
	James V. Loughran	20.94
	Joseph F. McLaughlin	23.75
	Daniel E. McMonagle	20.94
	John P. Maguire	2.27
	James Mahoney	71.50
	Harry C. Newport	1.44
	Oscar L. Ott	1.03
	Benjamin T. Ramcey	8.25
	PHILADELPHIA, PA.—cont'd.	
20716	Elijah S. Reiff	$3.30
	John H. Schiesser	4.13
	Michael H. Shaughnessy	3.09
	Samuel B. Trout	37.76
	William W. Weiss	3.09
18139	Jacob Atkinson	96.17
	Henry C. Boyd	148.66
	George W. Bell	135.96
	Charles Jackel	25.36
	William Johnson	126.21
	Abraham Josephs	109.52
	John F. Boyle	99.66
	John J. Borbidge	28.66
	Joseph Burrows	131.84
	William J. Barr	31.96
	Patrick J. Connor	38.15
	Franklin Dettinger	96.58
	Anthony De Silver	107.59
	Horace W. Dengler	32.99
	Herman Eggert	16.50
	William H. Fisher	22.68
	John W. Fair	137.33
	Thomas A. Fitzpatrick	135.27
	George H. Green	81.68
	John P. Bradley	68.84
	Charles C. Goodwin	138.06
	Arthur B. Davenport	318.61
	William L. Holmes	125.32
	Robert A. Nichols	106.43
	James P. Henry	30.31
	Ella O'Rourke, widow James O'Rourke, deceased	71.16
	James E. Hess	107.99
	John C. Truitt	38.17
	Henry P. M. Horn	111.93
	William J. Gleason	24.75
	Richard T. Huey	25.32
	David L. McBlain	117.94
	Thomas F. McDonough	17.53
	Hugh B. Moutrie	37.76
	Patrick Maher	89.31
	Mary A. Murray, administratrix John F. Murray, deceased	25.36
	Thomas F. Mullahy	73.22
	Andrew Manning	90.67
	William McNiece, jr	3.92
	Francis A. Devlin	84.00
	Charlotte Nolan, administratrix Michael J. Nolan, deceased	19.18
	William Norbeck	10.52
	Thomas E. Nugent	7.22
	Edward M. Pereira	7.63
	Henry J. Bennett	30.11
	Robert Perry	125.32
	Hugh J. Muldoon	123.87
	James F. Rhodes	141.45
	John F. O'Brien, No. 2	43.38
	Thomas F. Ross	109.07
	Edward F. Stanton, No. 2	13.20
	Edward J. Whelan	35.36
	William H. Sipler	137.33
	Philip J. Taulane	114.75
	Eugene Weikel	86.42
	Total	14,888.12
	PITTSBURG, PA.	
17940	Egbert W. Connolly	200.64
	Alfred L. Dillon	5.15
	Max Killian	153.89
	James P. Layden	111.79
	Mary Mohen, administratrix William Mohen, deceased	211.57
	Thomas F. Murray	183.90
	J. F. Connor	292.18
	Martin B. Foley	167.82
	Sidney B. Foster	15.06
	Max Killian	58.36

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	PITTSBURG, PA.—continued.	
17940	Joseph S. Larkin	$202.71
	William W. McKee	7.63
	James H. Ward	387.41
	Andrew J. White	57.10
	William R. Niebaum	126.73
	Jacob R. Burkle	93.09
	Charles T. Hunter	138.02
	Henry C. Knauss	132.39
	C. D. McCoombs	133.90
	John F. Regan	138.02
	Andrew J. Verner	$138.02 / 212.52 } 350.54
18197	Daniel D. Collins	63.32
	Ellen J. Daly, administratrix Thomas F. Daly	84.81
	Sarah Griffin, administratrix Martin J. Griffin	63.32
	William S. Hathaway	63.32
	J. Milton Hays	105.40
	James E. Hershey	113.86
	Daniel Linderman	105.40
	William S. Lowry	105.40
18709	Peter W. I. Gilfoyle	26.81
18197	John W. Anderson	110.55
	John S. Boyle	113.44
	Gerhard A. Buchman	38.15
	John D. Curley	113.44
	Charles A. Larkin	89.79
	William J. Larned	110.90
	Frank R. Osborn	118.58
	Frank J. Pender	111.58
	Carroll S. Duff	111.58
	Patrick H. Duffy	41.25
	William J. Eberle	111.58
	John Gabb	38.15
	Henry A. Lang	102.04
	Rees Price	111.58
	John Powell	110.90
	John M. Rogers	79.36
	Martin Scott	113.58
17904	Cornelius Kevin	33.20
	Total	5,550.19
	PITTSTON, PA.	
20784	James Bone, jr	56.72
	William J. Gillespie	56.72
18735	John F. Costello	120.10
	John E. Daley	12.79
	James C. Delaney, deceased	18.98
	John E. Dempsey	18.98
	Henry H. Weiss	120.10
	Total	404.39
	POTTSTOWN, PA.	
20715	Edward K. Miller	4.94
	George W. Rohn	4.94
	Roscoe C. Shinehouse	4.94
	Total	14.82
	READING, PA.	
17905	William H. Seiders	20.00
	John Gnau	93.31
	D. Webster Clay	63.97
	Thomas G. Harper	37.74
	Robert Gerlach	98.14
	William H. Rogers	44.55
20800	Augustus Potteiger	15.06
	Franklin B. Thomas	2.06
17905	David F. Knobb	117.61
	Peter S. Keffer	122.56
	Jerome Seider	110.12
	Thomas J. High	115.96
	Total	847.08
	SCRANTON, PA.	
18422	Edward D. Jones	$53.28
	John Kelly	45.31
	Joshua R. Thomas	5.57
	Harry E. Whyte	55.90
	John R. Thomas	76.32
	William D. Morgan	81.88
	Michael O'Malley	64.55
	Alice J. Pickering, widow, Edward R. Pickering, deceased	60.23
	Joseph Schiel	131.43
	Richard B. D. Wolf	48.47
	Eugene Evans	397.29
	William P. Kelly	24.54
	Morion G. Jackson	77.99
	Total	1,122.76
	TITUSVILLE, PA.	
19361	Albert Kraffert	18.26
	Thomas J. Powers	18.26
	Edwin L. Windsor	18.26
	Total	54.78
	WARREN, PA.	
19368	Lewis P. Giegerich	199.55
	John B. Russell	80.18
	Frank Witz	199.55
	Total	479.28
	WASHINGTON, PA.	
19114	Thomas N. Blair	69.85
	William A. McCausland	107.06
	John D. McGloughlin	69.85
	Total	246.76
	WILKESBARRE, PA.	
17003	Thomas McGuire	205.92
	John R. Griffith	334.73
	John J. O'Donnell	65.59
18713	Merrit L. Line	113.91
	Total	720.15
	PROVIDENCE, R. I.	
18174	George A. Abbott	30.52
	James A. Abbott	$25.41 / 50.21 } 75.62
	Emma J. Burt, administratrix William A. Mallery, deceased	94.08
	George M. Hunter	20.21
	Total	220.43
	WOONSOCKET, R. I.	
18371	Robert H. Harrington	14.11
	CHARLESTON, S. C.	
17945	John E. Craig	145.43
	St. Cyprian Delaney	193.07
	William L. Downing	162.10
	William S. Elfe	79.71
	Patrick J. Hanley	142.56
	Thomas J. Kelly	176.42
	John L. Kiley, administrator John E. Kiley, deceased	128.78
	Nellie Knauff, administratrix Thomas J. Knauff, deceased	142.56
	Joseph J. Lessene	176.42
	William H. McCue	79.71
	William P. McGarey	280.41

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	CHARLESTON, S. C.—continued.	
17945	Benjamin L. Matthews	$174.35
	John J. Moloney	90.96
	William J. Morrison	165.44
	Estate James P. Murray, deceased	54.86
	Alexander R. O'Donnell	128.80
	Thomas J. Sheehan	182.07
	Benjamin F. Smalls	176.42
	Michael J. Walsh	176.42
	Total	2,856.49
	GREENVILLE, S. C.	
17986	Willie T. Biers	49.29
	John H. Honour	28.08
	Thomas C. Long	28.08
	T. J. Thackston, father of Ezekiel B. Thackston, deceased	29.49
	Total	134.94
	HURON, S. DAK.	
18498	Edward W. Barrett	66.82
	SIOUX FALLS, S. DAK.	
20445	Eli W. Dobson	556.32
	William M. Gordon	556.32
	Charles T. Hatch	821.36
	George L. Hoffman	11.34
	F. W. Sexton, brother and next of kin of Edward J. Sexton, insane	926.13
	Total	2,871.47
	CHATTANOOGA, TENN.	
16997	Theodore T. Parker	51.56
	Hinton D. Alexander	108.07
	John P. Fowler	56.96
	Thomas J. Ivy	22.06
	George U. Ruston	73.02
18460	Theron Browne	55.43
	Total	367.10
	JACKSON, TENN.	
21010	Dowan D. Ballard	9.49
	Harry M. Dawson	3.51
	Tobe S. Moss	20.83
	James H. Trimble	9.69
	Total	43.52
	KNOXVILLE, TENN.	
17874	Sallie R., administratrix James L. D. McMillan, deceased	35.88
	Thomas M. McCannon, administrator W. A. McCammon, deceased	23.78
20999	Monroe C. Monday	22.55
	Total	82.21
	MEMPHIS, TENN.	
18807	Edward Foley	614.03
	James Kinnane	14.03
	William P. McMenemee	6.19
	Bettie Moss, widow Thomas H. Moss, deceased	90.25
	William H. Owens	11.55
18736	Moses H. Barker	25.12
	Olympus W. Bergans	43.23
	MEMPHIS, TENN.—continued.	
18736	John Caton	$21.61
	Henry W. Eckels	15.26
	Martin Flanigan	21.61
	John T. Foley	13.82
	Alfred B. Gaither	43.23
	Jeremiah T. Holahan	8.87
	William G. McFarland	25.12
	Michael O'Reilly	43.23
	Joseph B. Simkoke	15.26
	William E. Sullivan	14.03
	David W. Washington	25.12
	Benjamin H. Wright	43.23
	James R. Wright	43.23
18613	A. M. Henderson	14.02
18807	John S. McMahon	28.92
	Total	580.96
	NASHVILLE, TENN.	
17983	Benjamin F. Nichol	11.97
	AUSTIN, TEX.	
16998	Benjamin E. Ericson	122.96
	Alonzo Gerard	9.82
	John W. Madison	10.45
	L. M. Mitchell	21.32
	Total	164.55
	CORSICANA, TEX.	
18723	Frank M. Holmes	19.18
	John L. Miller, jr	12.58
19259	William A. Boyd	63.32
	Total	95.08
	DALLAS, TEX.	
18129	Eleazer P. James	3.30
	Jennie Overall, widow Willis P. Overall, deceased	35.93
	James H. Bishop	62.04
	John B. Leamon	70.50
	James W. Renney	35.93
	Total	207.70
	DENISON, TEX.	
19517	Cyrus R. Scholl	50.49
	EL PASO, TEX.	
17001	Sheldon E. Bovee	54.45
	FORT WORTH, TEX.	
17946	Sidney Graham	2.27
	Edward S. Hall	67.94
	Orlando F. Darby	38.77
	Seth J. Howell	180.21
	James E. Pullian	21.65
	Isaac Z. Wallace	146.36
19063	S. L. Mayers	96.38
18510	Calvin C. McMichael	3.51
	Total	557.09
	GALVESTON, TEX.	
16994	Charles L. Heine	25.16
	William T. Snipes	13.40
	Total	38.56

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	HOUSTON, TEX.	
17646	George Fromm	$9.93
	Isaac A. Kier	4.67
	Thomas Moore	4.67
	Clarence O. Skipper	33.08
	Total	52.35
	SAN ANTONIO, TEX.	
19404	William Holt, jr	3.09
	Martin Jiminez	61.46
	David Jones	108.94
	William H. Mitchell	108.94
	George H. Mudd	108.94
	Cecil A. Nesbitt	108.94
	Julius Possert	108.94
	Van Teel	108.94
	Leon T. Mareschal	33.82
	David Sanders	191.90
	Hinton Smith	220.23
	Total	1,164.14
	SHERMAN, TEX.	
19519	Wallace W. Andrews	363.37
	Edward Staples	292.49
	Total	655.86
	WACO, TEX.	
17074	Moses P. Clinton	27.84
	David Pogue	10.11
	Augustus B. Trippe	2.48
	George A. Waddell	40.42
19191	David Frazier	16.91
	Total	97.76
	SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH.	
16974	Edgar Best	236.51
	Cassius C. Cummings	335.62
	Harry E. Dewey	169.53
	Charles E. Hayward	171.74
	Orson A. Houghton	236.51
	Fred L. Libby	57.13
	Brigham L. Morse	375.33
	William S. Naylor	236.51
	Samuel F. Neslin	44.27
	John A. C. Neilson	7.63
	John K. O'Farrell	144.70
	Ezra F. Palmer	4.33
	Aaron S. Post	64.85
	Joseph E. Rigby	273.18
	Rue H. Sholes	375.33
	Edgar D. Shurtliff	144.70
	Samuel A. Skidmore	375.33
	Frank B. Snyder	134.19
	Linzey E. Sprague	236.51
	Walter Wiscomb	85.39
	William W. Wiscomb	42.94
	Total	3,752.23
	BURLINGTON, VT.	
18445	George W. Austin	28.62
	Edgar Chiott	19.18
	Elmer E. Coon	28.62
	Joseph DeVaremes	28.62
	David E. Flynn	28.62
	Annie Powers, administratrix of James E. Powers	33.88
	Charles A. Middlebrook	39.80
	Harry R. Thomas	129.97
	Carl Barnes	99.12
	Total	436.43
	MONTPELIER, VT.	
18049	Harvey W. Brown	$31.05
	John Miller	22.52
	Total	53.57
	ST. ALBANS, VT.	
18675	Fred P. Brunson	164.61
	Lucius S. White	174.18
	Total	338.79
	ST. JOHNSBURY, VT.	
18421	Henry A. Holden	58.24
	John A. Paddock	58.24
	Total	116.48
	RUTLAND, VT.	
17071	John T. Lyston	96.57
	CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA.	
20747	Thomas K. Brumley	20.21
	Robert E. Lee	20.21
	William J. Mayo	20.21
	Joseph L. Smith	20.21
	Total	80.84
	DANVILLE, VA.	
19911	Charles L. Cheatham	62.69
	Lawrence C. Clarke	126.36
	Henry M. Watkins	45.38
	Pleasant H. Daswell	20.21
	Joshua P. Hunnicutt	425.63
	Cephos R. Jefferson	20.21
	Turner W. Patterson, jr	27.84
	Total	728.34
	LYNCHBURG, VA.	
18450	William R. Falwell	123.26
	William R. Foulkes	123.26
	Charles P. Nowlin	123.26
	William J. Seabury	123.87
	Thomas W. Spillan	123.26
	Charles A. Taylor	50.40
	Edward C. Bondurant	52.75
	Edward M. Bunch	11.96
	Clarence L. Craft	19.39
	Sidney J. Dickerson	219.46
	Beverly Dismond	98.37
	Thomas H. Jackson	60.79
	James A. Parsons	84.05
	Henry E. Stewart	94.57
	Samuel W. Patterson	64.50
	Total	1,373.15
	PETERSBURG, VA.	
16760	Jackson C. Bishop	44.24
	PORTSMOUTH, VA	
19912	George H. Armstead	84.10
	Silas C. Draper	146.86
	William A. Guy	146.86
	John T. Myers	151.07
	Michan Noel	8.04
	Jesse M. Veale	114.79
	Amos Williams	13.82
	Joseph J. Cooper	49.29
	Total	714.83

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	STAUNTON, VA.	
19910	Paul Crowe	$92.53
	Thomas E. Fuller	138.99
	Nathaniel M. Varner	93.99
	W. Starke Miller	133.10
	Total	458.61
	SEATTLE, WASH.	
17995	Everett A. Hartley	146.65
	Rufus W. Hartley	15.26
	Jesse A. James	158.56
	Charles J. Riordan	113.44
	Joseph A. Scott	193.58
	Royall Haskell	143.49
	Total	770.98
	SPOKANE, WASH.	
19365	Albert S. Miles	87.04
	Thomas E. Webb	148.96
	Walter H. Overend	148.96
	Frank P. Marshall { $62.09 / 9.08 }	71.17
19042	Edwin Dow	25.98
	Total	482.11
	TACOMA, WASH.	
17774	John B. Fyfe	326.83
	Thomas J. Sweany	38.36
	Anton C. Arnston	101.48
	George Bothner	34.02
	L. T. M. Clark	60.64
19638	Frank Taylor	51.56
	Abraham L. DeHuff	68.27
	Peter N. Elmore	107.04
	Julius W. Parker	5.79
	Total	793.99
	WALLA WALLA, WASH.	
19376	Robert L. Stewart	35.26
	Edward F. Buffman	82.25
	H. M. Van Horn, mother Eugene Van Horn, deceased	15.77
	Total	133.28
	CHARLESTON, W. VA.	
19053	Frank Guill	169.14
	William O. Jones	171.77
	William H. Thomas	160.96
	Total	501.87
	PARKERSBURG, W. VA.	
20748	Josiah T. Horr	78.17
	WHEELING, W. VA.	
18072	Robert S. Agnew	12.58
	James Manton	10.11
	August H. Knoke	12.58
	John H. Mason	15.26
	Griffith B. Jones	13.62
	William Graham, jr	5.15
	James M. Noll	40.42
	Louis J. Knabe	22.20
18936	John J. Quigg	118.58
	Total	250.50
	APPLETON, WIS.	
20711	John Brown	191.29
	Michael Hafner	108.19
	Henry F. Losselyoug	177.81
	Total	477.29
	BELOIT, WIS.	
19266	John Donnelly	$25.16
	Edward F. Hansen, administrator Charles L. Hansen, deceased	25.16
	Charles G. Stocking	30.32
	Total	80.64
	CHIPPEWA FALLS, WIS.	
19267	Michael Thornton	40.21
	John Parent	40.21
	August I. Bruce	220.53
	Henry Herbert	220.53
	Total	521.48
	EAU CLAIRE, WIS.	
19272	Phineas E. Bent	105.49
	Ole J. Moen	134.99
	James H. McGough	134.99
	Edwin E. Sloggy	134.99
17294	Jere Murphy	221.46
	William E. Thomas	122.96
	Total	854.88
	JANESVILLE, WIS.	
19274	John F. O'Grady	73.54
	Edward V. Whiton	73.54
	Claire D. Capelle	73.54
	John Gleason	16.71
20780	Marion McDonald	197.67
	Caleb J. Blakely	80.19
	Orlando V. Hanthorn	83.87
	William J. Lennartz	93.78
	Total	692.84
	LA CROSSE, WIS.	
18740	Lewis L. Brown	43.23
18938	Henry Lexins	194.53
20464	Edward F. Kevin	187.81
19270	Lorenz Bamberger	163.86
	Albert E. Daniels	219.06
	Ambrose J. Hanus	49.91
	Clarence Howard	74.88
	Iver Thorsen	163.86
	Total	1,097.14
	MADISON, WIS.	
19269	Thomas P. Cullinan	73.02
	William A. Devine	248.27
	William G. Dunn	73.02
	Nicholas Reif	73.02
	Henry Schmedeman	73.02
	George A. Steinle	42.07
	Total	582.42
	MILWAUKEE, WIS.	
17979	Frank Blomkum	102.30
	Leonard Meister	170.29
	Louis F. Renter	150.44
	Arthur Roberts	170.29
	Albert C. Rodee	170.29
	Herman F. Stauss	170.29
	Norbert H. Verfurth	63.12
	John B. Hasley, jr	65.92
	Thomas J. Murray	84.05
	John R. Nuzum	60.23
	Henry F. Pesta	124.55
	Louis Kobler	170.29
	John G. Van Altena	170.29
	Peter J. Van Lare	127.08
	Anton Olsen	188.15
19495	Elizabeth Augustus, administratrix C. A. Augustus, deceased	192.96
	Total	2,180.54

Statement of letter-carrier overtime claims under the act of May 24, 1888, etc.—Continued.

No.	Name.	Amount.
	OSHKOSH, WIS.	
19259	James F. Buchanan	$32.75
	John Fife, jr.	165.90
	Edward E. Finney	127.93
	August Giese	127.93
	Charles A. Hasbrook, deceased	127.93
	Robert Redford	127.93
	August F. Schloerb	127.93
	William H. Wall	92.74
	Total	931.08
	SHEBOYGAN, WIS.	
20479	George F. Dusold	$25.16
	Frederick Horstbrink	152.83
	William Obigt	138.06
	Henry B. Stein	152.83
	John C. Bertshy	37.32
	August C. Brand	166.63
	Total	672.83
	WAKESHA, WIS.	
21220	Archibald D. Price	84.35

Statement of claims by States and cities.

State and city.	Amount.
ALABAMA.	
Birmingham	$129.44
Mobile	301.05
Montgomery	223.14
Selma	62.18
Total	715.81
ARKANSAS.	
Fort Smith	94.65
Hot Springs	126.64
Little Rock	338.05
Pine Bluff	170.24
Total	729.58
CALIFORNIA.	
Fresno	229.94
Los Angeles	2,523.37
Oakland	1,031.86
Sacramento	503.86
San Diego	270.19
San Francisco	3,620.83
San Jose	161.23
Stockton	198.41
Total	8,539.69
COLORADO.	
Aspen	22.47
Colorado Springs	112.61
Denver	227.51
Leadville	85.14
Pueblo	123.60
Trinidad	267.11
Total	838.44
CONNECTICUT.	
Bridgeport	114.51
Derby	220.46
Hartford	1,667.56
Meriden	707.84
Middletown	266.20
New Britain	167.15
New Haven	732.27
New London	445.35
Norwalk	4.94
Norwich	340.27
South Norwalk	115.14
Total	4,781.69
DELAWARE.	
Wilmington	285.08
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	
Washington	$3,281.20
FLORIDA.	
Jacksonville	189.19
Pensacola	476.04
Total	665.23
GEORGIA.	
Atlanta	1,073.18
Augusta	228.70
Brunswick	1,077.20
Columbus	203.42
Macon	1,248.60
Savannah	4,714.35
Total	8,545.45
ILLINOIS.	
Aurora	319.42
Belleville	313.83
Bloomington	299.43
Cairo	501.64
Chicago	10,126.79
Danville	298.40
Decatur	62.89
Evanston	484.86
Freeport	86.62
Galesburg	1,172.16
Jacksonville	288.94
Joliet	907.53
Kankakee	425.46
Lasalle	15.68
Mattoon	49.70
Moline	658.89
Monmouth	66.61
Oak Park	120.47
Ottawa	240.10
Pekin	162.59
Peoria	872.08
Quincy	140.79
Rockford	33.62
Rock Island	454.79
Springfield	1,185.01
Sterling	290.30
Streator	969.05
Waukegan	206.87
Total	20,818.32
INDIANA.	
Elkhart	101.02
Evansville	73.34
Fort Wayne	103.86
Goshen	46.81
Indianapolis	1,538.28

Statement of claims by States and cities—Continued.

State and city.	Amount.
INDIANA—continued.	
Kokomo	$18.36
Lafayette	7.01
Laporte	212.03
Logansport	27.73
New Albany	121.97
South Bend	2,111.42
Terre Haute	234.10
Total	4,595.93
IOWA.	
Burlington	1,458.64
Cedar Rapids	318.31
Clinton	283.44
Council Bluffs	1,731.02
Davenport	1,149.10
Des Moines	725.31
Dubuque	825.19
Iowa City	570.47
Keokuk	293.25
Muscatine	502.51
Oskaloosa	649.92
Ottumwa	623.03
Sioux City	362.16
Waterloo	262.28
Total	9,752.63
KANSAS.	
Abilene	132.90
Arkansas City	31.86
Atchison	366.66
Emporia	238.05
Fort Scott	531.64
Hutchinson	160.22
Kansas City	482.71
Leavenworth	387.89
Newton	20.42
Ottawa	135.43
Topeka	282.78
Wellington	375.43
Wichita	1,278.67
Winfield	348.05
Total	4,772.71
KENTUCKY.	
Covington	136.98
Frankfort	714.22
Lexington	301.37
Louisville	126.79
Owensboro	150.70
Paducah	2,158.94
Total	3,589.00
LOUISIANA.	
New Orleans	604.78
Shreveport	1.65
Total	606.43
MAINE.	
Auburn	467.75
Bangor	337.76
Bath	421.02
Portland	721.51
Total	1,948.04
MARYLAND.	
Baltimore	1,299.83
Cumberland	116.68
Frederick	39.46
Hagerstown	5.78
Total	1,461.75
MASSACHUSETTS.	
Amesbury	$44.54
Beverly	92.55
Boston	13,915.40
Brockton	189.85
Clinton	400.50
Fall River	612.50
Fitchburg	792.77
Gloucester	787.33
Haverhill	168.53
Holyoke	267.90
Hyde Park	45.18
Lawrence	217.33
Lowell	193.15
Lynn	1,519.50
Malden	176.01
New Bedford	166.95
Newton	92.79
North Adams	42.65
Northampton	26.60
Pittsfield	196.21
Salem	409.95
Springfield	294.63
Waltham	368.90
Westfield	37.74
Winchester	13.40
Worcester	1,123.04
Total	22,195.30
MICHIGAN.	
Adrian	201.77
Battlecreek	142.50
Bay City	183.61
Detroit	4,714.97
Flint	702.35
Grand Rapids	3.12
Iron Mountain	36.86
Lansing	132.31
Manistee	416.06
Muskegon	126.27
Pontiac	118.82
Saginaw, East Side	337.73
Saginaw, West Side	267.03
Total	7,384.40
MINNESOTA.	
Duluth	805.38
Mankato	139.17
Minneapolis	978.82
Saint Paul	179.45
Stillwater	252.26
Winona	680.23
Total	4,575.31
MISSISSIPPI.	
Meridian	695.14
Jackson	46.45
Vicksburg	95.86
Total	837.45
MISSOURI.	
Kansas City	3,473.04
Nevada	34.78
Saint Joseph	875.33
Saint Louis	13,960.72
Sedalia	552.41
Springfield	181.84
Total	19,078.12
MONTANA.	
Butte	582.96
Helena	1,156.42
Total	1,739.38

Statement of claims by States and cities—Continued.

State and city.	Amount.
NEBRASKA.	
Beatrice	$178.04
Fremont	5.15
Grand Island	85.52
Hastings	103.40
Kearney	10.11
Lincoln	161.08
Nebraska City	129.90
Omaha	2,989.04
South Omaha	30.12
Total	3,692.36
NEW HAMPSHIRE.	
Concord	789.25
Dover	85.32
Keene	262.07
Manchester	1,527.44
Nashua	301.39
Portsmouth	1,089.91
Total	4,055.38
NEW JERSEY.	
Asbury Park	277.91
Atlantic City	223.60
Bridgeton	114.95
Camden	1,355.96
Elizabeth	304.13
Hoboken	22.68
Jersey City	1,452.76
Morristown	124.40
Newark	2,776.68
New Brunswick	505.91
Orange	636.00
Paterson	57.96
Plainfield	67.85
Trenton	1,681.74
Total	9,702.53
NEW YORK.	
Albany	421.04
Amsterdam	477.84
Auburn	130.38
Batavia	239.19
Binghamton	631.34
Brooklyn	8,647.67
Buffalo	729.12
Canandaigua	124.45
Cortland	118.58
Corning	92.56
Elmira	84.30
Flushing	158.05
Geneva	194.18
Glens Falls	331.84
Hornellsville	97.92
Ithaca	1,109.99
Jamestown	94.46
Little Falls	67.76
Lockport	71.86
Long Island City	763.02
Newburg	961.99
New York	13,700.83
Norwich	48.36
Ogdensburg	160.83
Olean	185.48
Oneida	30.31
Oneonta	11.13
Oswego	646.89
Poughkeepsie	81.35
Rochester	3,697.95
Rome	121.21
Saratoga Springs	2,987.29
Schenectady	496.12
Seneca Falls	67.23
Syracuse	1,696.80
Troy	2,200.81
Utica	1,365.87
Watertown	156.39
West Troy	88.95
Total	43,291.34

State and city.	Amount.
NORTH CAROLINA.	
Charlotte	$43.15
Raleigh	208.27
Wilmington	19.39
Total	271.35
NORTH DAKOTA.	
Fargo	384.47
OHIO.	
Akron	505.11
Canton	379.17
Cincinnati	8,325.85
Cleveland	5,358.99
Columbus	799.45
Delaware	67.66
Elyria	90.96
Findlay	163.74
Fremont	60.79
Lima	396.60
Mansfield	684.34
Massillon	109.93
Middletown	227.34
Newark	675.78
Norwalk	63.10
Portsmouth	229.67
Salem	161.50
Sandusky	333.20
Steubenville	504.53
Tiffin	262.87
Toledo	2,941.19
Urbana	7.42
Warren	383.68
Wooster	414.44
Youngstown	239.82
Xenia	105.77
Zanesville	263.35
Total	23,756.25
OREGON.	
Portland	553.30
PENNSYLVANIA.	
Allegheny	7.43
Allentown	794.62
Altoona	536.84
Beaver Falls	309.34
Bellefonte	33.62
Bethlehem	107.72
Bradford	619.79
Butler	147.64
Carlisle	767.18
Chambersburg	83.38
Chester	90.50
Easton	94.76
Erie	1,074.96
Harrisburg	1,388.83
Hazleton	200.86
Huntingdon	626.02
Johnstown	856.53
Lancaster	104.78
Lebanon	40.22
Lock Haven	87.44
McKeesport	88.95
Mahanoy City	2,161.61
Meadville	413.55
Newcastle	501.31
Norristown	251.41
Oil City	112.60
Philadelphia	14,888.12
Pittsburg	5,550.19
Pittston	404.39
Pottstown	14.82
Reading	847.08
Scranton	1,122.76
Titusville	54.78
Warren	479.28
Washington	246.76
Wilkesbarre	720.15
Total	35,831.22

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-URBANA
3 0112 098504506